

# **A History of English Romanticism in the Eighteenth Century eBook**

## **A History of English Romanticism in the Eighteenth Century**

The following sections of this BookRags Literature Study Guide is offprint from Gale's For Students Series: Presenting Analysis, Context, and Criticism on Commonly Studied Works: Introduction, Author Biography, Plot Summary, Characters, Themes, Style, Historical Context, Critical Overview, Criticism and Critical Essays, Media Adaptations, Topics for Further Study, Compare & Contrast, What Do I Read Next?, For Further Study, and Sources.

(c)1998-2002; (c)2002 by Gale. Gale is an imprint of The Gale Group, Inc., a division of Thomson Learning, Inc. Gale and Design and Thomson Learning are trademarks used herein under license.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Encyclopedia of Popular Fiction: "Social Concerns", "Thematic Overview", "Techniques", "Literary Precedents", "Key Questions", "Related Titles", "Adaptations", "Related Web Sites". (c)1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Guide to Literature for Young Adults: "About the Author", "Overview", "Setting", "Literary Qualities", "Social Sensitivity", "Topics for Discussion", "Ideas for Reports and Papers". (c)1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

All other sections in this Literature Study Guide are owned and copyrighted by BookRags, Inc.

# Contents

<a href="#">A History of English Romanticism in the Eighteenth Century eBook.....</a>	<a href="#">1</a>
<a href="#">Contents.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Table of Contents.....</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">Page 1.....</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">Page 2.....</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">Page 3.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Page 4.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Page 5.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Page 6.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Page 7.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Page 8.....</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Page 9.....</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Page 10.....</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">Page 11.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Page 12.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Page 13.....</a>	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">Page 14.....</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">Page 15.....</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">Page 16.....</a>	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">Page 17.....</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">Page 18.....</a>	<a href="#">33</a>
<a href="#">Page 19.....</a>	<a href="#">34</a>
<a href="#">Page 20.....</a>	<a href="#">35</a>
<a href="#">Page 21.....</a>	<a href="#">36</a>
<a href="#">Page 22.....</a>	<a href="#">37</a>

Page 23.....	38
Page 24.....	39
Page 25.....	40
Page 26.....	42
Page 27.....	43
Page 28.....	44
Page 29.....	45
Page 30.....	47
Page 31.....	48
Page 32.....	50
Page 33.....	51
Page 34.....	53
Page 35.....	55
Page 36.....	57
Page 37.....	59
Page 38.....	61
Page 39.....	62
Page 40.....	64
Page 41.....	65
Page 42.....	66
Page 43.....	67
Page 44.....	68
Page 45.....	70
Page 46.....	71
Page 47.....	72
Page 48.....	74

<a href="#">Page 49.....</a>	<a href="#">76</a>
<a href="#">Page 50.....</a>	<a href="#">77</a>
<a href="#">Page 51.....</a>	<a href="#">79</a>
<a href="#">Page 52.....</a>	<a href="#">80</a>
<a href="#">Page 53.....</a>	<a href="#">81</a>
<a href="#">Page 54.....</a>	<a href="#">82</a>
<a href="#">Page 55.....</a>	<a href="#">84</a>
<a href="#">Page 56.....</a>	<a href="#">86</a>
<a href="#">Page 57.....</a>	<a href="#">87</a>
<a href="#">Page 58.....</a>	<a href="#">89</a>
<a href="#">Page 59.....</a>	<a href="#">91</a>
<a href="#">Page 60.....</a>	<a href="#">93</a>
<a href="#">Page 61.....</a>	<a href="#">94</a>
<a href="#">Page 62.....</a>	<a href="#">96</a>
<a href="#">Page 63.....</a>	<a href="#">98</a>
<a href="#">Page 64.....</a>	<a href="#">100</a>
<a href="#">Page 65.....</a>	<a href="#">102</a>
<a href="#">Page 66.....</a>	<a href="#">104</a>
<a href="#">Page 67.....</a>	<a href="#">105</a>
<a href="#">Page 68.....</a>	<a href="#">106</a>
<a href="#">Page 69.....</a>	<a href="#">108</a>
<a href="#">Page 70.....</a>	<a href="#">110</a>
<a href="#">Page 71.....</a>	<a href="#">111</a>
<a href="#">Page 72.....</a>	<a href="#">113</a>
<a href="#">Page 73.....</a>	<a href="#">114</a>
<a href="#">Page 74.....</a>	<a href="#">115</a>

<a href="#">Page 75.....</a>	<a href="#">116</a>
<a href="#">Page 76.....</a>	<a href="#">118</a>
<a href="#">Page 77.....</a>	<a href="#">119</a>
<a href="#">Page 78.....</a>	<a href="#">121</a>
<a href="#">Page 79.....</a>	<a href="#">123</a>
<a href="#">Page 80.....</a>	<a href="#">125</a>
<a href="#">Page 81.....</a>	<a href="#">127</a>
<a href="#">Page 82.....</a>	<a href="#">129</a>
<a href="#">Page 83.....</a>	<a href="#">131</a>
<a href="#">Page 84.....</a>	<a href="#">132</a>
<a href="#">Page 85.....</a>	<a href="#">133</a>
<a href="#">Page 86.....</a>	<a href="#">135</a>
<a href="#">Page 87.....</a>	<a href="#">137</a>
<a href="#">Page 88.....</a>	<a href="#">139</a>
<a href="#">Page 89.....</a>	<a href="#">141</a>
<a href="#">Page 90.....</a>	<a href="#">142</a>
<a href="#">Page 91.....</a>	<a href="#">144</a>
<a href="#">Page 92.....</a>	<a href="#">146</a>
<a href="#">Page 93.....</a>	<a href="#">148</a>
<a href="#">Page 94.....</a>	<a href="#">150</a>
<a href="#">Page 95.....</a>	<a href="#">151</a>
<a href="#">Page 96.....</a>	<a href="#">152</a>
<a href="#">Page 97.....</a>	<a href="#">153</a>
<a href="#">Page 98.....</a>	<a href="#">154</a>
<a href="#">Page 99.....</a>	<a href="#">155</a>
<a href="#">Page 100.....</a>	<a href="#">157</a>

<a href="#">Page 101.....</a>	<a href="#">158</a>
<a href="#">Page 102.....</a>	<a href="#">160</a>
<a href="#">Page 103.....</a>	<a href="#">162</a>
<a href="#">Page 104.....</a>	<a href="#">164</a>
<a href="#">Page 105.....</a>	<a href="#">166</a>
<a href="#">Page 106.....</a>	<a href="#">167</a>
<a href="#">Page 107.....</a>	<a href="#">168</a>
<a href="#">Page 108.....</a>	<a href="#">169</a>
<a href="#">Page 109.....</a>	<a href="#">171</a>
<a href="#">Page 110.....</a>	<a href="#">172</a>
<a href="#">Page 111.....</a>	<a href="#">173</a>
<a href="#">Page 112.....</a>	<a href="#">175</a>
<a href="#">Page 113.....</a>	<a href="#">177</a>
<a href="#">Page 114.....</a>	<a href="#">179</a>
<a href="#">Page 115.....</a>	<a href="#">180</a>
<a href="#">Page 116.....</a>	<a href="#">182</a>
<a href="#">Page 117.....</a>	<a href="#">183</a>
<a href="#">Page 118.....</a>	<a href="#">184</a>
<a href="#">Page 119.....</a>	<a href="#">186</a>
<a href="#">Page 120.....</a>	<a href="#">187</a>
<a href="#">Page 121.....</a>	<a href="#">188</a>
<a href="#">Page 122.....</a>	<a href="#">189</a>
<a href="#">Page 123.....</a>	<a href="#">191</a>
<a href="#">Page 124.....</a>	<a href="#">193</a>
<a href="#">Page 125.....</a>	<a href="#">195</a>
<a href="#">Page 126.....</a>	<a href="#">196</a>

<a href="#">Page 127.....</a>	<a href="#">197</a>
<a href="#">Page 128.....</a>	<a href="#">198</a>
<a href="#">Page 129.....</a>	<a href="#">199</a>
<a href="#">Page 130.....</a>	<a href="#">200</a>
<a href="#">Page 131.....</a>	<a href="#">201</a>
<a href="#">Page 132.....</a>	<a href="#">202</a>
<a href="#">Page 133.....</a>	<a href="#">203</a>
<a href="#">Page 134.....</a>	<a href="#">204</a>
<a href="#">Page 135.....</a>	<a href="#">205</a>
<a href="#">Page 136.....</a>	<a href="#">206</a>
<a href="#">Page 137.....</a>	<a href="#">207</a>
<a href="#">Page 138.....</a>	<a href="#">208</a>
<a href="#">Page 139.....</a>	<a href="#">209</a>
<a href="#">Page 140.....</a>	<a href="#">210</a>
<a href="#">Page 141.....</a>	<a href="#">212</a>
<a href="#">Page 142.....</a>	<a href="#">213</a>
<a href="#">Page 143.....</a>	<a href="#">214</a>
<a href="#">Page 144.....</a>	<a href="#">215</a>
<a href="#">Page 145.....</a>	<a href="#">216</a>
<a href="#">Page 146.....</a>	<a href="#">217</a>
<a href="#">Page 147.....</a>	<a href="#">218</a>
<a href="#">Page 148.....</a>	<a href="#">220</a>
<a href="#">Page 149.....</a>	<a href="#">222</a>
<a href="#">Page 150.....</a>	<a href="#">223</a>
<a href="#">Page 151.....</a>	<a href="#">224</a>
<a href="#">Page 152.....</a>	<a href="#">226</a>

<a href="#">Page 153.....</a>	<a href="#">228</a>
<a href="#">Page 154.....</a>	<a href="#">229</a>
<a href="#">Page 155.....</a>	<a href="#">231</a>
<a href="#">Page 156.....</a>	<a href="#">233</a>
<a href="#">Page 157.....</a>	<a href="#">235</a>
<a href="#">Page 158.....</a>	<a href="#">237</a>
<a href="#">Page 159.....</a>	<a href="#">238</a>
<a href="#">Page 160.....</a>	<a href="#">240</a>
<a href="#">Page 161.....</a>	<a href="#">242</a>
<a href="#">Page 162.....</a>	<a href="#">243</a>
<a href="#">Page 163.....</a>	<a href="#">244</a>
<a href="#">Page 164.....</a>	<a href="#">245</a>
<a href="#">Page 165.....</a>	<a href="#">247</a>
<a href="#">Page 166.....</a>	<a href="#">249</a>
<a href="#">Page 167.....</a>	<a href="#">250</a>
<a href="#">Page 168.....</a>	<a href="#">251</a>
<a href="#">Page 169.....</a>	<a href="#">252</a>
<a href="#">Page 170.....</a>	<a href="#">254</a>
<a href="#">Page 171.....</a>	<a href="#">256</a>
<a href="#">Page 172.....</a>	<a href="#">258</a>
<a href="#">Page 173.....</a>	<a href="#">259</a>
<a href="#">Page 174.....</a>	<a href="#">260</a>
<a href="#">Page 175.....</a>	<a href="#">261</a>
<a href="#">Page 176.....</a>	<a href="#">263</a>
<a href="#">Page 177.....</a>	<a href="#">264</a>
<a href="#">Page 178.....</a>	<a href="#">265</a>



<a href="#">Page 179.....</a>	<a href="#">267</a>
<a href="#">Page 180.....</a>	<a href="#">268</a>
<a href="#">Page 181.....</a>	<a href="#">269</a>
<a href="#">Page 182.....</a>	<a href="#">271</a>
<a href="#">Page 183.....</a>	<a href="#">272</a>
<a href="#">Page 184.....</a>	<a href="#">273</a>
<a href="#">Page 185.....</a>	<a href="#">274</a>
<a href="#">Page 186.....</a>	<a href="#">275</a>
<a href="#">Page 187.....</a>	<a href="#">277</a>
<a href="#">Page 188.....</a>	<a href="#">278</a>
<a href="#">Page 189.....</a>	<a href="#">280</a>
<a href="#">Page 190.....</a>	<a href="#">282</a>
<a href="#">Page 191.....</a>	<a href="#">283</a>
<a href="#">Page 192.....</a>	<a href="#">284</a>
<a href="#">Page 193.....</a>	<a href="#">285</a>
<a href="#">Page 194.....</a>	<a href="#">286</a>
<a href="#">Page 195.....</a>	<a href="#">287</a>
<a href="#">Page 196.....</a>	<a href="#">288</a>
<a href="#">Page 197.....</a>	<a href="#">290</a>
<a href="#">Page 198.....</a>	<a href="#">291</a>
<a href="#">Page 199.....</a>	<a href="#">292</a>
<a href="#">Page 200.....</a>	<a href="#">293</a>
<a href="#">Page 201.....</a>	<a href="#">294</a>
<a href="#">Page 202.....</a>	<a href="#">295</a>
<a href="#">Page 203.....</a>	<a href="#">296</a>
<a href="#">Page 204.....</a>	<a href="#">298</a>

<a href="#">Page 205.....</a>	<a href="#">300</a>
<a href="#">Page 206.....</a>	<a href="#">302</a>
<a href="#">Page 207.....</a>	<a href="#">304</a>
<a href="#">Page 208.....</a>	<a href="#">306</a>
<a href="#">Page 209.....</a>	<a href="#">308</a>
<a href="#">Page 210.....</a>	<a href="#">309</a>
<a href="#">Page 211.....</a>	<a href="#">310</a>
<a href="#">Page 212.....</a>	<a href="#">311</a>
<a href="#">Page 213.....</a>	<a href="#">312</a>
<a href="#">Page 214.....</a>	<a href="#">313</a>
<a href="#">Page 215.....</a>	<a href="#">314</a>
<a href="#">Page 216.....</a>	<a href="#">315</a>
<a href="#">Page 217.....</a>	<a href="#">317</a>
<a href="#">Page 218.....</a>	<a href="#">318</a>
<a href="#">Page 219.....</a>	<a href="#">319</a>
<a href="#">Page 220.....</a>	<a href="#">321</a>
<a href="#">Page 221.....</a>	<a href="#">322</a>
<a href="#">Page 222.....</a>	<a href="#">323</a>
<a href="#">Page 223.....</a>	<a href="#">324</a>
<a href="#">Page 224.....</a>	<a href="#">325</a>
<a href="#">Page 225.....</a>	<a href="#">327</a>
<a href="#">Page 226.....</a>	<a href="#">329</a>
<a href="#">Page 227.....</a>	<a href="#">330</a>
<a href="#">Page 228.....</a>	<a href="#">331</a>
<a href="#">Page 229.....</a>	<a href="#">332</a>
<a href="#">Page 230.....</a>	<a href="#">333</a>

<a href="#">Page 231.....</a>	<a href="#">335</a>
<a href="#">Page 232.....</a>	<a href="#">336</a>
<a href="#">Page 233.....</a>	<a href="#">337</a>
<a href="#">Page 234.....</a>	<a href="#">338</a>
<a href="#">Page 235.....</a>	<a href="#">340</a>
<a href="#">Page 236.....</a>	<a href="#">342</a>
<a href="#">Page 237.....</a>	<a href="#">344</a>
<a href="#">Page 238.....</a>	<a href="#">346</a>
<a href="#">Page 239.....</a>	<a href="#">348</a>
<a href="#">Page 240.....</a>	<a href="#">350</a>
<a href="#">Page 241.....</a>	<a href="#">352</a>
<a href="#">Page 242.....</a>	<a href="#">354</a>
<a href="#">Page 243.....</a>	<a href="#">356</a>
<a href="#">Page 244.....</a>	<a href="#">358</a>
<a href="#">Page 245.....</a>	<a href="#">360</a>
<a href="#">Page 246.....</a>	<a href="#">363</a>
<a href="#">Page 247.....</a>	<a href="#">365</a>
<a href="#">Page 248.....</a>	<a href="#">368</a>
<a href="#">Page 249.....</a>	<a href="#">371</a>
<a href="#">Page 250.....</a>	<a href="#">373</a>
<a href="#">Page 251.....</a>	<a href="#">375</a>
<a href="#">Page 252.....</a>	<a href="#">377</a>

<a href="#">Page 253.....</a>	<a href="#">379</a>
<a href="#">Page 254.....</a>	<a href="#">381</a>
<a href="#">Page 255.....</a>	<a href="#">383</a>
<a href="#">Page 256.....</a>	<a href="#">385</a>
<a href="#">Page 257.....</a>	<a href="#">387</a>
<a href="#">Page 258.....</a>	<a href="#">389</a>
<a href="#">Page 259.....</a>	<a href="#">391</a>

# Table of Contents

Section	Table of Contents	Page
Start of eBook		1
CHAPTER I.		1
CHAPTER II.		13
CHAPTER III.		35
CHAPTER IV.		58
CHAPTER V.		82
CHAPTER VI.		105
CHAPTER VII.		124
CHAPTER VIII.		148
CHAPTER IX.		172
CHAPTER X.		189
CHAPTER XI.		208
BIBLIOGRAPHY		237

# Page 1

## CHAPTER I.

### The Subject Defined

To attempt at the outset a rigid definition of the word *romanticism* would be to anticipate the substance of this volume. To furnish an answer to the question—What is, or was, romanticism? or, at least, What is, or was English romanticism?—is one of my main purposes herein, and the reader will be invited to examine a good many literary documents, and to do a certain amount of thinking, before he can form for himself any full and clear notion of the thing. Even then he will hardly find himself prepared to give a dictionary definition of romanticism. There are words which connote so much, which take up into themselves so much of the history of the human mind, that any compendious explanation of their meaning—any definition which is not, at the same time, a rather extended description—must serve little other end than to supply a convenient mark of identification. How can we define in a sentence words like renaissance, philistine, sentimentalism, transcendental, Bohemia, pre-Raphaelite, impressionist, realistic? *Definitio est negatio*. It may be possible to hit upon a form of words which will mark romanticism off from everything else—tell in a clause what it is *not*; but to add a positive content to the definition—to tell what romanticism *is*, will require a very different and more gradual process.[1]

Nevertheless a rough, working definition may be useful to start with. Romanticism, then, in the sense in which I shall commonly employ the word, means the reproduction in modern art or literature of the life and thought of the Middle Ages. Some other elements will have to be added to this definition, and some modifications of it will suggest themselves from time to time. It is provisional, tentative, classic, but will serve our turn till we are ready to substitute a better. It is the definition which Heine gives in his brilliant little book on the Romantic School in Germany.[2] “All the poetry of the Middle Ages,” he adds, “has a certain definite character, through which it differs from the poetry of the Greeks and Romans. In reference to this difference, the former is called Romantic, the latter Classic. These names, however, are misleading, and have hitherto caused the most vexatious confusion.”[3]

Some of the sources of this confusion will be considered presently. Meanwhile the passage recalls the fact that *romantic*, when used as a term in literary nomenclature, is not an independent, but a referential word. It implies its opposite, the classic; and the ingenuity of critics has been taxed to its uttermost to explain and develop the numerous points of contrast. To form a thorough conception of the romantic, therefore, we must also form some conception of the classic. Now there is an obvious unlikeness between the thought and art of the nations of pagan antiquity and the thought and art of the

## Page 2

peoples of Christian, feudal Europe. Everyone will agree to call the Parthenon, the “Diana” of the Louvre, the “Oedipus” of Sophocles, the orations of Demosthenes classical; and to call the cathedral of Chartres, the walls of Nuremberg—*die Perle des Mittelalters*—the “Legenda Aurea” of Jacobus de Voragine, the “Tristan und Isolde” of Gottfried of Strasburg, and the illuminations in a Catholic missal of the thirteenth century romantic.

The same unlikeness is found between modern works conceived in the spirit, or executed in direct imitation, of ancient and medieval art respectively. It is easy to decide that Flaxman’s outline drawings in illustration of Homer are classic; that Alfieri’s tragedies, Goethe’s “Iphigenie auf Tauris” Landor’s “Hellenics,” Gibson’s statues, David’s paintings, and the church of the Madeleine in Paris are classical, at least in intentions and in the models which they follow; while Victor Hugo’s “Notre Dame de Paris,” Scott’s “Ivanhoe,” Fouque’s “Der Zauberring,” and Rossetti’s painting, “The Girlhood of Mary,” are no less certainly romantic in their inspiration.

But critics have given a wider extension than this to the terms classic and romantic. They have discerned, or imagined, certain qualities, attitudes of mind, ways of thinking and feeling, traits of style which distinguish classic from romantic art; and they have applied the words accordingly to work which is not necessarily either antique or medieval in subject. Thus it is assumed, for example, that the productions of Greek and Roman genius were characterized by clearness, simplicity, restraint, unity of design, subordination of the part to the whole; and therefore modern works which make this impression of noble plainness and severity, of harmony in construction, economy of means and clear, definite outline, are often spoken of as classical, quite irrespective of the historical period which they have to do with. In this sense, it is usual to say that Wordsworth’s “Michael” is classical, or that Goethe’s “Hermann and Dorothea” is classical; though Wordsworth may be celebrating the virtues of a Westmoreland shepherd, and Goethe telling the story of two rustic lovers on the German border at the time of the Napoleonic wars.

On the other hand, it is asserted that the work of mediaeval poets and artists is marked by an excess of sentiment, by over-lavish decoration, a strong sense of color and a feeble sense of form, an attention to detail, at the cost of the main impression, and a consequent tendency to run into the exaggerated, the fantastic, and the grotesque. It is not uncommon, therefore, to find poets like Byron and Shelly classified as romanticists, by virtue of their possession of these, or similar, characteristics, although no one could be more remote from medieval habits of thought than the author of “Don Juan” or the author of “The Revolt of Islam.”

## Page 3

But the extension of these opposing terms to the work of writers who have so little in common with either the antique or the medieval as Wordsworth, on the one hand, and Byron, on the other, does not stop here. It is one of the embarrassments of the literary historian that nearly every word which he uses has two meanings, a critical and a popular meaning. In common speech, classic has come to signify almost anything that is good. If we look in our dictionaries we find it defined somewhat in this way:

“Conforming to the best authority in literature and art; pure; chaste; refined; originally and chiefly used of the best Greek and Roman writers, but also applied to the best modern authors, or their works.” “Classic, *n.* A work of acknowledged excellence and authority.” In this sense of the word, “Robinson Crusoe” is a classic; the “Pilgrim’s Progress” is a classic; every piece of literature which is customarily recommended as a safe pattern for young writers to form their style upon is a classic.[4]

Contrariwise the word *romantic*, as popularly employed, expresses a shade of disapprobation. The dictionaries make it a synonym for *sentimental*, *fanciful*, *wild*, *extravagant*, *chimerical*, all evident derivatives from their more critical definition, “pertaining or appropriate to the style of the Christian and popular literature of the Middle Ages, as opposed to the classical antique.” The etymology of *romance* is familiar. The various dialects which sprang from the corruption of the Latin were called by the common name of *romans*. The name was then applied to any piece of literature composed in this vernacular instead of in the ancient classical Latin. And as the favorite kind of writing in Provencal, Old French, and Spanish was the tale of chivalrous adventure that was called *par excellence*, a *roman*, *romans*, or *\_romance\_*. The adjective *romantic* is much later, implying, as it does, a certain degree of critical attention to the species of fiction which it describes in order to a generalizing of its peculiarities. It first came into general use in the latter half of the seventeenth century and the early years of the eighteenth; and naturally, was marked from birth with that shade of disapproval which has been noticed in popular usage.

The feature that struck the critics most in the romances of the Middle Ages, and in that very different variety of romance which was cultivated during the seventeenth century—the prolix, sentimental fictions of La Calprenede, Scuderi, Gomberville, and D’Urfe—was the fantastic improbability of their adventures. Hence the common acceptance of the word *romantic* in such phrases as “a romantic notion,” “a romantic elopement,” “an act of romantic generosity.” The application of the adjective to scenery was somewhat later,[5] and the abstract *romanticism* was, of course, very much later; as the literary movement, or the revolution in taste, which it entitles, was not enough developed to call for a name until the opening of the nineteenth century. Indeed, it was never so compact, conscious, and definite a movement in England as in Germany and France; and its baptism doubtless came from abroad, from the polemical literature which attended the career of the German *romanticismus* and the French *romantisme*.



## Page 4

While accepting provisionally Heine's definition, it will be useful to examine some of the wider meanings that have been attached to the words *classic* and *romantic*, and some of the analyses that have been attempted of the qualities that make one work of art classical and another romantic. Walter Pater took them to indicate opposite tendencies or elements which are present in varying proportions in all good art. It is the essential function of classical art and literature, he thought, to take care of the qualities of measure, purity, temperance. "What is classical comes to us out of the cool and quiet of other times, as a measure of what a long experience has shown us will, at least, never displease us. And in the classical literature of Greece and Rome, as in the classics of the last century, the essentially classical element is that quality of order in beauty which they possess, indeed, in a pre-eminent degree." [6] "The charm, then, of what is classical in art or literature is that of the well-known tale, to which we can nevertheless listen over and over again, because it is told so well. To the absolute beauty of its form is added the accidental, tranquil charm of familiarity."

On the other hand, he defines the romantic characteristics in art as consisting in "the addition of strangeness to beauty"—a definition which recalls Bacon's saying, "There is no excellent beauty that hath not some strangeness in the proportion." "The desire of beauty," continues Pater, "being a fixed element in every artistic organization, it is the addition of curiosity to this desire of beauty that constitutes the romantic temper." This critic, then, would not confine the terms *classic* and *classicism* to the literature of Greece and Rome and to modern works conceived in the same spirit, although he acknowledges that there are certain ages of the world in which the classical tradition predominates, *i.e.*, in which the respect for authority, the love of order and decorum, the disposition to follow rules and models, the acceptance of academic and conventional standards overbalance the desire for strangeness and novelty. Such epochs are, *e.g.*, the Augustan age of Rome, the *Siecle de Louis XIV*, in France, the times of Pope and Johnson in England—indeed, the whole of the eighteenth century in all parts of Europe.

Neither would he limit the word *romantic* to work conceived in the spirit of the Middle Ages. "The essential elements," he says, "of the romantic spirit are curiosity and the love of beauty; and it is as the accidental effect of these qualities only, that it seeks the Middle Ages; because in the overcharged atmosphere of the Middle Age there are unworked sources of romantic effect, of a strange beauty to be won by strong imagination out of things unlikely or remote." "The sense in which Scott is to be called a romantic writer is chiefly that, in opposition to the literary tradition of the last century, he loved strange adventure and sought it in the Middle Age."

## Page 5

Here again the essayist is careful to explain that there are certain epochs which are predominately romantic. "Outbreaks of this spirit come naturally with particular periods: times when . . . men come to art and poetry with a deep thirst for intellectual excitement, after a long *ennui*." He instances, as periods naturally romantic, the time of the early Provençal troubadour poetry: the years following the Bourbon Restoration in France (say, 1815-30); and "the later Middle Age; so that the medieval poetry, centering in Dante, is often opposed to Greek or Roman poetry, as romantic to classical poetry."

In Pater's use of the terms, then, classic and romantic do not describe particular literature, or particular periods in literary history, so much as certain counterbalancing qualities and tendencies which run through the literatures of all times and countries. There were romantic writings among the Greeks and Romans; there were classical writings in the Middle Ages; nay, there are classical and romantic traits in the same author. If there is any poet who may safely be described as a classic, it is Sophocles; and yet Pater declares that the "Philoctetes" of Sophocles, if issued to-day, would be called romantic. And he points out—what indeed has been often pointed out—that the "Odyssey"[7] is more romantic than the "Iliad:" is, in fact, rather a romance than a hero-epic. The adventures of the wandering Ulysses, the visit to the land of the lotus-eaters, the encounter with the Laestrygonians, the experiences in the cave of Polyphemus, if allowance be made for the difference in sentiments and manners, remind the reader constantly of the medieval *romans d'aventure*. Pater quotes De Stendhal's saying that all good art was romantic in its day. "Romanticism," says De Stendhal, "is the art of presenting to the nations the literary works which, in the actual state of their habits and beliefs, are capable of giving them the greatest possible pleasure: classicism, on the contrary, presents them with what gave the greatest possible pleasure to their great grand-fathers"—a definition which is epigrammatic, if not convincing.[8] De Stendhal (Henri Beyle) was a pioneer and a special pleader in the cause of French romanticism, and, in his use of the terms, romanticism stands for progress, liberty, originality, and the spirit of the future; classicism, for conservatism, authority, imitation, the spirit of the past. According to him, every good piece of romantic art is a classic in the making. Decried by the classicists of to-day, for its failure to observe traditions, it will be used by the classicists of the future as a pattern to which new artists must conform.

## Page 6

It may be worth while to round out the conception of the term by considering a few other definitions of *romantic* which have been proposed. Dr. F. H. Hedge, in an article in the *Atlantic Monthly*[9] for March, 1886, inquired, "What do we mean by romantic?" Goethe, he says, characterized the difference between classic and romantic "as equivalent to [that between] healthy and morbid. Schiller proposed 'naive and sentimental.'"[10] The greater part [of the German critics] regarded it as identical with the difference between ancient and modern, which was partly true, but explained nothing. None of the definitions given could be accepted as quite satisfactory."[11]

Dr. Hedge himself finds the origin of romantic feeling in wonder and the sense of mystery. "The essence of romance," he writes, "is mystery"; and he enforces the point by noting the application of the word to scenery. "The woody dell, the leafy glen, the forest path which leads, one knows not whither, are romantic: the public highway is not." "The winding secret brook . . . is romantic, as compared with the broad river." "Moonlight is romantic, as contrasted with daylight." Dr. Hedge attributes this fondness for the mysterious to "the influence of the Christian religion, which deepened immensely the mystery of life, suggesting something beyond and behind the world of sense."

This charm of wonder or mystery is perhaps only another name for that "strangeness added to beauty" which Pater takes to be the distinguishing feature of romantic art. Later in the same article, Dr. Hedge asserts that "the essence of romanticism is aspiration." Much might be said in defense of this position. It has often been pointed out, *e.g.*, that a Gothic cathedral expresses aspiration, and a Greek temple satisfied completeness. Indeed if we agree that, in a general way, the classic is equivalent to the antique, and the romantic to the medieval, it will be strange if we do not discover many differences between the two that can hardly be covered by any single phrase. Dr. Hedge himself enumerates several qualities of romantic art which it would be difficult to bring under his essential and defining category of wonder or aspiration. Thus he announces that "the peculiarity of the classic style is reserve, self-suppression of the writer"; while "the romantic is self-reflecting." "Clear, unimpassioned, impartial presentation of the subject . . . is the prominent feature of the classic style. The modern writer gives you not so much the things themselves as his impression of them." Here then is the familiar critical distinction between the objective and subjective methods—Schiller's *naiv* and *sentimentalisch*—applied as a criterion of classic and romantic style. This contrast the essayist develops at some length, dwelling upon "the cold reserve and colorless simplicity of the classic style, where the medium is lost in the object"; and "on the other hand, the inwardness, the sentimental intensity, the subjective coloring of the romantic style."

## Page 7

A further distinguishing mark of the romantic spirit, mentioned by Dr. Hedge in common with many other critics, is the indefiniteness or incompleteness of its creations. This is a consequence, of course, of its sense of mystery and aspiration. Schopenhauer said that music was the characteristic modern art, because of its subjective, indefinite character. Pursuing this line of thought, Dr. Hedge affirms that "romantic relates to classic somewhat as music relates to plastic art. . . It [music] presents no finished ideal, but suggests ideals beyond the capacity of canvas or stone. Plastic art acts on the intellect, music on the feelings; the one affects us by what it presents, the other by what it suggests. This, it seems to me, is essentially the difference between classic and romantic poetry"; and he names Homer and Milton as examples of the former, and Scott and Shelley of the latter school.

Here then we have a third criterion proposed for determining the essential *differentia* of romantic art. First it was mystery, then aspiration; now it is the appeal to the emotions by the method of suggestion. And yet there is, perhaps, no inconsistency on the critic's part in this continual shifting of his ground. He is apparently presenting different facets of the same truth; he means one thing by this mystery, aspiration, indefiniteness, incompleteness, emotion suggestiveness: that quality or effect which we all feel to be present in romantic and absent from classic work, but which we find it hard to describe by any single term. It is open to any analyst of our critical vocabulary to draw out the fullest meanings that he can, from such pairs of related words as classic and romantic, fancy and imagination, wit and humor, reason and understanding, passion and sentiment. Let us, for instance, develop briefly this proposition that the ideal of classic art is completeness[12] and the ideal of romantic art indefiniteness, or suggestiveness.

A.W. Schlegel[13] had already made use of two of the arts of design, to illustrate the distinction between classic and romantic, just as Dr. Hedge uses plastic art and music. I refer to Schlegel's famous saying that the genius of the antique drama was statuesque, and that of the romantic drama picturesque. A Greek temple, statue, or poem has no imperfection and offers no further promise, indicates nothing beyond what it expresses. It fills the sense, it leaves nothing to the imagination. It stands correct, symmetric, sharp in outline, in the clear light of day. There is nothing more to be done to it; there is no concealment about it. But in romantic art there is seldom this completeness. The workman lingers, he would fain add another touch, his ideal eludes him. Is a Gothic cathedral ever really finished? Is "Faust" finished? Is "Hamlet" explained? The modern spirit is mystical; its architecture, painting, poetry employ shadow to produce their highest effects: shadow and color rather than contour. On the Greek heroic stage there were a few figures, two or three at most, grouped like statuary and thrown out in bold relief at the apex of the scene: in Greek architecture a few clean, simple lines: in Greek poetry clear conceptions easily expressible in language and mostly describable in sensuous images.

## Page 8

The modern theater is crowded with figures and colors, and the distance recedes in the middle of the scene. This love of perspective is repeated in cathedral aisles,[14] the love of color in cathedral windows, and obscurity hovers in the shadows of the vault. In our poetry, in our religion these twilight thoughts prevail. We seek no completeness here. What is beyond, what is inexpressible attracts us. Hence the greater spirituality of romantic literature, its deeper emotion, its more passionate tenderness. But hence likewise its sentimentality, its melancholy and, in particular, the morbid fascination which the thought of death has had for the Gothic mind. The classic nations concentrated their attention on life and light, and spent few thoughts upon darkness and the tomb. Death was to them neither sacred nor beautiful. Their decent rites of sepulture or cremation seem designed to hide its deformities rather than to prolong its reminders. The presence of the corpse was pollution. No Greek could have conceived such a book as the "Hydriotaphia" or the "Anatomy of Melancholy."

It is observable that Dr. Hedge is at one with Pater, in desiring some more philosophical statement of the difference between classic and romantic than the common one which makes it simply the difference between the antique and the medieval. He says: "It must not be supposed that ancient and classic, on one side, and modern and romantic, on the other, are inseparably one; so that nothing approaching to romantic shall be found in any Greek or Roman author, nor any classic page in the literature of modern Europe. . . The literary line of demarcation is not identical with the chronological one." And just as Pater says that the Odyssey is more romantic than the Iliad, so Dr. Hedge says that "the story of Cupid and Psyche,[15] in the 'Golden Ass' of Apuleius, is as much a romance as any composition of the seventeenth or eighteenth century." Medievalism he regards as merely an accident of romance: Scott, as most romantic in his themes, but Byron, in his mood.

So, too, Mr. Sidney Colvin[16] denies that "a predilection for classic subjects . . . can make a writer that which we understand by the word classical as distinguished from that which we understand by the word romantic. The distinction lies deeper, and is a distinction much less of subject than of treatment. . . In classical writing every idea is called up to the mind as nakedly as possible, and at the same time as distinctly; it is exhibited in white light, and left to produce its effect by its own unaided power.[17] In romantic writing, on the other hand, all objects are exhibited, as it were, through a colored and iridescent atmosphere. Round about every central idea the romantic writer summons up a cloud of accessory and subordinate ideas for the sake of enhancing its effect, if at the risk of confusing its outlines. The temper, again, of the romantic writer is one of excitement, while

## Page 9

the temper of the classical writer is one of self-possession. . . On the one hand there is calm, on the other hand enthusiasm. The virtues of the one style are strength of grasp, with clearness and justice of presentment; the virtues of the other style are glow of the spirit, with magic and richness of suggestion." Mr. Colvin then goes on to enforce and illustrate this contrast between the "accurate and firm definition of things" in classical writers and the "thrilling vagueness and uncertainty," the tremulous, coruscating, vibrating or colored light—the "halo"—with which the romantic writer invests his theme. "The romantic manner, . . . with its thrilling uncertainties and its rich suggestions, may be more attractive than the classic manner, with its composed and measured preciseness of statement. . . But on the other hand the romantic manner lends itself, as the true classical does not, to inferior work. Second-rate conceptions excitedly and approximately put into words derive from it an illusive attraction which may make them for a time, and with all but the coolest judges, pass as first-rate. Whereas about true classical writing there can be no illusion. It presents to us conceptions calmly realized in words that exactly define them, conceptions depending for their attraction, not on their halo, but on themselves."

As examples of these contrasting styles, Mr. Colvin puts side by side passages from "The Ancient Mariner" and Keats' "Ode to a Nightingale," with passages, treating similar themes, from Landor's "Gebir" and "Imaginary Conversations." The contrast might be even more clearly established by a study of such a piece as Keats' "Ode on a Grecian Urn," where the romantic form is applied to classical content; or by a comparison of Tennyson's "Ulysses" and "The Lotus Eaters," in which Homeric subjects are treated respectively in the classic and the romantic manner.

Alfred de Musset, himself in early life a prominent figure among the French romanticists, wrote some capital satire upon the baffling and contradictory definitions of the word *romantisme* that were current in the third and fourth decades of this century.[18] Two worthy provincials write from the little town of La Ferte-sous-Jouarre to the editor of the "Revue des Deux Mondes," appealing to him to tell them what romanticism means. For two years Dupuis and his friend Cotonet had supposed that the term applied only to the theater, and signified the disregard of the unities. "Shakspeare, for example makes people travel from Rome to London, and from Athens to Alexandria in a quarter of an hour. His heroes live ten or twenty years between two acts. His heroines, angels of virtue during a whole scene, have only to pass into the *coulisses*, to reappear as wives, adulteresses, widows, and grandmothers. There, we said to ourselves, is the romantic. Contrariwise, Sophocles makes Oedipus sit on a rock, even at the cost of great personal inconvenience, from the very beginning of his tragedy. All the characters come there to find him, one after the other. Perhaps he stands up occasionally, though I doubt it; unless, it may be, out of respect for Theseus, who, during the entire play, obligingly walks on the high-way, coming in or going out continually. . . There, we said to ourselves, is the classic."



## Page 10

But about 1828, continues the letter, “we learned that there were romantic poetry and classical poetry, romantic novels and classical novels, romantic odes and classical odes; nay, a single line, my dear sir, a sole and solitary line of verse might be romantic or classic, according as the humor took it. When we received this intelligence, we could not close our eyes all night. Two years of peaceful conviction had vanished like a dream. All our ideas were turned topsy-turvy; for it the rules of Aristotle were no longer the line of demarcation which separated the literary camps, where was one to find himself, and what was he to depend upon? How was one to know, in reading a book, which school it belonged to? . . . Luckily in the same year there appeared a famous preface, which we devoured straightway[19]. . . This said very distinctly that romanticism was nothing else than the alliance of the playful and the serious, of the grotesque and the terrible, of the jocose and the horrible, or in other words, if you prefer, of comedy and tragedy.”

This definition the anxious inquirers accepted for the space of a year, until it was borne in upon them that Aristophanes—not to speak of other ancients—had mixed tragedy and comedy in his drama. Once again the friends were plunged in darkness, and their perplexity was deepened when they were taking a walk one evening and overheard a remark made by the niece of the *sous-prefet*. This young lady had fallen in love with English ways, as was—somewhat strangely—evidenced by her wearing a green veil, orange-colored gloves, and silver-rimmed spectacles. As she passed the promenaders, she turned to look at a water-mill near the ford, where there were bags of grain, geese, and an ox in harness, and she exclaimed to her governess, “*Voilà un site romantique.*”

This mysterious sentence roused the flagging curiosity of MM. Dupuis and Contonet, and they renewed their investigations. A passage in a newspaper led them to believe for a time that romanticism was the imitation of the Germans, with, perhaps, the addition of the English and Spanish. Then they were tempted to fancy that it might be merely a matter of literary form, possibly this *vers brisé* (run-over lines, *enjambement*) that they are making so much noise about. “From 1830 to 1831 we were persuaded that romanticism was the historic style (*genre historique*) or, if you please, this mania which has lately seized our authors for calling the characters of their novels and melodramas Charlemagne, Francis I., or Henry IV., instead of Amadis, Oronte, or saint-Albin. . . From 1831 to the year following we thought it was the *genre intime*, about which there was much talk. But with all the pains that we took we never could discover what the *genre intime* was. The ‘intimate’ novels are just like the others. They are in two volume octavo, with a great deal of margin. . . They have yellow covers and they cost fifteen francs.” From 1832 to 1833 they conjectured that romanticism might be a system of philosophy and political economy. From 1833 to 1834 they believed that it consisted in not shaving one’s self, and in wearing a waistcoat with wide facings very much starched.

## Page 11

At last they bethink themselves of a certain lawyer's clerk, who had first imported these literary disputes into the village, in 1824. To him, they expose their difficulties and ask for an answer to the question, What is romanticism? After a long conversation, they receive this final definition. "Romanticism, my dear sir! No, of a surety, it is neither the disregard of the unities, nor the alliance of the comic and tragic, nor anything in the world expressible by words. In vain you grasp the butterfly's wing; the dust which gives it its color is left upon your fingers. Romanticism is the star that weeps, it is the wind that wails, it is the night that shudders, the bird that flies and the flower that breathes perfume: it is the sudden gush, the ecstasy grown faint, the cistern beneath the palms, rosy hope with her thousand loves, the angel and the pearl, the white robe of the willows. It is the infinite and the starry," *etc.*, *etc.*

Then M. Ducoudray, a magistrate of the department, gives his theory of romanticism, which he considers to be an effect of the religious and political reaction under the restored Bourbon monarchy of Louis XVIII, and Charles X. "The mania for ballads, arriving from Germany, met the legitimist poetry one fine day at Ladvocat's bookshop; and the two of them, pickaxe in hand, went at nightfall to a churchyard, to dig up the Middle Ages." The taste for medievalism, M. Ducoudray adds, has survived the revolution of 1830, and romanticism has even entered into the service of liberty and progress, where it is a manifest anachronism, "employing the style of Ronsard to celebrate railroads, and imitating Dante when it chants the praises of Washington and Lafayette." Dupuis was tempted to embrace M. Ducoudray's explanation, but Cotonet was not satisfied. He shut himself in, for four months, at the end of which he announced his discovery that the true and only difference between the classic and the romantic is that the latter uses a good many adjectives. He illustrates his principle by giving passages from "Paul and Virginia" and the "Portuguese Letters," written in the romantic style.

Thus Musset pricks a critical bubble with the point of his satire; and yet the bubble declines to vanish. There must really be some more substantial difference than this between classic and romantic, for the terms persist and are found useful. It may be true that the romantic temper, being subjective and excited, tends to an excess in adjectives; the adjective being that part of speech which attributes qualities, and is therefore most freely used by emotional persons. Still it would be possible to cut out all the adjectives, not strictly necessary, from one of Tieck's *Maerchen* without in the slightest degree disturbing its romantic character.



## Page 12

It remains to add that romanticism is a word which faces in two directions. It is now opposed to realism, as it was once opposed to classicism. As, in one way, its freedom and lawlessness, its love of novelty, experiment, "strangeness added to beauty," contrast with the classical respect for rules, models, formulae, precedents, conventions; so, in another way, its discontent with things as they are, its idealism, aspiration, mysticism contrast with the realist's conscientious adherence to fact. "Ivanhoe" is one kind of romance; "The Marble Faun" is another.[20]

[1] Les definitions ne se posent pas *a priori*, si ce n'est peutetre en mathematiques. En histoire, c'est de l'etude patiente de is la realite qu'elles se degagent insensiblement. Si M. Deschanel ne nous a pas donne du *romantisme* la definition que nous reclamions tout a l'heure, c'est, a vrai dire, que son enseignement a pour objet de preparer cette definition meme. Nous la trouverons ou elle doit etre, a la fin du cours et non pas a debut.—F. Brunetiere: "*Classiques et Romantiques, Etudes Critiques*," Tome III, p. 296.

[2] Was war aber dis romantische Schule in Deutschland? Sie war nichts anders als die Wiedererweckung der Poesie des Mittelalters, wie sie sich in dessen Liedern, Bild- und Bauwerken, in Kunst und Leben, manifestiert hatte.—*Die romanticsche Schule (Cotta edition)*, p. 158.

[3] "The Romantic School" (Fleishman's translation), p. 13.

[4] Un classique est tout artiste a l'ecole de qui nous pouvons nous mettre sans craindre que ses lecons on ses exemples nous fourvoient. Ou encore, c'est celui qui possede . . . des qualites dont l'imitation, si elle ne peut pas faire de bien, ne peut pas non plus faire de mal.—F. Brunetiere, "*Etudes Critiques*," Tome III, p. 300.

[5] Mr. Perry thinks that one of the first instances of the use of the word *romantic* is by the diarist Evelyn in 1654: "There is also, on the side of this horrid alp, a very romantic seat."—*English Literature in the Eighteenth Century*, by Thomas Sergeant Perry, p. 148, note.

[6] "Romanticism," *Macmillan's Magazine*, Vol. XXXV.

[7] The Odyssey has been explained throughout in an allegorical sense. The episode of Circe, at least, lends itself obviously to such interpretation. Circe's cup has become a metaphor for sensual intoxication, transforming men into beasts; Milton, in "Comus," regards himself as Homer's continuator, enforcing a lesson of temperance in Puritan times hardly more consciously than the old Ionian Greek in times which have no other record than his poem.

[8] "Racine et Shakespeare, Etudes en Romantisme" (1823), p. 32, ed. of Michel Levy Freres, 1954. Such would also seem to be the view maintained by M. Emile Deschanel, whose book "Le Romantisme des Classiques" (Paris, 1883) is reviewed by M.

Brunetiere in an article already several times quoted. “Tous les classiques,” according to M. Deschanel—at least, so says his reviewer—“ont jadis commence par etre des romantiques.” And again: “Un *romantique* seraut tout simplement un classique en route pour parvenir; et, reciproquement, un classique ne serait de plus qu’un romantique arrive.”

## Page 13

[9] "Classic and Romantic," Vol. LVII.

[10] See Schiller's "Ueber naive and sentimentalische Dichtung."

[11] Le mot de romantisme, apres cinquante ans et plus de discussions passionnees, ne laisse pas d'etre encore aujourd'hui bien vague et bien flottant.—*Brunetiere, ibid.*

[12] Ce qui constitue proprement un classique, c'est l'equilibre en lui de toutes les facultes qui concourent a la perfection de l'oeuvre d'art.—*Brunetiere, ibid.*

[13] "Vorlesungen ueber dramatische Kunst und Litteratur."

[14] Far to the west the long, long vale withdrawn,  
Where twilight loves to linger for a while.  
—*Beattie's "Minstrel."*

[15] The modernness of this "latest born of the myths" resides partly in its spiritual, almost Christian conception of love, partly in its allegorical theme, the soul's attainment of immortality through love. The Catholic idea of penance is suggested, too, in Psyche's "wandering labors long." This apologue has been a favorite with platonizing poets, like Spenser and Milton. See "The Fairie Queene," book iii. canto vi. stanza 1., and "Comus," lines 1002-11

[16] "Selections from Walter Savage Landor," Preface, p. vii.

[17] See also Walter Bagehot's essay on "Pure, Ornate, and Grotesque Art," "Literary Studies, Works" (Hartford, 1889), Vol I. p. 200.

[18] Lettres de Dupuis et Cottonet (1836), "Oeuvres Completes" (Charpentier edition, 1881), Tome IX. p. 194.

[19] Preface to Victor Hugo's "Cromwell," dated October, 1827. The play was printed, but not acted, in 1828.

[20] In modern times romanticism, typifying a permanent tendency of the human mind, has been placed in opposition to what is called realism. . . [But] there is, as it appears to us, but one fundamental note which all romanticism . . . has in common, and that is a deep disgust with the world as it is and a desire to depict in literature something that is claimed to be nobler and better.—*Essays on German Literature, by H. H. Boyesen*, pp. 358 and 356.

## CHAPTER II.

The Augustans

The Romantic Movement in England was a part of the general European reaction against the spirit of the eighteenth century. This began somewhat earlier in England than in Germany, and very much earlier than in France, where literary conservatism went strangely hand in hand with political radicalism. In England the reaction was at first gradual, timid, and unconscious. It did not reach importance until the seventh decade of the century, and culminated only in the early years of the nineteenth century. The medieval revival was only an incident—though a leading incident—of this movement; but it is the side of it with which the present work will mainly deal. Thus I shall have a great deal to say about Scott; very little about Byron, intensely romantic as he was in many meanings of the word. This will not preclude me from glancing occasionally at other elements besides medievalism which enter into the concept of the term “romantic.”

## Page 14

Reverting then to our tentative definition—Heine’s definition—of romanticism, as the reproduction in modern art and literature of the life of the Middle Ages, it should be explained that the expression, “Middle Ages,” is to be taken here in a liberal sense. Contributions to romantic literature such as Macpherson’s “Ossian,” Collins’ “Ode on the Superstitions of the Scottish Highlands,” and Gray’s translations from the Welsh and the Norse, relate to periods which antedate that era of Christian chivalry and feudalism, extending roughly from the eleventh century to the fifteenth, to which the term, “Middle Ages,” more strictly applies. The same thing is true of the ground-work, at least, of ancient hero-epics like “Beowulf” and the “Nibelungen Lied,” of the Icelandic “Sagas,” and of similar products of old heathen Europe which have come down in the shape of mythologies, popular superstitions, usages, rites, songs, and traditions. These began to fall under the notice of scholars about the middle of the last century and made a deep impression upon contemporary letters.

Again, the influence of the Middle Age proper prolonged itself beyond the exact close of the medieval period, which it is customary to date from the fall of Constantinople in 1453. The great romantic poets of Italy, Boiardo, Ariosto, Tasso, wrote in the full flush of the pagan revival and made free use of the Greek and Roman mythologies and the fables of Homer, Vergil, and Ovid; and yet their work is hardly to be described as classical. Nor is the work of their English disciples, Spenser and Sidney; while the entire Spanish and English drama of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries (down to 1640, and with an occasional exception, like Ben Jonson) is romantic. Calderon is romantic; Shakspeare and Fletcher are romantic. If we agree to regard medieval literature, then, as comprising all the early literature of Europe which drew its inspiration from other than Greek-Latin sources, we shall do no great violence to the usual critical employment of the word. I say *early* literature, in order to exclude such writings as are wholly modern, like “Robinson Crusoe,” or “Gulliver’s Travels,” or Fielding’s novels, which are neither classic nor romantic, but are the original creation of our own time. With works like these, though they are perhaps the most characteristic output of the eighteenth century, our inquiries are not concerned.

It hardly needs to be said that the reproduction, or imitation, of mediaeval life by the eighteenth- and nineteenth-century romanticists, contains a large admixture of modern thought and feeling. The brilliant pictures of feudal society in the romances of Scott and Fouque give no faithful image of that society, even when they are carefully correct in all ascertainable historical details.[1] They give rather the impression left upon an alien mind by the quaint, picturesque features of a way of life which seemed neither quaint nor picturesque to the men who lived

## Page 15

it, but only to the man who turns to it for relief from the prosaic, or at least familiar, conditions of the modern world. The offspring of the modern imagination, acting upon medieval material, may be a perfectly legitimate, though not an original, form of art. It may even have a novel charm of its own, unlike either parent, but like Euphorion, child of Faust by Helen of Troy, a blend of Hellas and the Middle Age. Scott's verse tales are better poetry than the English metrical romances of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. Tennyson has given a more perfect shape to the Arthurian legends than Sir Thomas Malory, their compiler, or Walter Map and Chrestien de Troyes, their possible inventors. But, of course, to study the Middle Ages, as it really was, one must go not to Tennyson and Scott, but to the "Chanson de Roland," and the "Divine Comedy," and the "Romaunt of the Rose," and the chronicles of Villehardouin, Joinville, and Froissart.

And the farther such study is carried, the more evident it becomes that "mediaeval" and "romantic" are not synonymous. The Middle Ages was not, at all points, romantic: it is the modern romanticist who makes, or finds, it so. He sees its strange, vivid peculiarities under the glamour of distance. Chaucer's temper, for instance, was by no means romantic. This "good sense" which Dryden mentions as his prominent trait; that "low tone" which Lowell praises in him, and which keeps him close to the common ground of experience, pervade his greatest work, the "Canterbury Tales," with an insistent realism. It is true that Chaucer shared the beliefs and influences of his time and was a follower of its literary fashions. In his version of the "Romaunt of the Rose," his imitations of Machault, and his early work in general he used the mediaeval machinery of allegory and dreams. In "Troilus and Cresseide" and the tale of "Palamon and Arcite," he carries romantic love and knightly honor to a higher pitch than his model, Boccaccio. But the shrewdly practical Pandarus of the former poem—a character almost wholly of Chaucer's creation—is the very embodiment of the anti-romantic attitude, and a remarkable anticipation of Sancho Panza; while the "Rime of Sir Thopas" is a distinct burlesque of the fantastic chivalry romances.[2] Chaucer's pages are picturesque with tournament, hunting parties, baronial feasts, miracles of saints, feats of magic; but they are solid, as well, with the everyday life of fourteenth-century England. They have the *naivete* and garrulity which are marks of mediaeval work, but not the quaintness and grotesquerie which are held to be marks of romantic work. Not archaic speech, but a certain mental twist constitutes quaintness. Herbert and Fuller are quaint; Blake is grotesque; Donne and Charles Lamb are willfully quaint, subtle, and paradoxical. But Chaucer is always straight-grained, broad, and natural.

Even Dante, the poet of the Catholic Middle Ages; Dante, the mystic, the idealist, with his intense spirituality and his passion for symbolism, has been sometimes called classic, by virtue of the powerful construction of his great poem, and his scholastic rigidity of method.

## Page 16

The relation between modern romanticizing literature and the real literature of the Middle Ages, is something like that between the literature of the renaissance and the ancient literatures of Greece and Rome. But there is this difference, that, while the renaissance writers fell short of their pattern, the modern schools of romance have outgone their masters—not perhaps in the intellectual—but certainly in the artistic value of their product. Mediaeval literature, wonderful and stimulating as a whole and beautiful here and there in details of execution, affords few models of technical perfection. The civilization which it reflected, though higher in its possibilities than the classic civilization, had not yet arrived at an equal grade of development, was inferior in intelligence and the natured results of long culture. The epithets of Gothic ignorance, rudeness, and barbarism, which the eighteenth-century critics applied so freely to all the issue of the so-called dark ages, were not entirely without justification. Dante is almost the only strictly mediaeval poet in whose work the form seems adequate to the content; for Boccaccio and Petrarca stand already on the sill of the renaissance.

In the arts of design the case was partly reversed. If the artists of the renaissance did not equal the Greeks in sculpture and architecture, they probably excelled them in painting. On the other hand, the restorers of Gothic have never quite learned the secret of the mediaeval builders. However, if the analogy is not pushed too far, the romantic revival may be regarded as a faint counterpart, the fragments of a half-forgotten civilization were pieced together; Greek manuscripts sought out, cleaned, edited, and printed: statues, coins, vases dug up and ranged in museums: debris cleared away from temples, amphitheatres, basilicas; till gradually the complete image of the antique world grew forth in august beauty, kindling an excitement of mind to which there are few parallels in history; so, in the eighteenth century, the despised ages of monkery, feudalism, and superstition began to reassert their claims upon the imagination. Ruined castles and abbeys, coats of mail, illuminated missals, manuscript romances, black-letter ballads, old tapestries, and wood carvings acquired a new value. Antiquaries and virtuosos first, and then poets and romancers, reconstructed in turn an image of medieval society.

True, the later movement was much the weaker of the two. No such fissure yawned between modern times and the Middle Ages as had been opened between the ancient world and the Middle Ages by the ruin of the Roman state and by the barbarian migrations. Nor had ten centuries of rubbish accumulated over the remains of mediaeval culture. In 1700 the Middle Ages were not yet so very remote. The nations and languages of Europe continued in nearly the same limits which had bounded them two centuries before. The progress in the sciences and mechanic

## Page 17

arts, the discovery and colonizing of America, the invention of printing and gunpowder, and the Protestant reformation had indeed drawn deep lines between modern and mediaeval life. Christianity, however, formed a connecting link, though, in Protestant countries, the continuity between the earlier and later forms of the religion had been interrupted. One has but to compare the list of the pilgrims whom Chaucer met at the Tabard, with the company that Captain Sentry or Peregrine Pickle would be likely to encounter at a suburban inn, to see how the face of English society had changed between 1400 and 1700. What has become of the knight, the prioress, the sumner, the monk, pardoner, squire, alchemist, friar; and where can they or their equivalents be found in all England?

The limitations of my subject will oblige me to treat the English romantic movement as a chapter in literary history, even at the risk of seeming to adopt a narrow method. Yet it would be unphilosophical to consider it as a merely aesthetic affair, and to lose sight altogether of its deeper springs in the religious and ethical currents of the time. For it was, in part, a return of warmth and color into English letters; and that was only a symptom of the return of warmth and color—that is, of emotion and imagination—into English life and thought: into the Church, into politics, into philosophy. Romanticism, which sought to evoke from the past a beauty that it found wanting in the present, was but one phase of that revolt against the coldness and spiritual deadness of the first half of the eighteenth century which had other sides in the idealism of Berkeley, in the Methodist and Evangelical revival led by Wesley and Whitefield, and in the sentimentalism which manifested itself in the writings of Richardson and Sterne. Corresponding to these on the Continent were German pietism, the transcendental philosophy of Kant and his continuators, and the emotional excesses of works like Rousseau's "Nouvelle Heloise" and Goethe's "Sorrows of Werther."

Romanticism was something more, then, than a new literary mode; a taste cultivated by dilettante virtuosos, like Horace Walpole, college recluses like Gray, and antiquarian scholars like Joseph and Thomas Warton. It was the effort of the poetic imagination to create for itself a richer environment; but it was also, in its deeper significance, a reaching out of the human spirit after a more ideal type of religion and ethics than it could find in the official churchmanship and the formal morality of the time. Mr. Leslie Stephen<sup>[3]</sup> points out the connection between the three currents of tendency known as sentimentalism, romanticism, and naturalism. He explains, to be sure, that the first English sentimentalists, such as Richardson and Sterne, were anything but romantic. "A more modern sentimentalist would probably express his feelings<sup>[4]</sup> by describing some past state of society. He would paint some ideal society in mediaeval times



## Page 18

and revive the holy monk and the humble nun for our edification.” He attributes the subsequent interest in the Middle Ages to the progress made in historical inquiries during the last half of the eighteenth century, and to the consequent growth of antiquarianism. “Men like Malone and Stevens were beginning those painful researches which have accumulated a whole literature upon the scanty records of our early dramatists. Gray, the most learned of poets, had vaguely designed a history of English poetry, and the design was executed with great industry by Thomas Warton. His brother Joseph ventured to uphold the then paradoxical thesis that Spenser was as great a man as Pope. Everywhere a new interest was awakening in the minuter details of the past.” At first, Mr. Stephen says, the result of these inquiries was “an unreasonable contempt for the past. The modern philosopher, who could spin all knowledge out of his own brain; the skeptic, who had exploded the ancient dogma; or the free-thinker of any shade, who rejoiced in the destruction of ecclesiastical tyranny, gloried in his conscious superiority to his forefathers. Whatever was old was absurd; and Gothic—an epithet applied to all medieval art, philosophy, or social order—became a simple term of contempt.” But an antiquarian is naturally a conservative, and men soon began to love the times whose peculiarities they were so diligently studying. Men of imaginative minds promptly made the discovery that a new source of pleasure might be derived from these dry records. . . . The ‘return to nature’ expresses a sentiment which underlies . . . both the sentimental and romantic movements. . . . To return to nature is, in one sense, to find a new expression for emotions which have been repressed by existing conventions; or, in another, to return to some simpler social order which had not yet suffered from those conventions. The artificiality attributed to the eighteenth century seems to mean that men were content to regulate their thoughts and lives by rules not traceable to first principles, but dependent upon a set of special and exceptional conditions. . . . To get out of the ruts, or cast off the obsolete shackles, two methods might be adopted. The intellectual horizon might be widened by including a greater number of ages and countries; or men might try to fall back upon the thoughts and emotions common to all races, and so cast off the superficial incrustation. The first method, that of the romanticists, aims at increasing our knowledge: the second, that of the naturalistic school, at basing our philosophy on deeper principles.[5]

## Page 19

The classic, or pseudo-classic, period of English literature lasted from the middle of the seventeenth till the end of the eighteenth century. Inasmuch as the romantic revival was a protest against this reigning mode, it becomes necessary to inquire a little more closely what we mean when we say that the time of Queen Anne and the first two Georges was our Augustan or classical age. In what sense was it classical? And was it any more classical than the time of Milton, for example, or the time of Landor? If the “Dunciad,” and the “Essay on Man,” are classical, what is Keats’ “Hyperion”? And with what propriety can we bring under a common rubric things so far asunder as Prior’s “Carmen Seculare” and Tennyson’s “Ulysses,” or as Gay’s “Trivia” and Swinburne’s “Atalanta in Calydon”? Evidently the Queen Anne writers took hold of the antique by a different side from our nineteenth-century poets. Their classicism was of a special type. It was, as has been often pointed out, more Latin than Greek, and more French than Latin.[6] It was, as has likewise been said, “a classicism in red heels and a periwig.” Victor Hugo speaks of “cette poesie fardee, mouchetee, poudree, du dix-huitieme siecle, cette literature a paniers, a pompons et a falbalas.”[7] The costumes of Watteau contrast with the simple folds of Greek drapery very much as the “Rape of the Lock,” contrasts with the Iliad, or one of Pope’s pastorals with an idyl of Theocritus. The times were artificial in poetry as in dress—

“Tea-cup times of hood and hoop,  
And when the patch was worn.”

Gentlemen wore powdered wigs instead of their own hair, and the power and the wig both got into their writing. *Perruque* was the nickname applied to the classicists by the French romanticists of Hugo’s generation, who wore their hair long and flowing—*cheveux merovingiennes*—and affected an *outré* freedom in the cut and color of their clothes. Similarly the Byronic collar became, all over Europe, the symbol of daring independence in matters of taste and opinion. Its careless roll, which left the throat exposed, seemed to assist the liberty of nature against cramping conventions.

The leading Queen Anne writers are so well known that a somewhat general description of the literary situation in England at the time of Pope’s death (1744) will serve as an answer to the question, how was the eighteenth century classical. It was remarked by Thomas Warton[8] that, at the first revival of letters in the sixteenth century, our authors were more struck by the marvelous fables and inventions of ancient poets than by the justness of their conceptions and the purity of their style. In other words, the men of the renaissance apprehended the ancient literature as poets: the men of the *Eclaircissement* apprehended them as critics. In Elizabeth’s day the new learning stimulated English genius to creative activity. In royal progresses, court

## Page 20

masques, Lord Mayors' shows, and public pageants of all kinds, mythology ran mad. "Every procession was a pantheon." But the poets were not careful to keep the two worlds of pagan antiquity and mediaeval Christianity distinct. The art of the renaissance was the flower of a double root, and the artists used their complex stuff naively. The "Faerie Queene" is the typical work of the English renaissance; there hamadryads, satyrs, and river gods mingle unblushingly with knights, dragons, sorcerers, hermits, and personified vices and virtues. The "machinery" of Homer and Vergil—the "machinery" of the "Seven Champions of Christendom" and the "Roman de la Rose"! This was not shocking to Spenser's contemporaries, but it seemed quite shocking to classical critics a century later. Even Milton, the greatest scholar among English poets, but whose imagination was a strong agent, holding strange elements in solution, incurred their censure for bringing Saint Peter and the sea-nymphs into dangerous juxtaposition in "Lycidas."

But by the middle of the seventeenth century the renaissance schools of poetry had become effete in all European countries. They had run into extravagances of style, into a vicious manner known in Spain as Gongorism, in Italy as Marinism, and in England best exhibited in the verse of Donne and Cowley and the rest of the group whom Dr. Johnson called the metaphysical poets, and whose Gothicism of taste Addison ridiculed in his *Spectator* papers on true and false wit. It was France that led the reform against this fashion. Malherbe and Boileau insisted upon the need of discarding tawdry ornaments of style and cultivating simplicity, clearness, propriety, decorum, moderation; above all, good sense. The new Academy, founded to guard the purity of the French language, lent its weight to the precepts of the critics, who applied the rules of Aristotle, as commented by Longinus and Horace, to modern conditions. The appearance of a number of admirable writers—Corneille, Moliere, Racine, Bossuet, La Fontaine, La Bruyere—simultaneously with this critical movement, gave an authority to the new French literature which enabled it to impose its principles upon England and Germany for over a century. For the creative literature of France conformed its practice, in the main, to the theory of French criticism; though not, in the case of Regnier, without open defiance. This authority was re-enforced by the political glories and social *eclat* of the *siecle de Louis Quatorze*

It happened that at this time the Stuart court was in exile, and in the train of Henrietta Maria at Paris, or scattered elsewhere through France, were many royalist men of letters, Etherege, Waller, Cowley, and others, who brought back with them to England in 1660 an acquaintance with this new French literature and a belief in its aesthetic code. That French influence would have spread into England without the aid of these

## Page 21

political accidents is doubtless true, as it is also true that a reform of English versification and poetic style would have worked itself out upon native lines independent of foreign example, and even had there been so such thing as French literature. Mr. Gosse has pointed out couplets of Waller, written as early as 1623, which have the formal precision of Pope's; and the famous passage about the Thames in Denham's "Cooper's Hill" (1642) anticipates the best performance of Augustan verse:

"O could I flow like thee, and make thy stream  
My great example, as it is my theme!  
Though deep, yet clear, though gentle, yet not dull,  
Strong without rage, without o'erflowing full."

However, as to the general fact of the powerful impact of French upon English literary fashions, in the latter half of the seventeenth century, there can be no dispute.[9]

This change of style was symptomatic of a corresponding change in the national temper. It was the mission of the eighteenth century to assert the universality of law and, at the same time, the sufficiency of the reason to discover the laws, which govern in every province: a service which we now, perhaps, undervalue in our impatience with the formalism which was its outward sign. Hence its dislike of irregularity in art and irrationality in religion. England, in particular, was tired of unchartered freedom, of spiritual as well as of literary anarchy. The religious tension of the Commonwealth period had relaxed—men cannot be always at the heroic pitch—and theological disputes had issued in indifference and a skepticism which took the form of deism, or "natural religion." But the deists were felt to be a nuisance. They were unsettling opinions and disturbing that decent conformity with generally received beliefs which it is the part of a good citizen to maintain. Addison instructs his readers that, in the absence of certainty, it is the part of a prudent man to choose the safe side and make friends with God. The freethinking Chesterfield[10] tells his son that the profession of atheism is ill-bred. De Foe, Swift, Richardson, Fielding, Johnson all attack infidelity. "Conform! Conform!" said in effect the most authoritative writers of the century. "Be sensible: go to church: pay your rates: don't be a vulgar deist—a fellow like Toland who is poor and has no social position. But, on the other hand, you need not be a fanatic or superstitious, or an enthusiast. Above all, *pas de zèle!*"

"Theology," says Leslie Stephen, "was, for the most part, almost as deistical as the deists. A hatred for enthusiasm was as strongly impressed upon the whole character of contemporary thought as a hatred of skepticism. . . . A good common-sense religion should be taken for granted and no questions asked. . . . With Shakspeare, or Sir Thomas Browne, or Jeremy Taylor, or Milton, man is contemplated in his relations to the universe; he is in presence of

## Page 22

eternity and infinity; life is a brief drama; heaven and hell are behind the veil of phenomena; at every step our friends vanish into the abyss of ever present mystery. To all such thoughts the writers of the eighteenth century seemed to close their eyes as resolutely as possible. . . The absence of any deeper speculative ground makes the immediate practical questions of life all the more interesting. We know not what we are, nor whither we are going, nor whence we come; but we can, by the help of common sense, discover a sufficient share of moral maxims for our guidance in life. . . Knowledge of human nature, as it actually presented itself in the shifting scene before them, and a vivid appreciation of the importance of the moral law, are the staple of the best literature of the time." [11]

The God of the deists was, in truth, hardly more impersonal than the abstraction worshiped by the orthodox—the “Great Being” of Addison’s essays, the “Great First Cause” of Pope’s “Universal Prayer,” invoked indifferently as “Jehovah, Jove, or Lord.” Dryden and Pope were professed Catholics, but there is nothing to distinguish their so-called sacred poetry from that of their Protestant contemporaries. Contrast the mere polemics of “The Hind and the Panther” with really Catholic poems like Southwell’s “Burning Babe” and Crashaw’s “Flaming Heart,” or even with Newman’s “Dream of Gerontius.” In his “Essay on Man,” Pope versified, without well understanding, the optimistic deism of Leibnitz, as expounded by Shaftesbury and Bolingbroke. The Anglican Church itself was in a strange condition, when Jonathan Swift, a dean and would-be bishop, came to its defense with his “Tale of a Tub” and his ironical “Argument against the Abolition of Christianity.” Among the Queen Anne wits Addison was the man of most genuine religious feeling. He is always reverent, and “the feeling infinite” stirs faintly in one or two of his hymns. But, in general, his religion is of the rationalizing type, a religion of common sense, a belief resting upon logical deductions, a system of ethics in which the supernatural is reduced to the lowest terms, and from which the glooms and fervors of a deep spiritual experience are almost entirely absent. This “parson in a tie-wig” is constantly preaching against zeal, enthusiasm, superstition, mysticism, and recommending a moderate, cheerful, and reason religion. [12] It is instructive to contrast his amused contempt for popular beliefs in ghosts, witches, dreams, prognostications, and the like, with the reawakened interest in folk lore evidenced by such a book as Scott’s “Demonology and Witchcraft.”

## Page 23

Queen Anne literature was classical, then, in its lack of those elements of mystery and aspiration which we have found described as of the essence of romanticism. It was emphatically a literature of this world. It ignored all vague emotion, the phenomena of subconsciousness, "the electric chain wherewith we are darkly bound," the shadow that rounds man's little life, and fixed its attention only upon what it could thoroughly comprehend.[13] Thereby it escaped obscurity. The writings of the Augustans in both verse and prose are distinguished by a perfect clearness, but it is a clearness without subtlety or depth. They never try to express a thought, or to utter a feeling, that is not easily intelligible. The mysticism of Wordsworth, the incoherence of Shelley, the darkness of Browning—to take only modern instances—proceed, however, not from inferior art, but from the greater difficulty of finding expression for a very different order of ideas.

Again the literature of the Restoration and Queen Anne periods—which may be regarded as one, for present purposes—was classical, or at least unromantic, in its self-restraint, its objectivity, and its lack of curiosity; or, as a hostile criticism would put it, in its coldness of feeling, the tameness of its imagination, and its narrow and imperfect sense of beauty. It was a literature not simply of this world, but of *the* world, of the *beau monde*, high life, fashion, society, the court and the town, the salons, clubs, coffee-houses, assemblies, ombre-parties. It was social, urban, gregarious, intensely though not broadly human. It cared little for the country or outward nature, and nothing for the life of remote times and places. Its interest was centered upon civilization and upon that peculiarly artificial type of civilization which it found prevailing. It was as indifferent to Venice, Switzerland, the Alhambra, the Nile, the American forests, and the islands of the South Sea as it was to the Middle Ages and the manners of Scotch Highlanders. The sensitiveness to the picturesque, the liking for local color and for whatever is striking, characteristic, and peculiarly national in foreign ways is a romantic note. The eighteenth century disliked "strangeness added to beauty"; it disapproved of anything original, exotic, tropical, bizarre for the same reason that it disapproved of mountains and Gothic architecture.

Professor Gates says that the work of English literature during the first quarter of the present century was "the rediscovery and vindication of the concrete. The special task of the eighteenth century had been to order, and to systematize, and to name; its favorite methods had been analysis and generalization. It asked for no new experience. . . The abstract, the typical, the general—these were everywhere exalted at the expense of the image, the specific experience, the vital fact." [14] Classical tragedy, *e.g.*, undertook to present only the universal,



## Page 24

abstract, permanent truths of human character and passion.[15] The impression of the mysterious East upon modern travelers and poets like Byron, Southey, De Quincey, Moore, Hugo,[16] Ruckert, and Gerard de Nerval, has no counterpart in the eighteenth century. The Oriental allegory or moral apologue, as practiced by Addison in such papers as "The Vision of Mirza," and by Johnson in "Rasselas," is rather faintly colored and gets what color it has from the Old Testament. It is significant that the romantic Collins endeavored to give a novel turn to the decayed pastoral by writing a number of "Oriental Eclogues," in which dervishes and camel-drivers took the place of shepherds, but the experiment was not a lucky one. Milton had more of the East in his imagination than any of his successors. His "vulture on Imaus bred, whose snowy ridge the roving Tartar bounds"; his "plain of Sericana where Chinese drive their cany wagons light"; his "utmost Indian isle Taprobane," are touches of the picturesque which anticipate a more modern mood than Addison's.

"The difference," says Matthew Arnold, "between genuine poetry and the poetry of Dryden, Pope, and all their school is briefly this: their poetry is conceived and composed in their wits, genuine poetry is conceived and composed in the soul." The representative minds of the eighteenth century were such as Voltaire, the master of persiflage, destroying superstition with his *souriere hideux*; Gibbon, "the lord of irony," "sapping a solemn creed with solemn sneer"; and Hume, with his thorough-going philosophic skepticism, his dry Toryism, and cool contempt for "zeal" of any kind. The characteristic products of the era were satire, burlesque, and travesty: "Hudibras," "Absalom and Achitophel," "The Way of the World," "Gulliver's Travels" and "The Rape of the Lock." There is a whole literature of mockery: parodies like Prior's "Ballad on the Taking of Namur" and "The Country Mouse and the City Mouse"; Buckingham's "Rehearsal" and Swift's "Meditation on a Broomstick"; mock-heroics, like the "Dunciad" and "MacFlecknoe" and Garth's "Dispensary," and John Phillips' "Splendid Shilling" and Addison's "Machinae Gesticulantes"; Prior's "Alma," a burlesque of philosophy; Gay's "Trivia" and "The Shepherd's Week," and "The Beggars' Opera"-a "Newgate pastoral"; "Town Eclogues" by Swift and Lady Montague and others. Literature was a polished mirror in which the gay world saw its own grinning face. It threw back a most brilliant picture of the surface of society, showed manners but not the elementary passions of human nature. As a whole, it leaves an impression of hardness, shallowness, and levity. The polite cynicism of Congreve, the ferocious cynicism of Swift, the malice of Pope, the pleasantry of Addison, the early worldliness of Prior and Gay are seldom relieved by any touch of the ideal. The prose of the time was excellent, but the poetry was merely rhymed prose. The recent Queen Anne revival in architecture, dress, and bric-a-brac,

## Page 25

the recrudescence of society verse in Dobson and others, is perhaps symptomatic of the fact that the present generation has entered upon a prosaic reaction against romantic excesses and we are finding our picturesque in that era of artifice which seemed so picturesque to our forerunners. The sedan chair, the blue china, the fan, farthingale, and powdered head dress have now got the "rime of age" and are seen in fascinating perspective, even as the mailed courser, the buff jerkin, the cowl, and the cloth-yard shaft were seen by the men of Scott's generation.

Once more, the eighteenth century was classical in its respect for authority. It desired to put itself under discipline, to follow the rules, to discover a formula of correctness in all the arts, to set up a tribunal of taste and establish canons of composition, to maintain standards, copy models and patterns, comply with conventions, and chastise lawlessness. In a word, its spirit was academic. Horace was its favorite master—not Horace of the Odes, but Horace of the Satires and Epistles, and especially Horace as interpreted by Boileau.[17] The "Ars Poetica" had been englished by the Earl of Roscommon, and imitated by Boileau in his "L'Art Poetique," which became the parent of a numerous progeny in England; among others as "Essay on Satire" and an "Essay on Poetry," by the Earl of Mulgrave;[18] an "Essay on Translated Verse" by the Earl of Roscommon, who, says Addison, "makes even rules a noble poetry";[19] and Pope's well-known "Essay on Criticism."

The doctrine of Pope's essay is, in brief, follow Nature, and in order that you may follow nature, observe the rules, which are only "Nature methodized," and also imitate the ancients.

"Learn hence for ancient rules a just esteem;  
To copy nature is to copy them."

Thus Vergil when he started to compose the Aeneid may have seemed above the critic's law, but when he came to study Homer, he found that Nature and Homer were the same. Accordingly,

"he checks the bold design,  
And rules as strict his labor'd work confine."

Not to stimulate, but to check, to confine, to regulate, is the unfailing precept of this whole critical school. Literature, in the state in which they found it, appeared to them to need the curb more than the spur.

Addison's scholarship was almost exclusively Latin, though it was Vergilian rather than Horatian. Macaulay[20] says of Addison's "Remarks on Italy"; "To the best of our remembrance, Addison does not mention Dante, Petrarch, Boccaccio, Bolardo, Berni,



Lorenzo de' Medici, or Machiavelli. He coldly tells us that at Ferrara he saw the tomb of Ariosto, and that at Venice he heard the gondoliers sing verses of Tasso. But for Tasso and Ariosto he cared far less than for Valerius Flaccus and Sidonius Apollinaris. The gentle flow of the Ticino brings a line of Silius to his mind. The sulphurous

## Page 26

stream of Albula suggests to him several passages of Martial. But he has not a word to say of the illustrious dead of Santa Croce; he crosses the wood of Ravenna[21] without recollecting the specter huntsman, and wanders up and down Rimini without one thought of Francesca. At Paris he had eagerly sought an introduction to Boileau; but he seems not to have been at all aware that at Florence he was in the vicinity of a poet with whom Boileau could not sustain a comparison: of the greatest lyric poet of modern times [!] Vincenzo Filicaja. . . The truth is that Addison knew little and cared less about the literature of modern Italy. His favorite models were Latin. His favorite critics were French. Half the Tuscan poetry that he had read seemed to him monstrous and the other half tawdry."[22]

There was no academy in England, but there was a critical tradition that was almost as influential. French critical gave the law: Boileau, Dacier, LeBossu, Rapin, Bouhours; English critics promulgated it: Dennis, Langbaine, Rymer, Gildon, and others now little read. Three writers of high authority in three successive generations—Dryden, Addison, and Johnson—consolidated a body of literary opinion which may be described, in the main, as classical, and as consenting, though with minor variations. Thus it was agreed on all hands that it was a writer's duty to be "correct." It was well indeed to be "bold," but bold with discretion. Dryden thought Shakspeare a great poet than Jonson, but an inferior artist. He was to be admired, but not approved. Homer, again, it was generally conceded, was not so correct as Vergil, though he had more "fire." Chesterfield preferred Vergil to Homer, and both of them to Tasso. But of all epics the one he read with most pleasure was the "Henriade." As for "Paradise Lost," he could not read it through. William Walsh, "the muses' judge and friend," advised the youthful Pope that "there was one way still left open for him, by which he might excel any of his predecessors, which was by correctness; that though indeed we had several great poets, we as yet could boast of none that were perfectly correct; and that therefore he advised him to make this quality his particular study." "The best of the moderns in all language," he wrote to Pope, "are those that have the nearest copied the ancients." Pope was thankful for the counsel and mentions its giver in the "Essay on Criticism" as one who had

"taught his muse to sing,  
Prescribed her heights and pruned her tender wing."

But what was correct? In the drama, e.g., the observance of the unities was almost universally recommended, but by no means universally practiced. Johnson, himself a sturdy disciple of Dryden and Pope, exposed the fallacy of that stage illusion, on the supposed necessity of which the unities of time and place were defended. Yet Johnson, in his own tragedy "Irene," conformed to the rules

## Page 27

of Aristotle. He pronounced "Cato" "unquestionably the noblest production of Addison's genius," but acknowledge that its success had "introduced, or confirmed among us, the use of dialogue too declamatory, of unassuming elegance and chill philosophy." On the other hand Addison had small regard for poetic justice, which Johnson thought ought to be observed. Addison praised old English ballads, which Johnson thought mean and foolish; and he guardedly commends<sup>[23]</sup> "the fairy way of writing," a romantic foppery that Johnson despised.<sup>[24]</sup>

Critical opinion was pronounced in favor of separating tragedy and comedy, and Addison wrote one sentence which condemns half the plays of Shakspeare and Fletcher: "The tragi-comedy, which is the product of the English theater, is one the most monstrous inventions that ever entered into a poet's thought."<sup>[25]</sup> Dryden made some experiments in tragi-comedy, but, in general, classical comedy was pure comedy—the prose comedy of manners—and classical tragedy admitted no comic intermixture. Whether tragedy should be in rhyme, after the French manner, or in blank verse, after the precedent of the old English stage, was a moot point. Dryden at first argued for rhyme and used it in his "heroic plays"; and it is significant that he defended its use on the ground that it would act as a check upon the poet's fancy. But afterward he grew "weary of his much-loved mistress, rhyme," and went back to blank verse in his later plays.

As to poetry other than dramatic, the Restoration critics were at one in judging blank verse too "low" for a poem of heroic dimensions; and though Addison gave it the preference in epic poetry, Johnson was its persistent foe, and regarded it as little short of immoral. But for that matter, Gray could endure no blank verse outside of Milton. This is curious, that rhyme, a mediaeval invention, should have been associated in the last century with the classical school of poetry; while blank verse, the nearest English equivalent of the language of Attic tragedy, was a shibboleth of romanticizing poets, like Thomson and Akenside. The reason was twofold: rhyme came stamped with the authority of the French tragic alexandrine; and, secondly, it meant constraint where blank verse meant freedom, "ancient liberty, recovered to heroic poem from the troublesome and modern bondage of rhyming."<sup>[26]</sup> Pope, among his many thousand rhymed couplets, has left no blank verse except the few lines contributed to Thomson's "Seasons." Even the heroic couplet as written by earlier poets was felt to have been too loose in structure. "The excellence and dignity of it," says Dryden, "were never fully known till Mr. Waller taught it; he first made writing easily an art; first showed us how to conclude the sense most commonly in distichs, which, in the verse of those before him, runs on for so many lines together, that the reader is out of breath to overtake it."<sup>[27]</sup> All through the classical period

## Page 28

the tradition is constant that Waller was the first modern English poet, the first correct versifier. Pope is praised by Johnson because he employed but sparingly the triplets and alexandrines by which Dryden sought to vary the monotony of the couplet; and he is censured by Cowper because, by force of his example, he “made poetry a mere mechanic art.” Henceforth the distich was treated as a unit: the first line was balanced against the second, and frequently the first half of the line against the second half.

“To err is human, to forgive divine.”

“And so obliging, that he ne’er obliged.”

“Charms strike the eye, but merit wins the soul,” *etc.*, *etc.*

This type of verse, which Pope brought to perfection, and to which he gave all the energy and variety of which it was capable, so prevailed in our poetry for a century or more that one almost loses sight of the fact that any other form was employed. The sonnet, for instance, disappeared entirely, until revived by Gray, Stillingfleet, Edwards, and Thomas Warton, about the middle of the eighteenth century.[28] When the poets wished to be daring and irregular, they were apt to give vent in that species of pseudo-Pindaric ode which Cowley had introduced—a literary disease which, Dr. Johnson complained, infected the British muse with the notion that “he who could do nothing else could write like Pindar.”

Sir Charles Eastlake in his “History of the Gothic Revival” testifies to this formal spirit from the point of view of another art than literature. “The age in which Batty Langley lived was an age in which it was customary to refer all matters of taste to rule and method. There was one standard of excellence in poetry—a standard that had its origin in the smooth distichs of heroic verse which Pope was the first to perfect, and which hundreds of later rhymers who lacked his nobler powers soon learned to imitate. In pictorial art, it was the grand school which exercised despotic sway over the efforts of genius and limited the painter’s inventions to the field of Pagan mythology. In architecture, Vitruvius was the great authority. The graceful majesty of the Parthenon—the noble proportions of the temple of Theseus—the chaste enrichment which adorns the Choragic monument of Lysicrates, were ascribed less to the fertile imagination and refined perceptions of the ancient Greek, than to the dry and formal precepts which were invented centuries after their erection. Little was said of the magnificent sculpture which filled the metopes of the temple of the Minerva; but the exact height and breadth of the triglyphs between them were considered of the greatest importance. The exquisite drapery of caryatids and canephora, no English artist, a hundred years ago, thought fit to imitate; but the cornices which they supposed were measured inch by inch with the utmost nicety. Ingenious devices were invented for enabling the artificer to reproduce,

## Page 29

by a series of complicated curves, the profile of a Doric capital, which probably owed its form to the steady hand and uncontrolled taste of the designer. To put faith in many of the theories propounded by architectural authorities in the last century, would be to believe that some of the grandest monuments which the world has ever seen raised, owe their chief beauty to an accurate knowledge of arithmetic. The diameter of the column was divided into modules: the modules were divided into minutes; the minutes into fractions of themselves. A certain height was allotted to the shaft, another to the entablature. . . . Sometimes the learned discussed how far apart the columns of a portico might be.”[29]

This kind of mensuration reminds one of the disputes between French critics as to whether the unity of time meant thirty hours, or twenty-four, or twelve, or the actual time that it took to act the play; or of the geometric method of the “Saturday papers” in the *Spectator*. Addison tries “Paradise Lost” by Aristotle’s rules for the composition of an epic. Is it the narrative of a single great action? Does it begin *in medias res*, as is proper, or *ab ovo Leda*, as Horace has said that an epic ought not? Does it bring in the introductory matter by way of episode, after the approved recipe of Homer and Vergil? Has it allegorical characters, contrary to the practice of the ancients? Does the poet intrude personally into his poem, thus mixing the lyric and epic styles? *etc.* Not a word as to Milton’s puritanism, or his *Weltanschauung*, or the relation of his work to its environment. Nothing of that historical and sympathetic method—that endeavor to put the reader at the poet’s point of view—by which modern critics, from Lessing to Sainte-Beuve, have revolutionized their art. Addison looks at “Paradise Lost” as something quite distinct from Milton: as a manufactured article to be tested by comparing it with standard fabrics by recognized makers, like the authors of the *Iliad* and *Aeneid*.

When the Queen Anne poetry took a serious turn, the generalizing spirit of the age led it almost always into the paths of ethical and didactic verse. “It stooped to truth and moralized its song,” finding its favorite occupation in the sententious expression of platitudes—the epigram in satire, the maxim in serious work. It became a poetry of aphorisms, instruction us with Pope that

“Virtue alone is happiness below;”

or, with Young, that

“Procrastination is the thief of time;”

or, with Johnson, that

“Slow rises worth by poverty depressed.”

When it attempted to deal concretely with the passions, it found itself impotent. Pope's "Epistle of Eloisa to Abelard" rings hollow: it is rhetoric, not poetry. The closing lines of "The Dunciad"—so strangely overpraised by Thackeray—with their metallic clank and grandiose verbiage, are not truly imaginative. The poet is simply working himself up to a climax of the false sublime, as an orator deliberately attaches a sounding peroration to his speech. Pope is always "heard," never "overheard."

## Page 30

The poverty of the classical period in lyrical verse is particularly significant, because the song is the most primitive and spontaneous kind of poetry, and the most direct utterance of personal feeling. Whatever else the poets of Pope's time could do, they could not sing. They are the despair of the anthologists.[30] Here and there among the brilliant reasoners, *raconteurs*, and satirists in verse, occurs a clever epigrammatist like Prior, or a ballad writer like Henry Carey, whose "Sally in Our Alley" shows the singing, and not talking, voice, but hardly the lyric cry. Gay's "Blackeyed Susan" has genuine quality, though its *rococo* graces are more than half artificial. Sweet William is very much such an opera sailor-man as Bumkinet or Grubbinol is a shepherd, and his wooing is beribboned with conceits like these:

"If to fair India's coast we sail,  
Thy eyes are seen in diamonds bright,  
Thy breath is Afric's spicy gale,  
Thy skin is ivory so white.  
Thus every beauteous prospect that I view,  
Wakes in my soul some charm of lovely Sue."

It was the same with the poetry of outward nature as with the poetry of human passion. [31] In Addison's "Letter from Italy," in Pope's "Pastorals," and "Windsor Forest," the imagery, when not actually false, is vague and conventional, and the language abounds in classical insipidities, epithets that describe nothing, and generalities at second hand from older poets, who may once, perhaps, have written with their "eyes upon the object." Blushing Flora paints the enameled ground; cheerful murmurs fluctuate on the gale; Eridanus through flowery meadows strays; gay gilded[32] scenes and shining prospects rise; while everywhere are balmy zephyrs, sylvan shades, winding vales, vocal shores, silver floods, crystal springs, feathered quires, and Phoebus and Philomel and Ceres' gifts assist the purple year. It was after this fashion that Pope rendered the famous moonlight passage in his translation of the Iliad:

"Then shine the vales, the rocks in prospect rise,  
A flood of glory bursts from all the skies," etc.

"Strange to think of an enthusiast," says Wordsworth, "reciting these verses under the cope of a moonlight sky, without having his raptures in the least disturbed by a suspicion of their absurdity." The poetic diction against which Wordsworth protested was an outward sign of the classical preference for the general over the concrete. The vocabulary was Latinized because, in English, the *mot propre* is commonly a Saxon word, while its Latin synonym has a convenient indefiniteness that keeps the subject at arm's length. Of a similar tendency was the favorite rhetorical figure of personification, which gave a false air of life to abstractions by the easy process of spelling them with a capital letter. Thus:

## Page 31

"From bard to bard the frigid caution crept,  
Till Declamation roared whilst Passion slept;  
Yet still did Virtue deign the stage to tread,  
Philosophy remained though Nature fled,. . .  
Exulting Folly hailed the joyful day,  
And Pantomime and Song confirmed her sway." [33]

Everything was personified; Britannia, Justice, Liberty, Science, Melancholy, Night.  
Even vaccination for the smallpox was invoked as a goddess,

"Inoculation, heavenly maid, descend!" [34]

But circumstances or periphrasis was the capital means by which the Augustan poet avoided precision and attained nobility of style. It enabled him to speak of a woman as a "nymph," or a "fair"; of sheep as "the fleecy care"; of fishes as "the scaly tribe"; and of a picket fence as a "spiculated paling." Lowell says of Pope's followers: "As the master had made it an axiom to avoid what was mean or low, so the disciples endeavored to escape from what was common. This they contrived by the ready expedient of the periphrasis. They called everything something else. A boot with them was

"The shining leather that encased the limb.'

"Coffee became

"The fragrant juice of Mocha's berry brown.'" [35]

"For the direct appeal to Nature, and the naming of specific objects," says Mr. Gosse, [36] "they substituted generalities and second-hand allusions. They no longer mentioned the gillyflower and the daffodil, but permitted themselves a general reference to Flora's vernal wreath. It was vulgar to say that the moon was rising; the gentlemanly expression was, 'Cynthia is lifting her silver horn!' Women became nymphs in this new phraseology, fruits became 'the treasures of Pomona,' a horse became 'the impatient courser.' The result of coining these conventional counters for groups of ideas was that the personal, the exact, was lost in literature. Apples were the treasures of Pomona, but so were cherries, too, and if one wished to allude to peaches, they also were the treasures of Pomona. This decline from particular to general language was regarded as a great gain in elegance. It was supposed that to use one of these genteel counters, which passed for coin of poetic language, brought the speaker closer to the grace of Latinity. It was thought that the old direct manner of speaking was crude and futile; that a romantic poet who wished to allude to caterpillars could do so without any exercise of his ingenuity by simply introducing the word 'caterpillars,' whereas the classical poet had to prove that he was a scholar and a gentleman by inventing some circumlocution, such as 'the crawling scourge that smites the leafy plain.' . . . In the generation that



succeeded Pope really clever writers spoke of a 'gelid cistern,' when they meant a cold bath, and 'the loud hunter-crew' when they meant a pack of foxhounds."

## Page 32

It would be a mistake to suppose that the men of Pope's generation, including Pope himself, were altogether wanting in romantic feeling. There is a marked romantic accent in the Countess of Winchelsea's ode "To the Nightingale"; in her "Nocturnal Reverie"; in Parnell's "Night Piece on Death," and in the work of several Scotch poets, like Allan Ramsay and Hamilton of Bangour, whose ballad, "The Braces of Yarrow," is certainly a strange poem to come out of the heart of the eighteenth century. But these are eddies and back currents in the stream of literary tendency. We are always in danger of forgetting that the literature of an age does not express its entire, but only its prevailing, spirit. There is commonly a latent, silent body of thought and feeling underneath which remains inarticulate, or nearly so. It is this prevailing spirit and fashion which I have endeavored to describe in the present chapter. If the picture seems to lack relief, or to be in any way exaggerated, the reader should consult the chapters on "Classicism" and "The Pseudo-Classicists" in M. Pellisier's "Literary Movement in France," already several times referred to. They describe a literary situation which had a very exact counterpart in England.

[1] As another notable weakness of the age is its habit of looking, to the past ages—not understanding them all the while . . . so Scott gives up nearly the half of his intellectual power to a fond yet purposeless dreaming over the past; and spends half his literary labors in endeavors to revive it, not in reality, but on the stage of fiction: endeavors which were the best of the kind that modernism made, but still successful only so far as Scott put under the old armor the everlasting human nature which he knew; and totally unsuccessful so far as concerned the painting of the armor itself, which he knew *not*. . . His romance and antiquarianism, his knighthood and monkery, are all false, and he knows them to be false.—*Ruskin, "Modern Painters,"* Vol. III. p. 279 (First American Edition, 1860).

[2] See also the sly hit at popular fiction in the *Nonne Prestes Tale*:

"This story is also trewe, I undertake,  
As is the book of Launcelot de Lake,  
That women hold in ful gret reverence."

[3] "History of English Thought in the Eighteenth Century," Vol. II. chap xii, section vii.

[4] Sentimentalism approaches its subject through the feelings; romanticism through the imagination.

[5] Ruskin, too indicates the common element in romanticism and naturalism—a desire to escape from the Augustan formalism. I condense the passage slightly: "To powder the hair, to patch the cheek, to hoop the body, to buckle the foot, were all part and parcel of the same system which reduced streets to brick walls and pictures to brown stains. Reaction from this state was inevitable, and accordingly men steal out to the fields and mountains; and, finding

## Page 33

among these color and liberty and variety and power, rejoice in all the wildest shattering of the mountain side, as an opposition to Gower Street. It is not, however, only to existing inanimate nature that our want of beauty in person and dress has driven us. The imagination of it, as it was seen in our ancestors, haunts us continually. We look fondly back to the manners of the age sought in the centuries which we profess to have surpassed in everything. . . This romantic love of beauty, forced to seek in history and in external nature the satisfaction it cannot find in ordinary life.”—*Modern Painters*, Vol. III. p. 260.

[6] Although devout in their admiration for antiquity, the writers of the seventeenth century have by no means always clearly grasped the object of their cult. Though they may understand Latin tradition, they have certainly never entered into the freer, more original spirit of Greek art. They have but an incomplete, superficial conception of Hellenism. . . Boileau celebrates but does not understand Pindar. . . The seventeenth century comprehended Homer no better than Pindar. What we miss in them is exactly what we like best in his epopee—the vast living picture of semi-barbarous civilization. . . No society could be less fitted than that of the seventeenth century to feel and understand the spirit of primitive antiquity. In order to appreciate Homer, it was thought necessary to civilize the barbarian, make him a scrupulous writer, and convince him that the word “ass” is a “very noble” expression in Greek—*Pellisier: “The Literary Movement in France” (Brinton’s translation, 1897)*, pp. 8-10. So Addison apologizes for Homer’s failure to observe those qualities of nicety, correctness, and what the French call *bienseance* (decorum,) the necessity of which had only been found out in later times. See *The Spectator*, No. 160.

[7] Preface to “Cromwell.”

[8] “History of English Poetry,” section lxi. Vol III. p. 398 (edition of 1840).

[9] See, for a fuller discussion of this subject, “From Shakspeare to Pope: An Inquiry into the Causes and Phenomena of the Rise of Classical Poetry in England,” by Edmund Gosse, 1885.

[10] The cold-hearted, polished Chesterfield is a very representative figure. Johnson, who was really devout, angrily affirmed that his celebrated letters taught: “the morality of a whore with the manners of a dancing-master.”

[11] “History of English Thought in the Eighteen Century,” Vol. II. chap. xii. Section iv. See also “Selections from Newman,” by Lewis E. Gates, Introduction, pp. xlvii-xlviii. (1895).

[12] See especially *Spectator*, Nos. 185, 186, 201, 381, and 494.

[13] The classical Landor's impatience of mysticism explains his dislike of Plato, the mystic among Greeks. Diogenes says to Plato: "I meddle not at present with infinity or eternity: when I can comprehend them, I will talk about them," "Imaginary Conversations," 2d series, Conversation XV. Landor's contempt for German literature is significant.

## Page 34

[14] "Selections from Newman," Introduction, pp. xlvii-xlviii.

[15] Racine observes that good sense and reason are the same in all ages. What is the result of this generalization? Heroes can be transported from epoch to epoch, from country to country, without causing surprise. Their Achilles is no more a Greek than is Porus an Indian; Andromache feels and talks like a seventeenth-century princess: Phaedra experiences the remorse of a Christian.—*Pellissier, "Literary Movement in France,"* p. 18.

In substituting men of concrete, individual lives for the ideal figures of tragic art, romanticism was forced to determine their physiognomy by a host of local, casual details. In the name of universal truth the classicists rejected the coloring of time and place; and this is precisely what the romanticists seek under the name of particular reality.—*Ibid.* p. 220. Similarly Montezuma's Mexicans in Dryden's "Indian Emperor" have no more national individuality than the Spanish Moors in his "Conquest of Granada." The only attempt at local color in "Aurungzebe"—an heroic play founded on the history of a contemporary East Indian potentate who died seven years after the author—is the introduction of the *suttee*, and one or two mentions of elephants.

[16] See "Les Orientales" (Hugo) and Nerval's "Les Nuits de Rhamadan" and "La Legende du Calife Hakem."

[17] The rules a nation, born to serve, obeys;  
And Boileau still in right of Horace sways.  
—Pope, "Essay on Criticism,"

[18] These critical verse essays seem to have been particularly affected by this order of the peerage; for, somewhat later, we have one, "On Unnatural Flights in Poetry," by the Earl of Lansdowne—"Granville the polite."

[19] "Epistle to Sacheverel."

[20] "Essay on Addison."

[21] Sweet hour of twilight!—in the solitude  
Of the pine forest, and the silent shore  
Which bounds Ravenna's immemorial wood,  
Rooted where once the Adrian wave flowed o'er,  
To where the last Caesarian fortress stood,  
Evergreen forest! which Boccaccio's lore  
And Dryden's lay made haunted ground to me,  
How have I loved the twilight hour and thee!  
—*Don Juan*

[22] I must entirely agree with Monsieur Boileau, that one verse of Vergil is worth all the *cliquant* or tinsel of Tasso.—*Spectator*, No. 5.

[23] *Spectator*, No. 419.

[24] See his “Life of Collins.”

[25] *Spectator*, No. 40.

[26] “The Verse”: Preface to “Paradise Lost.”

[27] Dedicatory epistle to “The Rival Ladies.”

[28] Mr. Gosse says that a sonnet by Pope’s friend Walsh is the only one “written in English between Milton’s in 1658, and Warton’s about 1750,” Ward’s “English Poets,” Vol. III, p. 7. The statement would have been more precise if he had said published instead of *written*.

## Page 35

[29] "History of the Gothic Revival," pp. 49-50 (edition of 1872).

[30] Palgrave says that the poetry of passion was deformed, after 1660, by "levity and an artificial time"; and that it lay "almost dormant for the hundred years between the days of Wither and Suckling and the days of Burns and Cowper," "Golden Treasury" (Sever and Francis edition, 1866). pp. 379-80.

[31] Excepting the "Nocturnal Reverie" of Lady Winchelsea, and a passage or two in the "Windsor Forest" of Pope, the poetry of the period intervening between the publication of the "Paradise Lost" and the "Seasons" [1667-1726] does not contain a single new image of external nature.—*Wordsworth. Appendix to Lyrical Ballads*, (1815).

[32] *Gild* is a perfect earmark of eighteenth-century descriptive verse: the shore is gilded and so are groves, clouds, *etc.* Contentment gilds the scene, and the stars gild the gloomy night (Parnell) or the glowing pole (Pope).

[33] Johnson, "Prologue at the Opening of Drury Lane," 1747.

[34] See Coleridge, "Biographia Literaria," chap. XVIII

[35] Essay on Pope, in "My Study Windows."

[36] "From Shakespere to Pope," pp. 9-11.

## CHAPTER III.

### The Spenserians

Dissatisfaction with a prevalent mood or fashion in literature is apt to express itself either in a fresh and independent criticism of life, or in a reversion to older types. But, as original creative genius is not always forthcoming, a literary revolution commonly begins with imitation. It seeks inspiration in the past, and substitutes a new set of models as different as possible from those which it finds currently followed. In every country of Europe the classical tradition had hidden whatever was most national, most individual, in its earlier culture, under a smooth, uniform veneer. To break away from modern convention, England and Germany, and afterward France, went back to ancient springs of national life; not always, at first, wisely, but in obedience to a true instinct.

How far did any knowledge or love of the old romantic literature of England survive among the contemporaries of Dryden and Pope? It is not hard to furnish an answer to this question. The prefaces of Dryden, the critical treatises of Dennis, Winstanley, Oldmixon, Rymer, Langbaine, Gildon, Shaftesbury, and many others, together with hundreds of passages in prologues and epilogues to plays; in periodical essays like the *Tatler* and *Spectator*; in verse essays like Roscommon's, Mulgrave's and Pope's; in

prefaces to various editions of Shakspeare and Spenser; in letters, memoirs, *etc.*, supply a mass of testimony to the fact that neglect and contempt had, with a few exceptions, overtaken all English writers who wrote before the middle of the seventeenth century. The exceptions, of course, were those supreme masters



## Page 36

whose genius prevailed against every change of taste: Shakspeare and Milton, and, in a less degree, Chaucer and Spenser. Of authors strictly mediaeval, Chaucer still had readers, and there were reprints of his works in 1687, 1721, and 1737,[1] although no critical edition appeared until Tyrwhitt's in 1775-78. It is probable, however, that the general reader, if he read Chaucer at all, read him in such modernized versions as Dryden's "Fables" and Pope's "January and May." Dryden's preface has some admirable criticism of Chaucer, although it is evident, from what he says about the old poet's versification, that the secret of Middle English scansion and pronunciation had already been lost. Prior and Pope, who seem to have been attracted chiefly to the looser among the "Canterbury Tales," made each a not very successful experiment at burlesque imitation of Chaucerian language.

Outside of Chaucer, and except among antiquarians and professional scholars, there was no remembrance of the whole *corpus poetarum* of the English Middle Age: none of the metrical romances, rhymed chronicles, saints' legends, miracle plays, minstrel ballads, verse homilies, manuals of devotion, animal fables, courtly or popular allegories and love songs of the thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth centuries. Nor was there any knowledge or care about the masterpieces of medieval literature in other languages than English; about such representative works as the "Nibelungenlied," the "Chanson de Roland," the "Roman de la Rose," the "Parzival" of Wolfram von Eschenbach, the "Tristan" of Gottfried of Strasburg, the "Arme Heinrich" of Harmann von Aue, the chronicles of Villehardouin, Joinville, and Froissart, the "Morte Artus," the "Dies Irae," the lyrics of the troubadour Bernart de Ventadour, and of the minnesinger Walter von der Vogelweide, the Spanish Romancero, the poems of the Elder Edda, the romances of "Amis et Amile" and "Aucassin et Nicolette," the writings of Villon, the "De Imitatione Christi" ascribed to Thomas a Kempis. Dante was a great name and fame, but he was virtually unread.

There is nothing strange about this; many of these things were still in manuscript and in unknown tongues, Old Norse, Old French, Middle High German, Middle English, Mediaeval Latin. It would be hazardous to assert that the general reader, or even the educated reader, of to-day has much more acquaintance with them at first hand than his ancestor of the eighteenth century; or much more acquaintance than he has with Aeschylus, Thucydides, and Lucretius, at first hand. But it may be confidently asserted that he knows much more *about* them; that he thinks them worth knowing about; and that through modern, popular versions of them—through poems, historical romances, literary histories, essays and what not—he has in his mind's eye a picture of the Middle Age, perhaps as definite and fascinating as the picture of classical antiquity. That he has so is owing to the romantic movement. For the significant circumstance about the attitude of the last century toward the whole medieval period was, not its ignorance, but its incuriosity. It did not want to hear anything about it.[2] Now and then, hints Pope, an

antiquarian pedant, a university don, might affect an admiration for some obsolete author:

## Page 37

"Chaucer's worst ribaldry is learned by rote,  
And beastly Skelton heads of houses quote:  
One likes no language but the 'Faery Queen';  
A Scot will fight for 'Christ's Kirk o' the Green.'"[3]

But, furthermore, the great body of Elizabethan and Stuart literature was already obsolescent. Dramatists of the rank of Marlowe and Webster, poets like George Herbert and Robert Herrick—favorites with our own generation—prose authors like Sir Thomas Browne—from whom Coleridge and Emerson drew inspiration—had fallen into "the portion of weeds and outworn faces." Even writers of such recent, almost contemporary, repute as Donne, whom Carew had styled

"—a king who ruled, as he thought fit,  
The universal monarch of wit":

Or as Cowley, whom Dryden called the darling of his youth, and who was esteemed in his own lifetime a better poet than Milton; even Donne and Cowley had no longer a following. Pope "versified" some of Donne's rugged satires, and Johnson quoted passages from him as examples of the bad taste of the metaphysical poets. This in the "Life of Cowley," with which Johnson began his "Lives of the Poets," as though Cowley was the first of the moderns. But,

"Who now reads Cowley?"

asks Pope in 1737.[4] The year of the Restoration (1660) draws a sharp line of demarcation between the old and the new. In 1675, the year after Milton's death, his nephew, Edward Philips, published "Theatrum Poetarum," a sort of biographical dictionary of ancient and modern authors. In the preface, he says: "As for the antiquated and fallen into obscurity from their former credit and reputation, they are, for the most part, those that have written beyond the verge of the present age; for let us look back as far as about thirty or forty years, and we shall find a profound silence of the poets beyond that time, except of some few dramatics."

This testimony is the more convincing, since Philips was something of a *laudator temporis acti*. He praises several old English poets and sneers at several new ones, such as Cleaveland and Davenant, who were high in favor with the royal party. He complains that nothing now "relishes so well as what is written in the smooth style of our present language, taken to be of late so much refined"; that "we should be so compliant with the French custom, as to follow set fashions"; that the imitation of Corneille has corrupted the English state; and that Dryden, "complying with the modified and gallantish humour of the time," has, in his heroic plays, "indulged a little too much to the French way of continual rime." One passage, at least, in Philips' preface has been thought to be an echo of Milton's own judgment on the pretensions of the new school of

poetry. "Wit, ingenuity, and learning in verse; even elegance itself, though that comes nearest, are one thing. True native poetry is another; in which

## Page 38

there is a certain air and spirit which perhaps the most learned and judicious in other arts do not perfectly apprehend, much less is it attainable by any study or industry. Nay, though all the laws of heroic poem, all the laws of tragedy were exactly observed, yet still this *tour entrejeant*—this poetic energy, if I may so call it, would be required to give life to all the rest; which shines through the roughest, most unpolished, and antiquated language, and may haply be wanting in the most polite and reformed. Let us observe Spenser, with all his rusty, obsolete words, with all his rough-hewn clouterly verses; yet take him throughout, and we shall find in him a graceful and poetic majesty. In like manner, Shakspeare in spite of all his unfiled expressions, his rambling and indigested fancies—the laughter of the critical—yet must be confessed a poet above many that go beyond him to literature[5] some degrees.”

The laughter of the critical! Let us pause upon the phrase, for it is a key to the whole attitude of the Augustan mind toward “our old tragick poet.” Shakspeare was already a national possession. Indeed it is only after the Restoration that we find any clear recognition of him, as one of the greatest—as perhaps himself the very greatest—of the dramatists of all time. For it is only after the Restoration that criticism begins. “Dryden,” says Dr. Johnson, “may be properly considered as the father of English criticism, as the writer who first taught us to determine, upon principles, the merit of composition. . . . Dryden’s ‘Essay of Dramatic Poesy’ [1667] was the first regular and valuable treatise on the art of writing.”[6] The old theater was dead and Shakspeare now emerged from amid its ruins, as the one unquestioned legacy of the Elizabethan age to the world’s literature. He was not only the favorite of the people, but in a critical time, and a time whole canons of dramatic art were opposed to his practice, he united the suffrages of all the authoritative leader of literacy opinion. Pope’s lines are conclusive as to the veneration in which Shakspeare’s memory was held a century after his death.

“On Avon’s banks, where flowers eternal blow,  
If I but ask, if any weed can grow;  
One tragic sentence if I dare deride  
Which Betterton’s grave action dignified . . .  
How will our fathers rise up in a rage,  
And swear, all shame is lost in George’s age.”[7]

The Shaksperian tradition is unbroken in the history of English literature and of the English theater. His plays, in one form or another, have always kept the stage even in the most degenerate condition of public taste.[8] Few handsomer tributes have been paid to Shakspeare’s genius than were paid in prose and verse, by the critics of our classical age, from Dryden to Johnson. “To begin then with Shakspeare,” says the former, in his “Essay of Dramatic Poesy,” “he was the man who, of all modern and perhaps ancient poets, had the largest and most comprehensive soul.” And, in the prologue to his adaptation of “The Tempest,” he acknowledges that

## Page 39

“Shakspeare’s magic could not copied be:  
Within that circle none durst walk but he.”

“The poet of whose works I have undertaken the revision,” writes Dr. Johnson, “may now begin to assume the dignity of an ancient, and claim the privilege of established fame and prescriptive veneration.”[9]

“Each change of many-colored life he drew,  
Exhausted worlds, and then imagined new.”[10]

Yet Dryden made many petulant, and Johnson many fatuous mistakes about Shakspeare; while such minor criticasters as Thomas Rymer[11] and Mrs. Charlotte Lennox[12] uttered inanities of blasphemy about the finest touches in “Macbeth” and “Othello.” For if we look closer, we notice that everyone who bore witness to Shakspeare’s greatness qualified his praise by an emphatic disapproval of his methods. He was a prodigious genius, but a most defective artist. He was the supremest of dramatic poets, but he did not know his business. It did not apparently occur to anyone—except, in some degree, to Johnson—that there was an absurdity in this contradiction; and that the real fault was not in Shakspeare, but in the standards by which he was tried. Here are the tests which technical criticism has always been seeking to impose, and they are not confined to the classical period only. They are used by Sidney, who took the measure of the English buskin before Shakspeare had begun to write; by Jonson, who measured socks with him in his own day; by Matthew Arnold, who wanted an English Academy, but in whom the academic vaccine, after so long a transmission, worked but mildly. Shakspeare violated the unities; his plays were neither right comedies nor right tragedies; he had small Latin and less Greek; he wanted art and sometimes sense, committing anachronisms and Bohemian shipwrecks; wrote hastily, did not blot enough, and failed of the grand style. He was “untaught, unpractised in a barbarous age”; a wild, irregular child of nature, ignorant of the rules, unacquainted with ancient models, succeeding—when he did succeed—by happy accident and the sheer force of genius; his plays were “roughdrawn,” his plots lame, his speeches bombastic; he was guilty on every page of “some solecism or some notorious flaw in sense.”[13]

Langbaine, to be sure, defends him against Dryden’s censure. But Dennis regrets his ignorance of poetic art and the disadvantages under which he lay from not being conversant with the ancients. If he had known his Sallust, he would have drawn a juster picture of Caesar; and if he had read Horace “Ad Pisones,” he would have made a better Achilles. He complains that he makes the good and the bad perish promiscuously; and that in “Coriolanus”—a play which Dennis “improved” for the new stage—he represents Menenius as a buffoon and introduces the rabble in a most undignified fashion.[14] Gildon, again, says that Shakspeare must have read Sidney’s “Defence of Posey” and therefore, ought to have known the rules and that his neglect of them was owing to laziness. “Money seems to have been his aim more than reputation, and therefore he was always in a hurry . . . and he thought it time thrown away, to study

regularity and order, when any confused stuff that came into his head would do his business and fill his house."[15]

## Page 40

It would be easy, but it would be tedious, to multiply proofs of this patronizing attitude toward Shakspeare. Perhaps Pope voices the general sentiment of his school, as fairly as anyone, in the last words of his preface.[16] "I will conclude by saying of Shakspeare that, with all his faults and with all the irregularity of his *drama*, one may look upon his works, in comparison of those that are more finished and regular, as upon an ancient, majestic piece of Gothic architecture compared with a neat, modern building. The latter is more elegant and glaring, but the former is more strong and solemn. . . It has much the greater variety, and much the nobler apartments, though we are often conducted to them by dark, odd and uncouth passages. Nor does the whole fail to strike us with greater reverence, though many of the parts are childish, ill-placed and unequal to its grandeur." This view of Shakspeare continued to be the rule until Coleridge and Schlegel taught the new century that this child of fancy was, in reality, a profound and subtle artist, but that the principles of his art—as is always the case with creative genius working freely and instinctively—were learned by practice, in the concrete, instead of being consciously thrown out by the workman himself into an abstract *theoria*; so that they have to be discovered by a reverent study of his work and lie deeper than the rules of French criticism. Schlegel, whose lectures on dramatic art were translated into English in 1815, speaks with indignation of the current English misunderstanding of Shakspeare. "That foreigners, and Frenchmen in particular, who frequently speak in the strangest language about antiquity and the Middle Age, as if cannibalism had been first put an end in Europe by Louis XIV., should entertain this opinion of Shakspeare might be pardonable. But that Englishmen should adopt such a calumination . . . is to me incomprehensible." [17]

The beginnings of the romantic movement in England were uncertain. There was a vague dissent from current literary estimates, a vague discontent with reigning literary modes, especially with the merely intellectual poetry then in vogue, which did not feed the soul. But there was, at first, no conscious, concerted effort toward something of creative activity. The new group of poets, partly contemporaries of Pope, partly successors to him—Thomson, Shenstone, Dyer, Akenside, Gray, Collins, and the Warton brothers—found their point of departure in the loving study and revival of old authors. From what has been said of the survival of Shakspeare's influence it might be expected that his would have been the name paramount among the pioneers of English romanticism. There are several reasons why this was not the case.



## Page 41

In the first place, the genius of the new poets was lyrical or descriptive, rather than dramatic. The divorce between literature and the stage had not yet, indeed, become total; and, in obedience to the expectation that every man of letters should try his hand at play-writing, Thomson, at least, as well as his friend and disciple Mallet, composed a number of dramas. But these were little better than failures even at the time; and while "The Seasons" has outlived all changes of taste, and "The Castle of Indolence" has never wanted admirers, tragedies like "Agamemnon" and "Sophonisba" have been long forgotten. An imitation of Shakspeare to any effective purpose must obviously have taken the shape of a play; and neither Gray nor Collins nor Akenside, nor any of the group, was capable of a play. Inspiration of a kind, these early romanticists did draw from Shakspeare. Verbal reminiscences of him abound in Gray. Collins was a diligent student of his works. His "Dirge in Cymbeline" is an exquisite variation on a Shaksperian theme. In the delirium of his last sickness, he told Warton that he had found in an Italian novel the long-sought original of the plot of "The Tempest." It is noteworthy, by the way, that the romanticists were attracted to the poetic, as distinguished from the dramatic, aspect of Shakspeare's genius; to those of his plays in which fairy lore and supernatural machinery occur, such as "The Tempest" and "A Midsummer Night's Dream."

Again, the stage has a history of its own, and, in so far as it was now making progress of any kind, it was not in the direction of a more poetic or romantic drama, but rather toward prose tragedy and the sentimental comedy of domestic life, what the French call *la tragedie bourgeoise* and *la comedie larmoyante*. In truth the theater was now dying; and though, in the comedies of Goldsmith and Sheridan, it sent up one bright, expiring gleam, the really dramatic talent of the century had already sought other channels in the novels of Richardson, Fielding, and Smollett.

After all, a good enough reason why the romantic movement did not begin with imitation of Shakspeare is the fact that Shakspeare is inimitable. He has no one manner that can be caught, but a hundred manners; is not the poet of romance, but of humanity; nor medieval, but perpetually modern and contemporaneous in his universality. The very familiarity of his plays, and their continuous performance, although in mangled forms, was a reason why they could take little part in a literary revival; for what has never been forgotten cannot be revived. To Germany and France, at a later date, Shakspeare came with the shock of a discovery and begot Schiller and Victor Hugo. In the England of the eighteenth century he begot only Ireland's forgeries.

## Page 42

The name inscribed in large letters on the standard of the new school was not Shakspeare but Spenser. If there is any poet who is *par excellence* the poet of romance, whose art is the antithesis of Pope's, it is the poet of the "Faerie Queene." To ears that had heard from childhood the tinkle of the couplet, with its monotonously recurring rhyme, its inevitable caesura, its narrow imprisonment of the sense, it must have been a relief to turn to the amplitude of Spenser's stanza, "the full strong sail of his great verse." To a generation surfeited with Pope's rhetorical devices—antithesis, climax, anticlimax—and fatigued with the unrelaxing brilliancy and compression of his language; the escape from epigrams and point (snap after snap, like a pack of fire-crackers), from a style which has made his every other line a proverb or current quotation—the escape from all this into Spenser's serene, leisurely manner, copious Homeric similes, and lingering detail must have seemed most restful. To go from Pope to Spenser was to exchange platitudes, packed away with great verbal cunning in neat formulas readily portable by the memory, for a wealth of concrete images: to exchange saws like,

"A little learning is a dangerous thing,"

for a succession of richly colored pictures by the greatest painter among English poets. It was to exchange the most prosaic of our poets—a poet about whom question has arisen whether he is a poet at all—for the most purely poetic of our poets, "the poet's poet." And finally, it was to exchange the world of everyday manners and artificial society for an imaginary kingdom of enchantment, "out of space, out of time."

English poetry has oscillated between the poles of Spenser and Pope. The poets who have been accepted by the race as most truly national, poets like Shakspeare, Milton, and Byron, have stood midway. Neither Spenser nor Pope satisfies long. We weary, in time, of the absence of passion and intensity in Spenser, his lack of dramatic power, the want of actuality in his picture of life, the want of brief energy and nerve in his style; just as we weary of Pope's inadequate sense of beauty. But at a time when English poetry had abandoned its true function—the refreshment and elevation of the soul through the imagination—Spenser's poetry, the poetry of ideal beauty, formed the most natural corrective. Whatever its deficiencies, it was not, at any rate, "conceived and composed in his wits."

Spenser had not fared so well as Shakspeare under the change which came over public taste after the Restoration. The age of Elizabeth had no literary reviews or book notices, and its critical remains are of the scantiest. But the complimentary verses by many hands published with the "Faerie Queene" and the numerous references to Spenser in the whole poetic literature of the time, leave no doubt as to the fact that his contemporaries accorded him the foremost place among

## Page 43

English poets. The tradition of his supremacy lasted certainly to the middle of the seventeenth century, if not beyond. His influence is visible not only in the work of professed disciples like Giles and Phineas Fletcher, the pastoral poet William Browne, and Henry More, the Cambridge Platonist, but in the verse of Jonson, Fletcher, Milton, and many others. Milton confessed to Dryden that Spenser was his “poetical father.” Dryden himself and Cowley, whose practice is so remote from Spenser’s, acknowledged their debt to him. The passage from Cowley’s essay “On Myself” is familiar: “I remember when I began to read, and to take some pleasure in it, there was wont to lie in my mother’s parlour (I know not by what accident, for she herself never read any book but of devotion—but there was wont to lie) Spenser’s works. This I happened to fall upon, and was infinitely delighted with the stories of the knights and giants and monsters and brave houses which I found everywhere there (thought my understanding had little to do with all this), and, by degrees, with the tinkling of the rime and dance of the numbers; so that I think I had read him all over before I was twelve years old, and was thus made a poet as irremediably as a child is made an eunuch.” It is a commonplace that Spenser has made more poets than any other one writer. Even Pope, whose empire he came back from Fairyland to overthrow, assured Spence that he had read the “Faerie Queene” with delight when he was a boy, and re-read it with equal pleasure in his last years. Indeed, it is too readily assumed that writers are insensible to the beauties of an opposite school. Pope was quite incapable of appreciating it. He took a great liking to Allan Ramsay’s “Gentle Shepherd”; he admired “The Seasons,” and did Thomson the honor to insert a few lines of his own in “Summer.” Among his youthful parodies of old English poets is one piece entitled “The Alley,” a not over clever burlesque of the famous description of the Bower of Bliss.[18]

As for Dryden, his reverence for Spenser is qualified by the same sort of critical disapprobation which we noticed in his eulogies of Shakspeare. He says that the “Faerie Queene” has no uniformity: the language is not so obsolete as is commonly supposed, and is intelligible after some practice; but the choice of stanza is unfortunate, though in spite of it, Spenser’s verse is more melodious than any other English poet’s except Mr. Waller’s.[19] Ambrose Philips—Namby Pamby Philips—whom Thackeray calls “a dreary idyllic cockney,” appealed to “The Shepherd’s Calendar” as his model, in the introduction to his insipid “Pastorals,” 1709. Steele, in No. 540 of the *Spectator* (November 19, 1712), printed some mildly commendatory remarks about Spenser. Altogether it is clear that Spenser’s greatness was accepted, rather upon trust, throughout the classical period, but that this belief was coupled with a general indifference to his writings. Addison’s lines in his “Epistle to Sacheverel; an Account of the Greatest English Poets,” 1694, probably represent accurately enough the opinion of the majority of readers:

## Page 44

“Old Spenser next, warmed with poetic rage,  
In ancient tales amused a barbarous age;  
An age that, yet uncultivated and rude,  
Wher'er the poet's fancy led, pursued,  
Through pathless fields and unfrequented floods,  
To dens of dragons and enchanted woods.  
But now the mystic tale, that pleased of yore,  
Can charm an understanding age no more.  
The long-spun allegories fulsome grow,  
While the dull moral lies too plain below,  
We view well pleased at distance all the sights  
Of arms and palfreys, battles, fields and fights,  
And damsels in distress and courteous knights,  
But when we look too near, the shades decay  
And all the pleasing landscape fades away.”

Addison acknowledged to Spence that, when he wrote this passage, he had never read Spenser! As late as 1754 Thomas Warton speaks of him as “this admired but neglected poet,”[20] and Mr. Kitchin asserts that “between 1650 and 1750 there are but few notices of him, and a very few editions of his works.”[21] There was a reprint of Spenser's works—being the third folio of the “Faerie Queene”—in 1679, but no critical edition till 1715. Meanwhile the title of a book issued in 1687 shows that Spenser did not escape that process of “improvement” which we have seen applied to Shakspeare: “Spenser Redivivus; containing the First Book of the 'Faery Queene.' His Essential Design Preserved, but his Obsolete Language and Manner of Verse totally laid aside. Delivered in Heroic Numbers by a Person of Quality.” The preface praises Spenser, but declares that “his style seems no less unintelligible at this day than the obsoletest of our English or Saxon dialect.” One instance of this deliverance into heroic numbers must suffice:

“By this the northern wagoner had set  
His sevenfold team behind the steadfast star  
That was in ocean waves yet never wet,  
But firm is fixed, and sendeth light from far  
To all that in the wide deep wandering are.”

—*Spenser*. [22]

In 1715 John Hughes published his edition of Spenser's works in six volumes. This was the first attempt at a critical text of the poet, and was accompanied with a biography, a glossary, an essay on allegorical poetry, and some remarks on the “Faerie Queene.” It is curious to find in the engravings, from designs by Du Guernier, which illustrate Hughes' volumes, that Spenser's knights wore the helmets and body armor of the

Roman legionaries, over which is occasionally thrown something that looks very much like a toga. The lists in which they run a tilt have the facade of a Greek temple for a background. The house of Busyrane is Louis Quatorze architecture, and Amoret is chained to a renaissance column with Corinthian capital and classical draperies. Hughes' glossary of obsolete terms includes words which are in daily use by modern writers: aghast, baleful, behest, bootless, carol, craven, dreary, forlorn, foray, guerdon, plight, welkin, yore. If words like these, and like many which Warton annotates in his "Observations," really needed explanation, it is a striking proof, not only of the degree in which our older poets had been forgotten, but also of the poverty to which the vocabulary of English poetry had been reduced by 1700.

## Page 45

In his prefatory remarks to the “Faerie Queene,” the editor expresses the customary regrets that the poet should have chosen so defective a stanza, “so romantick a story,” and a model, or framework for the whole, which appears so monstrous when “examined by the rules of epick poetry”; makes the hackneyed comparison between Spenser’s work and Gothic architecture, and apologizes for his author, on the ground that, at the time when he wrote, “the remains of the old Gothick chivalry were not quite abolished.” “He did not much revive the curiosity of the public,” says Johnson, in his life of Hughes; “for near thirty years elapsed before his edition was reprinted.” Editions of the “Faerie Queene” came thick and fast about the middle of the century. One (by Birch) was issued in 1751, and three in 1758; including the important edition by Upton, who, of all Spenser’s commentators, has entered most elaborately into the interpretation of the allegory.

In the interval had appeared, in gradually increasing numbers, that series of Spenserian imitations which forms an interesting department of eighteenth-century verse. The series was begun by a most unlikely person, Matthew Prior, whose “Ode to the Queen,” 1706, was in a ten-lined modification of Spenser’s stanza and employed a few archaisms like *weet* and *ween*, but was very unspenserian in manner. As early as the second decade of the century, the horns of Elfland may be heard faintly blowing in the poems of the Rev. Samuel Croxall, the translator of Aesop’s “Fables.” Mr. Gosse[23] quotes Croxall’s own description of his poetry, as designed “to set off the dry and insipid stuff” of the age with “a whole piece of rich and glowing scarlet.” His two pieces “The Vision,” 1715, and “The Fair Circassian,” 1720, though written in the couplet, exhibit a rosiness of color and a luxuriance of imagery manifestly learned from Spenser. In 1713 he had published under the pseudonym of Nestor Ironside, “An Original Canto of Spenser,” and in 1714 “Another Original Canto,” both, of course, in the stanza of the “Faerie Queene.” The example thus set was followed before the end of the century by scores of poets, including many well-known names, like Akenside, Thomson, Shenstone, and Thomas Warton, as well as many second-rate and third-rate versifiers. [24]

It is noteworthy that many, if not most, of the imitations were at first undertaken in a spirit of burlesque; as is plain not only from the poems themselves, but from the correspondence of Shenstone and others.[25] The antiquated speech of an old author is in itself a challenge to the parodist: *teste* our modern ballad imitations. There is something ludicrous about the very look of antique spelling, and in the sound of words like *eftsoones* and *perdy*; while the sign *Ye Olde Booke Store*, in Old English text over a bookseller’s door, strikes the public invariably as a most merry conceited jest; especially if the first letter be pronounced

## Page 46

as a *y*, instead of, what it really is, a mere abbreviation of *th*. But in order that this may be so, the language travestied should not be too old. There would be nothing amusing, for example, in a burlesque imitation of Beowulf, because the Anglo-Saxon of the original is utterly strange to the modern reader. It is conceivable that quick-witted Athenians of the time of Aristophanes might find something quaint in Homer's Ionic dialect, akin to that quaintness which we find in Chaucer; but a Grecian of to-day would need to be very Attic indeed, to detect any provocation to mirth in the use of the genitive in-oio, in place of the genitive in-ou. Again, as one becomes familiar with an old author, he ceases to be conscious of his archaism: the final *e* in Chaucer no longer strikes him as funny, nor even the circumstance that he speaks of little birds as *smale fowles*. And so it happened, that poets in the eighteenth century who began with burlesque imitation of the "Faerie Queen" soon fell in love with its serious beauties.

The only poems in this series that have gained permanent footing in the literature are Shenstone's "Schoolmistress" and Thomson's "Cast of Indolence." But a brief review of several other members of the group will be advisable. Two of them were written at Oxford in honor of the marriage of Frederick, Prince of Wales in 1736: one by Richard Owen Cambridge;<sup>[26]</sup> the other by William Thompson, then bachelor of arts and afterward fellow of Queen's College. Prince Fred, it will be remembered, was a somewhat flamboyant figure in the literary and personal gossip of his day. He quarreled with his father, George II, who "hated boetry and bainting," and who was ironically fed with soft dedication by Pope in his "Epistle to Augustus"; also with his father's prime minister, Sir Robert Walpole, "Bob, the poet's foe." He left the court in dudgeon and set up an opposition court of his own where he rallied about him men of letters, who had fallen into a neglect that contrasted strangely with their former importance in the reign of Queen Anne. Frederick's chief ally in this policy was his secretary, George Lord Lyttelton, the elegant if somewhat amateurish author of "Dialogues of the Dead" and other works; the friend of Fielding, the neighbor of Shenstone at Hagley, and the patron of Thomson, for whom he obtained the sinecure post of Surveyor of the Leeward Islands.

Cambridge's spousal verses were in a ten-lined stanza. His "Archimage," written in the strict Spenserian stanza, illustrates the frequent employment of this form in occasional pieces of a humorous intention. It describes a domestic boating party on the Thames, one of the oarsmen being a family servant and barber-surgeon, who used to dress the chaplain's hair:

"Als would the blood of ancient beadsman spill,  
Whose hairy scalps he hanged in a row  
Around his cave, sad sight to Christian eyes, I trow."



## Page 47

Thompson's experiments, on the contrary, were quite serious. He had genuine poetic feeling, but little talent. In trying to reproduce Spenser's richness of imagery and the soft modulation of his verse, he succeeds only in becoming tediously ornate. His stanzas are nerveless, though not unmusical. His college exercise, "The Nativity," 1736, is a Christmas vision which comes to the shepherd boy Thomalin, as he is piping on the banks of Isis. It employs the pastoral machinery, includes a masque of virtues, —Faith, Hope, Mercy, *etc.*,—and closes with a compliment to Pope's "Messiah." The preface to his "Hymn to May," has some bearing upon our inquiries: "As Spenser is the most descriptive and florid of all our English writers, I attempted to imitate his manner in the following vernal poem. I have been very sparing of the antiquated words which are too frequent in most of the imitations of this author. . . His lines are most musically sweet, and his descriptions most delicately abundant, even to a wantonness of painting, but still it is the music and painting of nature. We find no ambitious ornaments or epigrammatical turns in his writings, but a beautiful simplicity which pleases far above the glitter of pointed wit." The "Hymn to May" is in the seven-lined stanza of Phineas Fletcher's "Purple Island"; a poem, says Thompson, "scarce heard of in this age, yet the best in the allegorical way (next to 'The Fairy Queen') in the English language."

William Wilkie, a Scotch minister and professor, of eccentric habits and untidy appearance, published, in 1759, "A Dream: in the Manner of Spenser," which may be mentioned here not for its own sake, but for the evidence that it affords of a growing impatience of classical restraints. The piece was a pendant to Wilkie's epic, the "Epigoniad." Walking by the Tweed, the poet falls asleep and has a vision of Homer, who reproaches him with the bareness of style in his "Epigoniad." The dreamer puts the blame upon the critics,

"Who tie the muses to such rigid laws  
That all their songs are frivolous and poor."

Shakspere, indeed,

"Broke all the cobweb limits fixed by fools";

but the only reward of his boldness

"Is that our dull, degenerate age of lead  
Says that he wrote by chance, and that he scarce could read."

One of the earlier Spenserians was Gilbert West, the translator of Pindar, who published, in 1739, "On the Abuse of Travelling: A Canto in Imitation of Spenser." [27] Another imitation, "Education," appeared in 1751. West was a very tame poet, and the only quality of Spenser's which he succeeded in catching was his prolixity. He used the allegorical machinery of the "Faerie Queene" for moral and mildly satirical ends. Thus,



in “The Abuse of Traveling,” the Red Cross Knight is induced by Archimago to embark in a painted boat steered by Curiosity, which wafts him over to a foreign shore

## Page 48

where he is entertained by a bevy of light damsels whose leader “hight Politessa,” and whose blandishments the knight resists. Thence he is conducted to a stately castle (the court of Louis XV. whose minister—perhaps Cardinal Fleury?—is “an old and rankled mage”); and finally to Rome, where a lady yclept Vertu holds court in the ruins of the Colosseum, among mimes, fiddlers, pipers, eunuchs, painters, and *ciceroni*.

Similarly the canto on “Education” narrates how a fairy knight, while conducting his young son to the house of Paidia, encounters the giant Custom and worsts him in single combat. There is some humor in the description of the stream of science into which the crowd of infant learners are unwillingly plunged, and upon whose margin stands

“A *birchen* grove that, waving from the shore,  
Aye cast upon the tide its falling bud  
And with its bitter juice empoisoned all the flood.”

The piece is a tedious arraignment of the pedantic methods of instruction in English schools and colleges. A passage satirizing the artificial style of gardening will be cited later. West had a country-house at Wickham, in Kent, where, says Johnson,[28] “he was very often visited by Lyttelton and Pitt; who, when they were weary of faction and debates, used at Wickham to find books and quiet, a decent table and literary conversation. There is at Wickham, a walk made by Pitt.” Like many contemporary poets, West interested himself in landscape gardening, and some of his shorter pieces belong to that literature of inscriptions to which Lyttelton, Akenside, Shenstone, Mason, and others contributed so profusely. It may be said for his Spenserian imitations that their archaisms are unusually correct[29]—if that be any praise—a feature which perhaps recommended them to Gray, whose scholarship in this, as in all points, was nicely accurate. The obligation to be properly “obsolete” in vocabulary was one that rested heavily on the consciences of most of these Spenserian imitators. “The Squire of Dames,” for instance, by the wealthy Jew, Moses Mendez, fairly bristles with seld-seen costly words, like *benty*, *frannion*, etc., which it would have puzzled Spenser himself to explain.

One of the pleasantest outcomes of this literary fashion was William Shenstone’s “Schoolmistress,” published in an unfinished shape in 1737 and, as finally completed, in 1742. This is an affectionate half-humorous description of the little dame-school of Shenstone’s—and of everybody’s—native village, and has the true idyllic touch. Goldsmith evidently had it in memory when he drew the picture of the school in his “Deserted Village.”[30] The application to so humble a theme of Spenser’s stately verse and grave, ancient words gives a very quaint effect. The humor of “The Schoolmistress” is genuine, not dependent on the more burlesque, as in Pope’s and Cambridge’s experiments; and it is warmed with a certain tenderness, as in the incident of the hen with her brood of chickens, entering the open door of the schoolhouse in search of

crumbs, and of the grief of the little sister who witnesses her brother's flogging, and of the tremors of the urchins who have been playing in the dame's absence:

## Page 49

"Forewarned, if little bird their pranks behold,  
'Twill whisper in her ear and all the scene unfold."

But the only one among the professed scholars of Spenser who caught the glow and splendor of the master was James Thomson. It is the privilege of genius to be original even in its imitations. Thomson took shape and hue from Spenser, but added something of his own, and the result has a value quite independent of its success as a reproduction. "The Castle of Indolence," 1748,[31] is a fine poem; at least the first part of it is, for the second book is tiresomely allegorical, and somewhat involved in plot. There is a magic art in the description of the "land of drowsy-head," with its "listless climate" always "atween June and May,"[32] its "stockdove's plaint amid the forest deep," its hillside woods of solemn pines, its gay castles in the summer clouds, and its murmur of the distance main. The nucleus of Thomson's conception is to be found in Spenser's House of Morpheus ("Faerie Queene," book i. canto i. 41), and his Country of Idlesse is itself an anticipation of Tennyson's Lotus Land, but verse like this was something new in the poetry of the eighteenth century:

"Was nought around but images of rest:  
Sleep-soothing groves and quiet lawns between;  
And flowery beds that slumberous influence kest,  
From poppies breathed; and beds of pleasant green,  
Where never yet was creeping creatures seen.

"Meantime unnumbered glittering streamlets played  
And hurled everywhere their waters sheen;  
That, as they bickered through the sunny glade,  
Though restless still themselves, a lulling murmur made."

"The Castle of Indolence" had the romantic iridescence, the "atmosphere" which is lacking to the sharp contours of Augustan verse. That is to say, it produces an effect which cannot be wholly accounted for by what the poet says; an effect which is wrought by subtle sensations awakened by the sound and indefinite associations evoked by the words. The secret of this art the poet himself cannot communicate. But poetry of this kind cannot be translated into prose—as Pope's can—any more than music can be translated into speech, without losing its essential character. Like Spenser, Thomson was an exquisite colorist and his art was largely pictorial. But he has touches of an imagination which is rarer, if not higher in kind, than anything in Spenser. The fairyland of Spenser is an unreal, but hardly an unearthly region. He seldom startles by glimpses behind the curtain which hangs between nature and the supernatural, as in Milton's

"Airy tongues that syllable men's names  
On sands and shores and desert wildernesses."

There is something of this power in one stanza, at least, of "The Castle of Indolence:"

## Page 50

"As when a shepherd of the Hebrid Isles,  
Placed far amid the melancholy main  
(Whether it be lone fancy him beguiles,  
Or that aerial beings sometimes deign  
To stand embodied to our sense plain),  
The whilst in ocean Phoebus dips his wain,  
A vast assembly moving to and fro,  
Then all at once in air dissolves the wondrous show."

It may be guessed that Johnson and Boswell, in their tour to the Hebrides or Western Islands, saw nothing of the "spectral puppet play" hinted at in this passage—the most imaginative in any of Spenser's school till we get to Keats'

"Magic casements opening on the foam  
Of perilous seas in fairy lands forlorn."

William Julius Mickle, the translator of the "Lusiad," was a more considerable poet than any of the Spenserian imitators thus far reviewed, with the exception of Thomson and the possible exception of Shenstone. He wrote at least two poems that are likely to be remembered. One of these was the ballad of "Cumnor Hall" which suggested Scott's "Kenilworth," and came near giving its name to the novel. The other was the dialect song of "The Mariner's Wife," which Burns admired so greatly:

"Sae true his heart, sae smooth his speech,  
His breath like caller air,  
His very foot has music in't,  
As he comes up the stair,  
For there's nae luck about the house,  
There is nae luck at a',  
There's little pleasure in the house  
When our gudeman's awa'," [33]

Mickle, like Thomson, was a Scotchman who came to London to push his literary fortunes. He received some encouragement from Lyttelton, but was disappointed in his hopes of any substantial aid from the British Maecenas. His biographer informs us that "about his thirteenth year, on Spenser's 'Faerie Queene' falling accidentally in his way, he was immediately struck with the picturesque descriptions of that much admired ancient bard and powerfully incited to imitate his style and manner." [34] In 1767 Mickle published "The Concubine," a Spenserian poem in two cantos. In the preface to his second edition, 1778, in which the title was changed to "Syr Martyn," he said that: "The fullness and wantonness of description, the quaint simplicity, and, above all, the ludicrous, of which the antique phraseology and manner of Spenser are so happily and peculiarly susceptible, inclined him to esteem it not solely as the best, but the only mode of composition adapted to his subject."

“Syr Martyn” is a narrative poem not devoid of animation, especially where the author forgets his Spenser. But in the second canto he feels compelled to introduce an absurd allegory, in which the nymph Dissipation and her henchman Self-Imposition conduct the hero to the cave of Discontent. This is how Mickle writes when he is thinking of the “Faerie Queene”:

## Page 51

“Eke should he, freed from fous enchanter’s spell,  
Escape his false Duessa’s magic charms,  
And folly quaid, yclept an hydra fell  
Receive a beauteous lady to his arms;  
While bards and minstrels chaunt the soft alarms  
Of gentle love, unlike his former thrall:  
Eke should I sing, in courtly cunning terms,  
The gallant feast, served up by seneschal,  
To knights and ladies gent in painted bower or hall.”

And this is how he writes when he drops his pattern:

“Awake, ye west winds, through the lonely dale,  
And, Fancy, to thy faerie bower betake!  
Even now, with balmy freshness, breathes the gale,  
Dimpling with downy wing the stilly lake;  
Through the pale willows faltering whispers wake,  
And evening comes with locks bedropt with dew;  
On Desmond’s moldering turrets slowly shake  
The trembling rye-grass and the harebell blue,  
And ever and anon fair Mulla’s plaints renew.”

A reader would be guilty of no very bad guess who should assign this stanza—which Scott greatly admired—to one of the Spenserian passages that prelude the “Lady of the Lake.”

But it is needless to extend this catalogue any farther. By the middle of the century Spenserian had become so much the fashion as to provoke a rebuke from Dr. Johnson, who prowled up and down before the temple of the British Muses like a sort of classical watch-dog. “The imitation of Spenser,” said the *Rambler* of May 14, 1751, “by the influence of some men of learning and genius, seems likely to gain upon the age. . . . To imitate the fictions and sentiments of Spenser can incur no reproach, for allegory is perhaps one of the most pleasing vehicles of instruction. But I am very far from extending the same respect to his diction or his stanza. His style was, in his own words and peculiarities of phrase, and so remote from common use that Jonson boldly pronounces him *to have written no language*. His stanza is at once difficult and unpleasing: tiresome to the ear by its uniformity, and to the attention by its length. . . . Life is surely given us for other purposes than to gather what our ancestors have wisely thrown away and to learn what is of no value but because it has been forgotten.”[35] In his “Life of West,” Johnson says of West’s imitations of Spenser, “Such compositions are not to be reckoned among the great achievements of intellect, because their effect is local and temporary: they appeal not to reason or passion, but to memory, and presuppose an accidental or artificial state of mind. An imitation of Spenser is nothing to a reader, however acute, by whom Spenser has never been perused.”

## Page 52

The critic is partly right. The nice points of a parody are lost upon a reader unacquainted with the thing parodied. And as for serious imitations, the more cleverly a copyist follows his copy, the less value his work will have. The eighteenth-century Spenserians, like West, Cambridge, and Lloyd, who stuck most closely to their pattern, oblivion has covered. Their real service was done in reviving a taste for a better kind of poetry than the kind in vogue, and particularly in restoring to English verse a stanza form, which became so noble an instrument in the hands of later poets, who used it with as much freedom and vigor as if they had never seen the "Faerie Queene." One is seldom reminded of Spenser while reading "Childe Harold"[36] or "Adonais" or "The Eve of Saint Agnes"; but in reading West or Cambridge, or even in reading Shenstone and Thomson, one is reminded of him at every turn. Yet if it was necessary to imitate anyone, it might be answered to Dr. Johnson that it was better to imitate Spenser than Pope. In the imitation of Spenser lay, at least, a future, a development; while the imitation of Pope was conducting steadily toward Darwin's "Botanic Garden."

It remains to notice one more document in the history of this Spenserian revival, Thomas Warton's "Observations on the Faerie Queen," 1754. Warton wrote with a genuine delight in his subject. His tastes were frankly romantic. But the apologetic air which antiquarian scholars assumed, when venturing to recommend their favorite studies to the attention of a classically minded public, is not absent from Warton's commentary. He writes as if he felt the pressure of an unsympathetic atmosphere all about him. "We who live in the days of writing by rule are apt to try every composition by those laws which we have been taught to think the sole criterion of excellence. Critical taste is universally diffused, and we require the same order and design which every modern performance is expected to have, in poems where they never were regarded or intended. . . . If there be any poem whose graces please because they are situated beyond the reach of art[37] . . . it is this. In reading Spenser, if the critic is not satisfied, yet the reader is transported." "In analyzing the plan and conduct of this poem, I have so far tried it by epic rules, as to demonstrate the inconveniences and incongruities which the poet might have avoided, had he been more studious of design and uniformity. It is true that his romantic materials claim great liberties; but no materials exclude order and perspicacity." Warton assures the reader that Spenser's language is not "so difficult and obsolete as it is generally supposed to be;" and defends him against Hume's censure,[38] that "Homer copied true natural manners . . . but the pencil of the English poet was employed in drawing the affectations and conceits and fopperies of chivalry."



## Page 53

Yet he began his commentary with the stock denunciations of “Gothic ignorance and barbarity.” “At the renaissance it might have been expected that, instead of the romantic manner of poetical composition . . . a new and more legitimate taste of writing would have succeeded. . . But it was a long time before such a change was effected. We find Ariosto, many years after the revival of letters, rejecting truth for magic, and preferring the ridiculous and incoherent excursions of Boiardo to the propriety and uniformity of the Grecian and Roman models. Nor did the restoration of ancient learning produce any effectual or immediate improvement in the state of criticism. Beni, one of the most celebrated critics of the sixteenth century, was still so infatuated with a fondness for the old Provençal vein, that he ventured to write a regular dissertation, in which he compares Ariosto with Homer.” Warton says again, of Ariosto and the Italian renaissance poets whom Spenser followed, “I have found no fault in general with their use of magical machinery; notwithstanding I have so far conformed to the reigning maxims of modern criticism as to recommend classical propriety.” Notwithstanding this prudent determination to conform, the author takes heart in his second volume to speak out as follows about the pseudo-classic poetry of his own age: “A poetry succeeded in which imagination gave way to correctness, sublimity of description to delicacy of sentiment, and majestic imagery to conceit and epigram. Poets began now to be more attentive to words than to things and objects. The nicer beauties of happy expression were preferred to the daring strokes of great conception. Satire, that bane of the sublime, was imported from France. The muses were debauched at court; and polite life and familiar manners became their only themes.”

By the time these words were written Spenser had done his work. Color, music, fragrance were stealing back again into English song, and “golden-tongued romance with serene lute” stood at the door of the new age, waiting for it to open.

[1] A small portion of “The Canterbury Tales.” Edited by Morell.

[2] The sixteenth [*sic. Quaere, seventeenth?*] century had an instinctive repugnance for the crude literature of the Middle Ages, the product of so strange and incoherent a civilization. Here classicism finds nothing but grossness and barbarism, never suspecting that it might contain germs, which, with time and genius, might develop into a poetical growth, doubtless less pure, but certainly more complex in its harmonies, and of a more expressive form of beauty. The history of our ancient poetry, traced in a few lines by Boileau, clearly shows to what degree he either ignored or misrepresented it. The singular, confused architecture of Gothic cathedrals gave those who saw beauty in symmetry of line and purity of form but further evidence of the clumsiness and perverted taste

## Page 54

of our ancestors. All remembrances of the great poetic works of the Middle Ages is completely effaced. No one supposes in those barbarous times the existence of ages classical also in their way; no one imagines either their heroic songs or romances of adventure, either the rich bounty of lyrical styles or the naive, touching crudity of the Christian drama. The seventeenth century turned disdainfully away from the monuments of national genius discovered by it; finding them sometimes shocking in their rudeness, sometimes puerile in their refinements. These unfortunate exhumations, indeed, only serve to strengthen its cult for a simple, correct beauty, the models of which are found in Greece and Rome. Why dream of penetrating the darkness of our origin? Contemporary society is far too self-satisfied to seek distraction in the study of a past which it does not comprehend. The subjects and heroes of domestic history are also prohibited. Corneille is Latin, Racine is Greek; the very name of Childebrande suffices to cover an epopee with ridicule.—*Pellissier*, pp. 7-8.

[3] "Epistle to Augustus."

[4] "Epistle of Augustus."

[5] *i.e.*, learning.

[6] "Life of Dryden."

[7] "Epistle to Augustus."

[8] The tradition as to Chaucer, Spenser, and Milton is almost equally continuous. A course of what Lowell calls "penitential reading," in Restoration criticism, will convince anyone that these four names already stood out distinctly, as those of the four greatest English poets. See especially Winstanley, "Lives of the English Poets," 1687; Langbaine, "An Account of the English Dramatic Poets," 1691; Dennis, "Essay on the Genius and Writings of Shakspeare," 1712; Gildon, "The Complete Art of Poetry," 1718. The fact mentioned by Macaulay, that Sir Wm. Temple's "Essay on Ancient and Modern Learning" names none of the four, is without importance. Temple refers by name to only three English "wits," Sidney, Bacon, and Selden. This very superficial performance of Temple's was a contribution to the futile controversy over the relative merits of the ancients and moderns, which is now only of interest as having given occasion to Bentley to display his great scholarship in his "Dissertation on the Epistles of Phalaris," (1698), and to Swift to show his powers of irony in "The Battle of the Books" (1704).

[9] Preface to the "Plays of Shakspeare," 1765.

[10] Prologue, spoken by Garrick at the opening of Drury Lane Theater, 1747.

[11] "The Tragedies of the Last Age Considered and Examined," 1678.

[12] "Shakspeare Illustrated," 1753.

[13] See Dryden's "Grounds of Criticism in Tragedy" and "Defence of the Epilogue to the Conquest of Granada."

[14] "Essay on the Genius and Writings of Shakspeare," 1712.

[15] "The Art of Poetry," pp. 63 and 99. Cf. Pope, "Epistle to Augustus":

"Shakspeare (whom you and every play-house bill  
Style the divine, the matchless, what you will)  
For gain, not glory, winged his roving flight,  
And grew immortal in his own despite."

## Page 55

[16] Pope's "Shakspeare," 1725.

[17] For a fuller discussion of this subject, consult "A History of Opinion on the Writings of Shakspeare," in the supplemental volume of Knight's Pictorial Edition. Editions of Shakspeare issued within a century following the Restoration were the third Folio, 1664; the fourth Folio, 1685; Rowe's (the first critical edition, with a Life, etc.) 1709 (second edition, 1714); Pope's, 1725 (second edition, 1728); Theobald's, 1733; Hanmer's 1744; Warburton-Pope's, 1747; and Johnson's 1765. Meanwhile, though Shakspeare's plays continued to be acted, it was mostly in doctored versions. Tate changed "Lear" to a comedy. Davenant and Dryden made over "The Tempest" into "The Enchanted Island," turning blank verse into rhyme and introducing new characters, while Shadwell altered it into an opera. Dryden rewrote "Troilus and Cressida"; Davenant, "Macbeth." Davenant patched together a thing which he called "The Law against Lovers," from "Measure for Measure" and "Much Ado about Nothing." Dennis remodeled the "Merry Wives of Windsor" as "The Comical Gallant"; Tate, "Richard II." as "The Sicilian Usurper"; and Otway, "Romeo and Juliet," as "Caius Marius." Lord Lansdowne converted "The Merchant of Venice" into "The Jew of Venice," wherein Shylock was played as a comic character down to the time of Macklin and Kean. Duffey tinkered "Cymbeline." Cibber metamorphosed "King John" into "Papal Tyranny," and his version was acted till Macready's time. Cibber's stage version of "Richard III." is played still. Cumberland "engrafted" new features upon "Timon of Athens" for Garrick's theater, about 1775. In his life of Mrs. Siddons, Campbell says that "Coriolanus" "was never acted genuinely from the year 1660 till the year 1820" (Phillimore's "Life of Lyttelton," Vol. I. p. 315). He mentions a revision by Tate, another by Dennis ("The Invader of his Country"), and a third brought out by the elder Sheridan in 1764, at Covent Garden, and put together from Shakspeare's tragedy and an independent play of the same name by Thomson. "Then in 1789 came the Kemble edition in which . . . much of Thomson's absurdity is still preserved."

[18] "Faerie Queene," II. xii. 71

[19] "Essay on Satire." Philips says a good word for the Spenserian stanza: "How much more stately and majestic in epic poems, especially of heroic argument, Spenser's stanza . . . is above the way either of couplet or alternation of four verses only, I am persuaded, were it revived, would soon be acknowledged."—*Theatrum Poetatarum*, Preface, pp. 3-4.

[20] "Observations on the Faery Queene," Vol. II. p. 317.

[21] "The Faery Queene," Book I., Oxford, 1869. Introduction, p. xx.

[22] "Canto" ii. stanza i.

“Now had Bootes’ team far passed behind  
The northern star, when hours of night declined.”  
—*Person of Quality*

[23] “Eighteenth Century Literature,” p. 139.

## Page 56

[24] For a full discussion of this subject the reader should consult Phelps' "Beginnings of the English Romantic Movement," chap. iv., "The Spenserian Revival." A partial list of Spenserian imitations is given in Todd's edition of Spenser, Vol. I. But the list in Prof. Phelps' Appendix, if not exhaustive, is certainly the most complete yet published and may be here reproduced. 1706: Prior: "Ode to the Queen." 1713-21: Prior(?): "Colin's Mistakes." 1713 Croxall: "An Original Canto of Spenser." 1714: Croxall: "Another Original Canto." 1730 (*circa*): Whitehead: "Vision of Solomon," "Ode to the Honorable Charles Townsend," "Ode to the Same." 1736: Thompson: "Epithalamium." 1736: Cambridge: "Marriage of Frederick." 1736-37: Boyse: "The Olive," "Psalm XLII." 1737: Akenside: "The Virtuoso." 1739: West: "Abuse of Traveling." 1739: Anon.: "A New Canto of Spenser's Fairy Queen." 1740: Boyse: "Ode to the Marquis of Tavistock." 1741 (*circa*): Boyse: "Vision of Patience." 1742: Shenstone: "The Schoolmistress." 1742-50: Cambridge: "Archimage." 1742: Dodsley: "Pain and Patience." 1743: Anon.: "Albion's Triumph." 1744 (*circa*): Dodsley: "Death of Mr. Pope." 1744: Akenside: "Ode to Curio." 1746: Blacklock: "Hymn to Divine Love," "Philantheus." 1747: Mason: Stanzas in "Musaeus." 1747: Ridley: "Psyche." 1747: Lowth: "Choice of Hercules." 1747: Upton: "A New Canto of Spenser's Fairy Queen." 1747: Bedingfield: "Education of Achilles." 1747: Pitt: "The Jordan." 1748: T. Warton, Sr.: "Philander." 1748: Thomson: "The Castle of Indolence." 1749: Potter: "A Farewell Hymn to the Country." 1750: T. Warton: "Morning." 1751: West: "Education." 1751: T. Warton: "Elegy on the Death of Prince Frederick." 1751: Mendes: "The Seasons." 1751: Lloyd: "Progress of Envy." 1751: Akenside: "Ode." 1751: Smith: "Thales." 1753: T. Warton: "A Pastoral in the Manner of Spenser." 1754: Denton: "Immortality." 1755: Arnold: "The Mirror." 1748-58: Mendez: "Squire of Dames." 1756: Smart: "Hymn to the Supreme Being." 1757: Thompson: "The Nativity," "Hymn to May." 1758: Akenside: "To Country Gentlemen of England." 1759: Wilkie: "A Dream" 1759: Poem in "Ralph's Miscellany." 1762: Denton: "House of Superstition." 1767: Mickle: "The Concubine." 1768: Downman: "Land of the Muses." 1771-74: Beattie: "The Minstrel." 1775: Anon.: "Land of Liberty." 1775: Mickle: Stanzas from "Introduction to the Lusiad."

[25] See Phelps, pp. 66-68.

[26] See the sumptuous edition of Cambridge's "Works," issued by his son in 1803.

## Page 57

[27] “Mr. Walpole and I have frequently wondered you should never mention a certain imitation of Spenser, published last year by a namesake of yours, with which we are all enraptured and enmarvelled.”—*Letter from Gray to Richard West*, Florence, July 16, 1740. There was no relationship between Gilbert West and Gray’s Eton friend, though it seems that the former was also an Etonian, and was afterwards at Oxford, “whence he was seduced to a more airy mode of life,” says Dr. Johnson, “by a commission in a troop of horse, procured him by his uncle.” Cambridge, however, was an acquaintance of Gray, Walpole, and Richard West, at Eton. Gray’s solitary sonnet was composed upon the death of Richard West in 1742; and it is worth noting that the introduction to Cambridge’s works are a number of sonnets by his friend Thomas Edwards, himself a Spenser lover, whose “sugared sonnets among his private friends” begin about 1750 and reach the number of fifty.

[28] “Life of West.”

[29] Lloyd, in “The Progress of Envy,” defines *wimpled* as “hung down”; and Akenside, in “The Virtuoso,” employs the ending *en* for the singular verb!

[30] Cf. “And as they looked, they found their horror grew.”  
—Shenstone.

“And still they gazed, and still the wonder grew.”  
—Goldsmith.

“The noises intermixed, which thence resound,  
Do learning’s little tenement betray.”  
—Shenstone.

“There in his noisy mansion, skilled to rule.” *etc.*  
—Goldsmith.

[31] The poem was projected, and perhaps partly written, fourteen or fifteen years earlier.

[32] Cf. Tennyson’s “land in which it seemed always afternoon.”—*The Lotus Eaters*.

[33] Mickle’s authorship of this song has been disputed in favor of one Jean Adams, a poor Scotch school-mistress, whose poems were printed at Glasgow in 1734.

[34] Rev. John Sim’s “Life of Mickle” in “Mickle’s Poetical Works,” 1806, p. xi.

[35] Cf. Joseph Warton’s “Essay on Pope,” Vol. II. p. 35. “It has been fashionable of late to imitate Spenser; but the likeness of most of these copies hath consisted rather in using a few of his ancient expressions than in catching his real manner. Some, however, have been executed with happiness, and with attention to that simplicity, that

tenderness of sentiment and those little touches of nature that constitute Spenser's character. I have a peculiar pleasure in mentioning two of them, 'The Schoolmistress' by Mr. Shenstone, and 'The Education of Achilles' by Mr. Bedingfield. And also, Dr. Beattie's charming 'Minstrel.' To these must be added that exquisite piece of wild and romantic imagery, Thomson's 'Castle of Indolence.'"

[36] Byron, to be sure, began his first canto with conscious Spenserian. He called his poem a "romaunt," and his valet, poor Fletcher, a "stanch yeoman," and peppered his stanzas thinly with *sooths* and *wights* and *\_whiloms\_*, but he gave over this affectation in the later cantos and made no further excursions into the Middle Ages.



## Page 58

[37] Pope's, "Snatch a grace beyond the reach of art."  
—*Essay on Criticism*.

[38] "History of England," Vol. II. p. 739.

### CHAPTER IV.

#### The Landscape Poets

There is nothing necessarily romantic in literature that concerns itself with rural life or natural scenery. Yet we may accept, with some qualification, the truth of Professor McClintock's statement, that the "beginning and presence of a creative, romantic movement is almost always shown by the love, study, and interpretation of physical nature." [1] Why this should be true, at all events of the romantic movement that began in the eighteenth century, is obvious enough. Ruskin and Leslie Stephen have already been quoted, as witnesses to the fact that naturalism and romanticism had a common root: the desire, namely, to escape into the fresh air and into freer conditions, from a literature which dealt, in a strictly regulated way, with the indoor life of a highly artificial society. The pastoral had ceased to furnish any relief. Professing to chant the praises of innocence and simplicity, it had become itself utterly unreal and conventional, in the hands of cockneys like Philips and Pope. When the romantic spirit took possession of the poetry of nature, it manifested itself in a passion for wildness, grandeur, solitude. Of this there was as yet comparatively little even in the verse of Thomson, Shenstone, Akenside, and Dyer.

Still the work of these pioneers in the "return to nature" represents the transition, and must be taken into account in any complete history of the romantic movement. The first two, as we have seen, were among the earliest Spenserians: Dyer was a landscape painter, as well as a poet; and Shenstone was one of the best of landscape gardeners. But it is the beginnings that are important. It will be needless to pursue the history of nature poetry into its later developments; needless to review the writings of Cowper and Crabbe, for example,—neither of whom was romantic in any sense,—or even of Wordsworth, the spirit of whose art, as a whole, was far from romantic.

Before taking up the writers above named, one by one, it will be well to notice the general change in the forms of verse, which was an outward sign of the revolution in poetic feeling. The imitation of Spenser was only one instance of a readiness to lay aside the heroic couplet in favor of other kinds which it had displaced, and in the interests of greater variety. "During the twenty-five years," says Mr. Goss, "from the publication of Thomson's 'Spring' ['Winter'] in 1726, to that of Gray's 'Elegy' in 1751, the nine or ten leading poems or collections of verse which appeared were all of a new type; somber, as a rule, certainly stately, romantic in tone to the extreme, prepared to

return, ignorantly indeed, but with respect, to what was 'Gothic' in manners, architecture, and language; all showing

## Page 59

a more or less vague aspiration towards the nature, and not one composed in the heroic couplet hitherto so vigorously imposed on serious verse. 'The Seasons,' 'Night Thoughts' and 'The Grave' are written in blank verse: 'The Castle of Indolence' and 'The Schoolmistress' in Spenserian stanza; 'The Spleen' and 'Grongar Hill' in octosyllabics, while the early odes of Gray and those of Collins are composed in a great variety of simple but novel lyric measures." [2]

The only important writer who had employed blank verse in undramatic poetry between the publication of "Paradise Regained" in 1672, and Thomson's "Winter" in 1726, was John Philips. In the brief prefatory note to "Paradise Lost," the poet of "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," forgetting or disdaining the graces of his youthful muse, had spoken of rhyme as "the invention of a barbarous age," as "a thing trivial and of no true musical delight." Milton's example, of course, could not fail to give dignity and authority to the majestic rhythm that he had used; and Philips' mock-heroic "The Splendid Shilling" (1701), his occasional piece, "Blenheim" (1705), and his Georgic "Cyder" (1706), were all avowed imitation of Milton. But the well-nigh solitary character of Philips' experiments was recognized by Thomson, in his allusion to the last-named poem:

"Philips, Pomona's bard, the second thou  
Who nobly durst, in rime-unfettered verse,  
With British freedom sing the British song." [3]

In speaking of Philips' imitations of Milton, Johnson said that if the latter "had written after the improvements made by Dryden, it is reasonable to believe he would have admitted a more pleasing modulation of numbers into his work." [4] Johnson hated Adam Smith, but when Boswell mentioned that Smith, in his rhetorical lectures at Glasgow University, had given the preference to rhyme over blank verse, the doctor exclaimed, "Sir, I was once in company with Smith and we did not take to each other; but had I known that he loved rhyme as much as you tell me he does, I should have hugged him."

In 1725 James Thomson, a young Scotchman, came to London to push his literary fortunes. His countryman, David Malloch,—or Mallet, as he called himself in England,—at that time private tutor in the family of the Duke of Montrose, procured Thomson introductions into titled society, and helped him to bring out "Winter," the first installment of "The Seasons," which was published in 1726. Thomson's friend and biographer (1762) the Rev. Patrick Murdoch, says that the poem was "no sooner read than universally admired; those only excepted who had not been used to feel, or to look for anything in poetry beyond a *point* of satirical or epigrammatic wit, a smart antithesis richly trimmed with rhyme." This is a palpable hit at the stronger contrast than between Thomson and Pope, not alone in subject and feeling, but in diction and verse. Thomson's style is florid and luxuriant, his numbers flowing and diffuse, while Pope had wonted the English ear to the extreme of compression in both language and meter.

Pope is among the most quotable of poets, while Thomson's long poem, in spite of its enduring popularity, has contributed but a single phrase to the stock of current quotation:

## Page 60

"To teach the young idea how to shoot."

"Winter" was followed by "Summer" in 1727, "Spring" in 1728, and the completed "Seasons" in 1730. Thomson made many changes and additions in subsequent editions. The original "Seasons" contained only 3902 lines (exclusive of the "Hymn"), while the author's final revision of 1746 gave 5413. One proof that "The Seasons" was the work of a fresh and independent genius is afforded by the many imitations to which it soon gave birth. In Germany, a passage from Brockes' translation (1745) was set to music by Haydn. J. P. Uz (1742) and Wieland each produced a "Fruehling," in Thomson's manner; but the most distinguished of his German disciples was Ewald Christian von Kleist, whose "Fruhling" (1749) was a description of a country walk in spring, in 460 hexameter lines, accompanied, as in Thomson's "Hymn," with a kind of "Gloria in excelsis," to the creator of nature. "The Seasons" was translated into French by Madame Bontemps in 1759, and called forth, among other imitations, "Les Saisons" of Saint Lambert, 1769 (revised and extended in 1771.) In England, Thomson's influence naturally manifested itself less in direct imitations of the scheme of his poem than in the contagion of his manner, which pervades the work of many succeeding poets, such as Akenside, Armstrong, Dyer, Somerville and Mallet. "There was hardly one verse writer of any eminence," says Gosse,[5] "from 1725-50, who was not in some manner guided or biased by Thomson, whose genius is to this day fertile in English literature."

We have grown so accustomed to a more intimate treatment and a more spiritual interpretation of nature, that we are perhaps too apt to undervalue Thomson's simple descriptive or pictorial method. Compared with Wordsworth's mysticism, with Shelley's passionate pantheism, with Byron's romantic gloom in presence of the mountains and the sea, with Keats' joyous re-creation of mythology, with Thoreau's Indian-like approach to the innermost arcana—with a dozen other moods familiar to the modern mind—it seems to us unimaginative. Thomson has been likened, as a colorist, to Rubens; and possibly the glow, the breadth, and the vital energy of his best passages, as of Rubens' great canvases, leave our finer perceptions untouched, and we ask for something more esoteric, more intense. Still there are permanent and solid qualities in Thomson's landscape art, which can give delight even now to an unspoiled taste. To a reader of his own generation, "The Seasons" must have come as the revelation of a fresh world of beauty. Such passages as those which describe the first spring showers, the thunderstorm in summer, the trout-fishing, the sheep-washing, and the terrors of the winter night, were not only strange to the public of that day, but were new in English poetry.

That the poet was something of a naturalist, who wrote lovingly and with his "eye upon the object," is evident from a hundred touches, like "auriculas with shining meal";

## Page 61

"The yellow wall-flower stained with iron brown;"

or,

"The bittern knows his time, with bill engulfed,  
To shake the sounding marsh."[6]

Thomson's scenery was genuine. His images of external nature are never false and seldom vague, like Pope's. In a letter to Lyttelton,[7] he speaks of "the Muses of the great simple country, not the little fine-lady Muses of Richmond Hill." His delineations, if less sharp and finished in detail than Cowper's, have greater breadth. Coleridge's comparison of the two poets is well known: "The love of nature seems to have led Thomson to a cheerful religion, and a gloomy religion to have led Cowper to a love of nature. . . In chastity of diction and the harmony of blank verse, Cowper leaves Thomson immeasurably below him; yet I still feel the latter to have been the born poet."

The geologist Hugh Miller, who visited Lyttelton's country seat at Hagley in 1845, describes the famous landscape which Thomson had painted in "Spring":

"Meantime you gain the height from whose fair brow  
The bursting prospect spreads immense around,  
And, snatched o'er hill and dale and wood and lawn,  
And verdant field and darkening heath between,  
And villages embosomed soft in trees,  
And spiry town, by surging columns marked  
Of household smoke, your eye extensive roams. . .  
To where the broken landscape, by degrees  
Ascending, roughens into rigid hills,  
O'er which the Cambrian mountains, like far clouds,  
That skirt the blue horizon, dusky rise."

"That entire prospect," says Miller,[8]—"one of the finest in England, and eminently characteristic of what is best in English scenery—enabled me to understand what I had used to deem a peculiarity—in some measure a defect—in the landscapes of the poet Thomson. It must have often struck the Scotch reader that, in dealing with very extended prospects, he rather enumerates than describes. His pictures are often mere catalogues, in which single words stand for classes of objects, and in which the entire poetry seems to consist in an overmastering sense of vast extent, occupied by amazing multiplicity. . . Now the prospect from the hill at Hagley furnished me with the true explanation of this enumerative style. Measured along the horizon, it must, on the lowest estimate, be at least fifty miles in longitudinal extent; measured laterally, from the spectator forwards, at least twenty. . . The real area must rather exceed than fall short of a thousand square miles: the fields into which it is laid out are small, scarcely averaging a square furlong in superficies. . . With these there are commixed

innumerable cottages, manor-houses, villages, towns. Here the surface is dimpled by unreckoned hollows; there fretted by uncounted mounds; all is amazing, overpowering multiplicity—a multiplicity which neither the pen nor the pencil can adequately express; and so description, in even the hands of a master, sinks into mere enumeration. The picture becomes a catalogue.”

## Page 62

Wordsworth[9] pronounced “The Seasons” “a work of inspiration,” and said that much of it was “written from himself, and nobly from himself,” but complained that the style was vicious. Thomson’s diction is, in truth, not always worthy of his poetic feeling and panoramic power over landscape. It is academic and often tumid and wordy, abounding in Latinisms like *effusive*, *precipitant*, *irriguous*, *horrific*, *turgent*, *amusive*. The lover who hides by the stream where his mistress is bathing—that celebrated “serio-comic bathing”—is described as “the latent Damon”; and when the poet advises against the use of worms for trout bait, he puts it thus:

“But let not on your hook the tortured worm  
Convulsive writhe in agonizing folds,” *etc.*

The poets had now begun to withdraw from town and go out into the country, but in their retirement to the sylvan shades they were accompanied sometimes, indeed, by Milton’s “mountain nymph, sweet Liberty,” but quite as frequently by Shenstone’s nymph, “coy Elegance,” who kept reminding them of Vergil.

Thomson’s blank verse, although, as Coleridge says, inferior to Cowper’s, is often richly musical and with an energy unborrowed of Milton—as Cowper’s is too apt to be, at least in his translation of Homer.[10] Mr. Saintsbury[11] detects a mannerism in the verse of “The Seasons,” which he illustrates by citing three lines with which the poet “caps the climax of three several descriptive passages, all within the compass of half a dozen pages,” *viz.*:

“And Egypt joys beneath the spreading wave.”  
“And Mecca saddens at the long delay.”  
“And Thule bellows through her utmost isles.”

It would be easy to add many other instances of this type of climacteric line, *e.g.* (“Summer,” 859),

“And Ocean trembles for his green domain.”

For the blank verse of “The Seasons” is a blank verse which has been passed through the strainer of the heroic couplet. Though Thomson, in the flow and continuity of his measure, offers, as has been said, the greatest contrast to Pope’s system of versification; yet wherever he seeks to be nervous, his modulation reminds one more of Pope’s antithetical trick than of Shakspeare’s or Milton’s freer structure. For instance (“Spring,” 1015):

“Fills every sense and pants in every vein.”

or (*Ibid.* 1104):

“Flames through the nerves and boils along the veins.”





To relieve the monotony of a descriptive poem, the author introduced moralizing digressions: advice to the husbandman and the shepherd after the manner of the “Georgics”; compliments to his patrons, like Lyttelton, Bubb Dodington, and the Countess of Hertford; and sentimental narrative episodes, such as the stories of Damon and Musidora,[12] and Celadon and Amelia in “Summer,” and of Lavinia and Palemon[13] in “Autumn”; while ever

## Page 63

and anon his eye extensive roamed over the phenomena of nature in foreign climes, the arctic night, the tropic summer, *etc.* Wordsworth asserts that these sentimental passages “are the parts of the work which were probably most efficient in first recommending the author to general notice.”[14] They strike us now as insipid enough. But many coming attitudes cast their shadows before across the page of “The Seasons.” Thomson’s denunciation of the slave trade, and of cruelty to animals, especially the caging of birds and the coursing of hares; his preference of country to town; his rhapsodies on domestic love and the innocence of the Golden Age; his contrast between the misery of the poor and the heartless luxury of the rich; all these features of the poem foretoken the sentimentalism of Sterne and Goldsmith, and the humanitarianism of Cowper and Burns. They anticipate, in particular, that half affected itch of simplicity which titillated the sensibilities of a corrupt and artificial society in the writings of Rousseau and the idyllic pictures of Bernardin de St. Pierre’s “Paul and Virginia.” Thomson went so far in this vein as to decry the use of animal food in a passage which recalls Goldsmith’s stanza:[15]

“No flocks that range the valley free  
To slaughter I condemn:  
Taught by the power that pities me,  
I learn to pity them.”

This sort of thing was in the air. Pope was not a sentimental person, yet even Pope had written

“The lamb thy riot dooms to bleed to-day,  
Had he thy reason, would he skip and play?  
Pleased to the last, he crops the flowery food.  
And licks the hand just raised to shed his blood.”[16]

It does not appear that Thomson was personally averse to a leg of mutton. His denunciations of luxury, and his praise of early rising[17] and cold bathing[18] sound rather hollow from the lips of a bard—“more fat than bard beseems”—who used to lie abed till noon, and who, as Savage told Johnson, “was perhaps never in cold water in his life.” Johnson reports, not without some spice of malice, that the Countess of Hertford, “whose practice it was to invite every summer some poet into the country, to hear her verses and assist her studies,” extended this courtesy to Thomson, “who took more delight in carousing with Lord Hertford and his friends than assisting her ladyship’s poetical operations, and therefore never received another summons.”[19]

The romantic note is not absent from “The Seasons,” but it is not prominent. Thomson’s theme was the changes of the year as they affect the English landscape, a soft, cultivated landscape of lawns, gardens, fields, orchards, sheep-walks, and forest



preserves. Only now and then that attraction toward the savage, the awful, the mysterious, the primitive, which marks the romantic mood in naturalistic poetry, shows itself in touches like these.

“High from the summit of a craggy cliff,  
Hung o’er the deep, such as amazing frowns  
On utmost Kilda’s shore, whose lonely race  
Reigns the setting sun to Indian worlds.”[20]

## Page 64

"Or where the Northern Ocean, in vast whirls,  
Boils round the naked, melancholy isles  
Of farthest Thule, and the Atlantic surge  
Pours in among the stormy Hebrides." [21]

Compare also the description of the thunderstorm in the mountains ("Summer," 1156-68), closing with the lines:

"Far seen the heights of heathy Cheviot blaze,  
And Thule bellows through her utmost isles."

The Western Islands appear to have had a peculiar fascination for Thomson. The passages above quoted, and the stanza from "The Castle of Indolence," cited on page 94, gave Collins the clue for his "Ode on the Superstitions of the Scottish Highlands," which contained, says Lowell, the whole romantic school in the germ. Thomson had perhaps found the embryo atom in Milton's "stormy Hebrides," in "Lycidas," whose echo is prolonged in Wordsworth's "Solitary Reaper"—

"Breaking the silence of the seas  
Among the farthest Hebrides."

Even Pope—he had a soul—was not unsensitive to this, as witness his

"Loud as the wolves, on Orcas' stormy steep,  
Howl to the roarings of the Northern deep." [22]

The melancholy which Victor Hugo pronounces a distinguishing badge of romantic art, and which we shall see gaining more and more upon English poetry as the century advanced, is also discernible in "The Seasons" in a passage like the following:

"O bear me then to vast embowering shades,  
To twilight groves and visionary vales,  
To weeping grottos and prophetic glooms;  
Where angel-forms athwart the solemn dusk  
Tremendous sweep, or seem to sweep along;  
And voices more than human, through the void,  
Deep-sounding, seize the enthusiastic ear;" [23]

or this, which recalls "Il Penseroso":

"Now all amid the rigors of the year,  
In the wild depth of winter, while without  
The ceaseless winds blow ice, be my retreat  
Between the groaning forest and the shore,  
Beat by the boundless multitude of waves,

A rural, sheltered, solitary scene;  
Where ruddy fire and beaming tapers join  
To cheer the gloom. There studious let me sit  
And hold high converse with the mighty dead."[24]

The revival again, of the preternatural and of popular superstitions as literary material, after a rationalizing and skeptical age, is signalized by such a passage as this:

"Onward they pass, o'er many a panting height,  
And valley sunk and unfrequented, where  
At fall of eve the fairy people throng,  
In various game and revelry to pass  
The summer night, as village stories tell.  
But far around they wander from the grave  
Of him whom his ungentle fortune urged  
Against his own sad breast to life the hand  
Of impious violence. The lonely tower  
Is also shunned, whose mournful chambers hold,  
So night-struck fancy dreams, the yelling ghost."

## Page 65

It may not be uninformative to note the occurrence of the word *romantic* at several points in the poem:

“glimmering shades and sympathetic glooms,  
Where the dim umbrage o’er the falling stream  
Romantic hangs.”[25]

This is from a passage in which romantic love once more comes back into poetry, after its long eclipse; and in which the lover is depicted as wandering abroad at “pensive dusk,” or by moonlight, through groves and along brooksides.[26] The word is applied likewise to clouds, “rolled into romantic shapes, the dream of waking fancy”; and to the scenery of Scotland—“Caledonia in romantic view.” In a subtler way, the feeling of such lines as these is romantic:

“Breathe your still song into the reaper’s heart,  
As home he goes beneath the joyous moon;”

or these, of the comparative lightness of the summer night:

“A faint, erroneous ray,  
Glanced from the imperfect surfaces of things,  
Flings half an image on the straining eye.”

In a letter to Stonehewer (June 29, 1760), Gray comments thus upon a passage from Ossian:

“Ghosts ride on the tempest to-night:  
Sweet is their voice between the gusts of wind:  
*Their songs are of other worlds.*”

“Did you never observe (*while rocking winds are piping loud*) that pause, as the gust is re-collecting itself, and rising upon the ear in a shrill and plaintive note, like the soul of an Aeolian harp? I do assure you, there is nothing in the world so like the voice of a spirit. Thomson had an ear sometimes; he was not deaf to this, and has described it gloriously, but given it another, different turn, and of more horror. I cannot repeat the lines: it is in his ‘Winter.’” The lines that Gray had in mind were probably these (191-94):

“Then, too, they say, through all the burdened air,  
Long groans are heard, shrill sounds and distant sighs  
That, uttered by the demon of the night,  
Warn the devoted wretch of woe and death.”

Thomson appears to have been a sweet-tempered, indolent man, constant in friendship and much loved by his friends. He had a little house and grounds in Kew Lane where

he used to compose poetry on autumn nights and loved to listen to the nightingales in Richmond Garden; and where, sang Collins, in his ode on the poet's death (1748),

“Remembrance oft shall haunt the shore,  
When Thames in summer wreaths is drest,  
And oft suspend the dashing oar  
To bid his gentle spirit rest.”

Collins had been attracted to Richmond by Thomson's residence there, and forsook the neighborhood after his friend's death.

## Page 66

Joseph Warton, in his “Essay on Pope” (1756), testified that “The Seasons” had been “very instrumental in diffusing a taste for the beauties of nature and landscape.” One evidence of this diffused taste was the rise of the new or natural school of landscape gardening. This was a purely English art, and Gray, writing in 1763,[27] says “It is not forty years since the art was born among us; and it is sure that there was nothing in Europe like it”: he adds that “our skill in gardening and laying out grounds” is “the only taste we can call our own, the only proof of our original talent in matter of pleasure.” “Neither Italy nor France have ever had the least notion of it, nor yet do at all comprehend it, when they see it.”[28] Gray’s “not forty years” carries us back with sufficient precision to the date of “The Seasons” (1726-30), and it is not perhaps giving undue credit to Thomson, to acknowledge him as, in a great measure, the father of the national school of landscape gardening. That this has always been recognized upon the Continent as an art of English invention, is evidenced by the names *Englische Garten*, *jardin Anglais*, still given in Germany and France to pleasure grounds laid out in the natural taste.[29] Schopenhauer gives the philosophy of the opposing styles as follows: “The great distinction between the English and the old French garden rests, in the last analysis, upon this, viz., that the former are laid out in the objective, the latter in the subjective sense, that is to say, in the former the will of Nature, as it manifests (*objektivirt*) itself in tree, mountain, and water, is brought to the purest possible expression of its ideas, i.e., of its own being. In the French gardens, on the other hand, there is reflected only the will of the owner who has subdued Nature, so that, instead of her own ideas, she wears as tokens of her slavery, the forms which he has forced upon her—clipped hedges, trees cut into all manner of shapes, straight alleys, arched walks, etc.”

It would be unfair to hold the false taste of Pope’s generation responsible for that formal style of gardening which prevailed when “The Seasons” was written. The old-fashioned Italian or French or Dutch garden—as it was variously called—antedated the Augustan era, which simply inherited it from the seventeenth century. In Bacon’s essay on gardens, as well as in the essays on the same subject by Cowley and Sir William Temple, the ideal pleasure ground is very much like that which Le Notre realized so brilliantly at Versailles.[30] Addison, in fact, in the *Spectator* (No. 414) and Pope himself in the *Guardian* (No. 173) ridiculed the excesses of the reigning mode, and Pope attacked them again in his description of Timon’s Villa in the “Epistle to the Earl of Burlington” (1731), which was thought to be meant for Canons, the seat of the Duke of Chandos.



## Page 67

"His gardens next your admiration call,  
On every side you look, behold the wall!  
No pleasing intricacies intervene,  
No artful wildness to perplex the scene;  
Grove nods at grove, each alley has a brother,  
And half the platform just reflects the other.  
The suffering eye inverted nature sees,  
Trees cut to statues, statues thick as trees;  
With here a fountain, never to be played;  
And there a summer house, that knows no shade;  
Here Amphitrite sails through myrtle bowers;  
There gladiators fight, or die in flowers;  
Unwatered see the drooping sea-horse mourn,  
And swallows roost in Nilus' dusty urn."

Still the criticism is not merely fanciful which discovers an analogy between the French garden, with its trim regularity and artificial smoothness, and the couplets which Pope wrote: just such an analogy as exists between the whole classical school of poetry and the Italian architecture copied from Palladio and introduced in England by Inigo Jones and Christopher Wren. Grounds were laid out in rectangular plots, bordered by straight alleys, sometimes paved with vari-colored sand, and edged with formal hedges of box and holly. The turf was inlaid with parterres cut in geometric shapes and set, at even distances, with yew trees clipped into cubes, cones, pyramids, spheres, sometimes into figures of giants, birds, animals, and ships—called "topiary work" (*opus topiarium*). Terraces, fountains, bowling-greens (Fr. *boulingrin*) statues, arcades, quincunxes, espallers, and artificial mazes or labyrinths loaded the scene. The whole was inclosed by a wall, which shut the garden off from the surrounding country.

"When a Frenchman reads of the Garden of Eden," says Horace Walpole, in his essay "On Modern Gardening" (written in 1770, published in 1785), "I do not doubt but he concludes it was something approaching to that of Versailles, with clipped hedges, *berceaux* and trellis work. . . The measured walk, the quincunx and the *etoile* imposed their unsatisfying sameness on every royal and noble garden. . . Many French groves seem green chests set upon poles. . . In the garden of Marshal de Biron at Paris, consisting of fourteen acres, every walk is buttoned on each side by lines of flower-pots, which succeed in their seasons. When I saw it, there were nine thousand pots of asters, or *la reine Marguerite*. . . At Lady Orford's, at Piddletown, in Dorsetshire, there was, when my brother married, a double enclosure of thirteen gardens, each I suppose not much above a hundred yards square, with an enfilade of correspondent gates; and before you arrived at these, you passed a narrow gut between two stone terraces that rose above your head, and which were crowned by a line of pyramidal yews. A bowling green was all the lawn admitted in those times: a circular lake the extent of magnificence." [31]

## Page 68

Walpole names Theobalds and Nonsuch as famous examples of the old formal style of garden; Stourhead, Hagley, and Stowe—the country seat of Lyttelton's brother-in-law, Lord Cobham—of the new. He says that mottoes and coats of arms were sometimes cut in yew, box, and holly. He refers with respect to a recent work by the Rev. Thomas Whately, or Wheatley, "Observations on Modern Gardening," 1770; and to a poem, then and still in manuscript, but passages of which are given by Amherst,[32] entitled "The Rise and Progress of the Present Taste in Planting Parks, Pleasure Grounds, Gardens, etc. In a poetic epistle to Lord Viscount Irwin," 1767.

Gray's friend and editor, the Rev. William Mason, in his poem "The English Garden," 1757, speaks of the French garden as already a thing of the past.

"O how unlike the scene my fancy forms,  
Did Folly, heretofore, with Wealth conspire  
To plant that formal, dull disjointed scene  
Which once was called a garden! Britain still  
Bears on her breast full many a hideous wound  
Given by the cruel pair, when, borrowing aid  
From geometric skill, they vainly strove  
By line, by plummet and unfeeling shears  
To form with verdure what the builder formed  
With stone. . .

Hence the sidelong walls  
Of shaven yew; the holly's prickly arms  
Trimmed into high arcades; the tonsile box,  
Wove in mosaic mode of many a curl  
Around the figured carpet of the lawn. . .  
The terrace mound uplifted; the long line  
Deep delved of flat canal."[33]

But now, continues the poet, Taste "exalts her voice" and

"At the awful sound  
The terrace sinks spontaneous; on the green,  
Broidered with crisped knots, the tonsile yews  
Wither and fall; the fountain dares no more  
To fling its wasted crystal through the sky,  
But pours salubrious o'er the parched lawn."

The new school had the intolerance of reformers. The ruthless Capability Brown and his myrmidons laid waste many a prim but lovely old garden, with its avenues, terraces, and sun dials, the loss of which is deeply deplored, now that the Queen Anne revival has taught us to relish the *rococo* beauties which Brown's imitation landscapes displaced.

We may pause for a little upon this “English Garden” of Mason’s, as an example of that brood of didactic blank-poems, begotten of Phillips’ “Cyder” and Thomson’s “Seasons,” which includes Mallet’s “Excursion” (1728), Somerville’s “Chase” (1734), Akenside’s “Pleasures of Imagination” (1742-44), Armstrong’s “Art of Preserving Health” (1744), Dyer’s “Fleece” (1757) and Grainger’s “Sugar Cane” (1764). Mason’s blank verse, like Mallet’s, is closely imitative of Thomson’s and the influence of Thomson’s inflated diction is here seen at its worst. The whole poem is an object lesson on the absurdity of didactic poetry. Especially harrowing are the author’s struggles to be poetic while describing the various kinds of fences designed to keep sheep out of his inclosures.

## Page 69

“Ingrateful sure,  
When such the theme, becomes the poet’s task:  
Yet must he try by modulation meet  
Of varied cadence and selected phrase  
Exact yet free, without inflation bold,  
To dignify that theme.”

Accordingly he dignified his theme by speaking of a net as the “sportsman’s hempen toils,” and of a gun as the

“—fell tube  
Whose iron entrails hide the sulphurous blast  
Satanic engine!”

When he names an ice-house, it is under a form of conundrum:

“—the structure rude where Winter pounds,  
In conic pit his congelations hoar,  
That Summer may his tepid beverage cool  
With the chill luxury.”

This species of verbiage is the earmark of all eighteenth-century poetry and poets; not only of those who used the classic couplet, but equally of the romanticizing group who adopted blank verse. The best of them are not free from it, not even Gray, not even Collins; and it pervades Wordsworth’s earliest verses, his “Descriptive Sketches” and “Evening Walk” published in 1793. But perhaps the very worst instance of it is in Dr. Armstrong’s “Economy of Love,” where the ludicrous contrast between the impropriety of the subject and the solemn pomp of the diction amounts almost to *bouffe*.

In emulation of “The Seasons” Mason introduced a sentimental love story—Alcander and Nerina—into his third book. He informs his readers (book II. 34-78) that, in the reaction against straight alleys, many gardeners had gone to an extreme in the use of zigzag meanders; and he recommends them to follow the natural curves of the footpaths which the milkmaid wears across the pastures “from stile to stile,” or which

—“the scudding hare  
Draws to her dew-sprent seat o’er thymy heaths.”

The prose commentary on Mason’s poem, by W. Burgh,[34] asserts that the formal style of garden had begun to give way about the commencement of the eighteenth century, though the new fashion had but very lately attained to its perfection. Mason mentions Pope as a champion of the true taste,[35] but the descriptions of his famous villa at Twickenham, with its grotto, thickets, and artificial mounds, hardly suggest to the modern reader a very successful attempt to reproduce nature. To be sure, Pope had

only five acres to experiment with, and that parklike scenery which distinguishes the English landscape garden requires a good deal of room. The art is the natural growth of a country where primogeniture has kept large estates in the hands of the nobility and landed gentry, and in which a passion for sport has kept the nobility and gentry in the country a great share of the year. Even Shenstone—whose place is commended by Mason—Shenstone at the Leasowes, with his three hundred acres, felt his little pleasance rather awkwardly dwarfed by the neighborhood of Lyttelton's big park at Hagley.

## Page 70

The general principle of the new or English school was to imitate nature; to let trees keep their own shapes, to substitute winding walks for straight alleys, and natural waterfalls or rapids for *jets d'eau* in marble basins. The plan upon which Shenstone worked is explained in his "Unconnected Thoughts on Gardening"[36] (1764), a few sentences from which will indicate the direction of the reform: "Landscape should contain variety enough to form a picture upon canvas; and this is no bad test, as I think the landscape painter is the gardener's best designer. The eye requires a sort of balance here; but not so as to encroach upon probable nature. A wood or hill may balance a house or obelisk; for exactness would be displeasing. . . It is not easy to account for the fondness of former times for straight-lined avenues to their houses; straight-lined walks through their woods; and, in short, every kind of straight line, where the foot has to travel over what the eye has done before. . . To stand still and survey such avenues may afford some slender satisfaction, through the change derived from perspective; but to move on continually and find no change of scene in the least attendant on our change of place, must give actual pain to a person of taste. . . I conceived some idea of the sensation he must feel from walking but a few minutes, immured between Lord D——'s high shorn yew hedges, which run exactly parallel at the distance of about ten feet, and are contrived perfectly to exclude all kind of objects whatsoever. . . The side trees in vistas should be so circumstanced as to afford a probability that they grew by nature. . . The shape of ground, the disposition of trees and the figure of water must be sacred to nature; and no forms must be allowed that make a discovery of art. . . The taste of the citizen and of the mere peasant are in all respects the same: the former gilds his balls, paints his stonework and statues white, plants his trees in lines or circles, cuts his yew-trees, four-square or conic, or gives them what he can of the resemblance of birds or bears or men; squirts up his rivulets in *jets d'eau*; in short, admires no part of nature but her ductility; exhibits everything that is glaring, that implies expense, or that effects a surprise because it is unnatural. The peasant is his admirer. . . Water should ever appear as an irregular lake or winding stream. . . Hedges, appearing as such, are universally bad. They discover art in nature's province."

There is surely a correspondence between this new taste for picturesque gardening which preferred freedom, variety, irregularity, and naturalness to rule, monotony, uniformity, and artifice, and that new taste in literature which discarded the couplet for blank verse, or for various stanza forms, which left the world of society for the solitudes of nature, and ultimately went, in search of fresh stimulus, to the remains of the Gothic ages and the rude fragments of Norse and Celtic antiquity.

## Page 71

Both Walpole and Mason speak of William Kent, the architect and landscape painter, as influential in introducing a purer taste in the gardener's art. Kent was a friend of Pope and a *protege* of Lord Burlington to whom Pope inscribed his "Epistle on the Use of Riches," already quoted (see *ante* p. 121), and who gave Kent a home at his country house. Kent is said to have acknowledged that he caught his taste in gardening from the descriptive passages in Spenser, whose poems he illustrated. Walpole and Mason also unite in contrasting with the artificial gardening of Milton's time the picture of Eden in "Paradise Lost:"

"—where not nice art in curious knots,  
But nature boon poured forth on hill and dale  
Flowers worthy of Paradise; while all around  
Umbrageous grotts, and caves of cool recess,  
And murmuring waters, down the slope dispersed,  
Or held by fringed banks in crystal lakes.  
Compose a rural seat of various hue."

But it is worth noting that in "L'Allegro" "retired leisure," takes his pleasure in "*trim* gardens," while in Collins,

"Ease and health retire  
To breezy lawn or forest deep."

Walpole says that Kent's "ruling principle was that nature abhors a straight line." Kent "leaped the fence and saw that all nature was a garden. He felt the delicious contrast of hill and valley, changing imperceptibly into each other. . . and remarked how loose groves crowned an easy eminence with happy ornament. . . The great principles on which he worked were perspective and light and shade. . . But of all the beauties he added to the face of this beautiful country, none surpassed his management of water. Adieu to canals, circular basins, and cascades tumbling down marble steps. . . The gentle stream was taught to serpentine seemingly at its pleasure." [37] The treatment of the garden as a part of the landscape in general was commonly accomplished by the removal of walls, hedges, and other inclosures, and the substitution of the ha-ha or sunken fence. It is odd that Walpole, though he speaks of Capability Brown, makes no mention of the Leasowes, whose proprietor, William Shenstone, the author of "The School-mistress," is one of the most interesting of amateur gardeners. "England," says Hugh Miller, "has produced many greater poets than Shenstone, but she never produced a greater landscape gardener."

At Oxford, Shenstone had signalized his natural tastes by wearing his own hair instead of the wig then (1732) universally the fashion. [38] On coming of age, he inherited a Shropshire farm, called the Leasowes, in the parish of Hales Owen and an annuity of some three hundred pounds. He was of an indolent, retiring, and somewhat melancholy

temperament; and, instead of pursuing a professional career, he settled down upon his property and, about the year 1745, began to turn it into a *ferme ornee*. There he



## Page 72

wooed the rustic muse in elegy, ode, and pastoral ballad, sounding upon the vocal reed the beauties of simplicity and the vanity of ambition, and mingling with these strains complaints of Delia's cruelty and of the shortness of his own purse, which hampered him seriously in his gardening designs. Mr. Saintsbury has described Shenstone as a master of "the artificial-natural style of poetry." [39] His pastoral insipidities about pipes and crooks and kids, Damon and Delia, Strephon and Chloe, excited the scorn of Dr. Johnson, who was also at no pains to conceal his contempt for the poet's horticultural pursuits. "Whether to plant a walk in undulating curves and to place a bench at every turn where there is an object to catch the view; to make water run where it will be seen; to leave intervals where the eye will be pleased, and to thicken the plantation where there is something to be hidden, demands any great powers of mind, I will not enquire." The doctor reports that Lyttelton was jealous of the fame which the Leasowes soon acquired, and that when visitors to Hagley asked to see Shenstone's place, their host would adroitly conduct them to inconvenient points of view—introducing them, *e.g.*, at the wrong end of a walk, so as to detect a deception in perspective, "injuries of which Shenstone would heavily complain." [40] Graves, however, denies that any rivalry was in question between the great domain of Hagley and the poet's little estate. "The truth of the case," he writes, "was that the Lyttelton family went so frequently with their company to the Leasowes, that they were unwilling to break in upon Mr. Shenstone's retirement on every occasion, and therefore often went to the principal points of view, without waiting for anyone to conduct them regularly through the whole walks. Of this Mr. Shenstone would sometimes peevishly complain."

Shenstone describes in his "Thoughts on Gardening," several artifices that he put in practice for increasing the apparent distance of objects, or for lengthening the perspective of an avenue by widening it in the foreground and planting it there with dark-foliaged trees, like yews and firs, "then with trees more and more fady, till they end in the almond-willow or silver osier." To have Lord Lyttelton bring in a party at the small, or willow end of such a walk, and thereby spoil the whole trick, must indeed have been provoking. Johnson asserts that Shenstone's house was ruinous and that "nothing raised his indignation more than to ask if there were any fishes in his water." "In time," continues the doctor, "his expenses brought clamors about him that overpowered the lamb's bleat and the linnet's song; and his groves were haunted by beings very different from fawns and fairies;" to wit, bailiffs; but Graves denies this.

## Page 73

The fame of the Leasowes attracted visitors from all parts of the country—literary men like Spence, Home, and Dodsley; picturesque tourists, who came out of curiosity; and titled persons, who came, or sent their gardeners, to obtain hints for laying out their grounds. Lyttelton brought William Pitt, who was so much interested that he offered to contribute two hundred pounds toward improvements, an offer that Shenstone, however, declined. Pitt had himself some skill in landscape gardening, which he exercised at Enfield Chase and afterward at Hayes.[41] Thomson, who was Lyttelton's guest at Hagley every summer during the last three or four years of his life, was naturally familiar with the Leasowes. There are many references to the "sweet descriptive bard," in Shenstone's poems[42] and a seat was inscribed to his memory in a part of the grounds known as Vergil's Grove. "This seat," says Dodsley, "is placed upon a steep bank on the edge of the valley, from which the eye is here drawn down into the flat below by the light that glimmers in front and by the sound of various cascades, by which the winding stream is agreeably broken. Opposite to this seat the ground rises again in an easy concave to a kind of dripping fountain, where a small rill trickles down a rude niche of rock work through fern, liverwort, and aquatic weeds. . . The whole scene is opaque and gloomy." [43]

English landscape gardening is a noble art. Its principles are sound and of perpetual application. Yet we have advanced so much farther in the passion for nature than the men of Shenstone's day that we are apt to be impatient of the degree of artifice present in even the most skillful counterfeit of the natural landscape. The poet no longer writes odes on "Rural Elegance," nor sings

"The transport, most allied to song,  
In some fair valley's peaceful bound  
To catch soft hints from Nature's tongue,  
And bid Arcadia bloom around;  
Whether we fringe the sloping hill,  
Or smooth below the verdant mead;  
Or in the horrid brambles' room  
Bid careless groups of roses bloom;  
Or let some sheltered lake serene  
Reflect flowers, woods and spires, and brighten all the scene."

If we cannot have the mountains, the primeval forest, or the shore of the wild sea, we can at least have Thomson's "great simple country," subdued to man's use but not to his pleasure. The modern mood prefers a lane to a winding avenue, and an old orchard or stony pasture to a lawn decorated with coppices. "I do confess," says Howitt, "that in the 'Leasowes' I have always found so much ado about nothing; such a parade of miniature cascades, lakes, streams conveyed hither and thither; surprises in the disposition of woods and the turn of walks. . . that I have heartily wished myself out upon a good rough heath."

## Page 74

For the “artificial-natural” was a trait of Shenstone’s gardening no less than of his poetry. He closed every vista and emphasized every opening in his shrubberies and every spot that commanded a prospect with some object which was as an exclamation point on the beauty of the scene: a rustic bench, a root-house, a Gothic alcove, a grotto, a hermitage, a memorial urn or obelisk dedicated to Lyttelton, Thomson, Somerville,[44] Dodsley, or some other friend. He supplied these with inscriptions expressive of the sentiments appropriate to the spot, passages from Vergil, or English or Latin verses of his own composition. Walpole says that Kent went so far in his imitation of natural scenery as to plant *dead* trees in Kensington Garden. Walpole himself seems to approve of such devices as artificial ruins, “a feigned steeple of a distant church or an unreal bridge to disguise the termination of water.” Shenstone was not above these little effects: he constructed a “ruinated priory” and a temple of Pan out of rough, unhewn stone; he put up a statue of a piping faun, and another of the Venus dei Medici beside a vase of gold fishes.

Some of Shenstone’s inscriptions have escaped the tooth of time. The motto, for instance, cut upon the urn consecrated to the memory of his cousin, Miss Dolman, was prefixed by Byron to his “Elegy upon Thyrza”: “Heu quanto minus est cum reliquis versari quam tui meminisse!” The habit of inscription prevailed down to the time of Wordsworth, who composed a number for the grounds of Sir George Beaumont at Coleorton. One of Akenside’s best pieces is his “Inscription for a Grotto,” which is not unworthy of Landor. Matthew Green, the author of “The Spleen,” wrote a poem of some 250 lines upon Queen Caroline’s celebrated grotto in Richmond Garden. “A grotto,” says Johnson, apropos of that still more celebrated one at Pope’s Twickenham villa, “is not often the wish or pleasure of an Englishman, who has more frequent need to solicit than exclude the sun”; but the increasing prominence of the mossy cave and hermit’s cell, both in descriptive verse and in gardening, was symptomatic. It was a note of the coming romanticism, and of that pensive, elegiac strain which we shall encounter in the work of Gray, Collins, and the Wartons. It marked the withdrawal of the muse from the world’s high places into the cool sequestered vale of life. All through the literature of the mid-century, the high-strung ear may catch the drip-drip of spring water down the rocky walls of the grot.

At Hagley, halfway up the hillside, Miller saw a semi-octagonal temple dedicated to the genius of Thomson. It stood in a grassy hollow which commanded a vast, open prospect and was a favorite resting place of the poet of “The Seasons.” In a shady, secluded ravine he found a white pedestal, topped by an urn which Lyttelton had inscribed to the memory of Shenstone. This contrast of situation seemed to the tourist emblematic.

## Page 75

Shenstone, he says, was an egotist, and his recess, true to his character, excludes the distant landscape. Gray, who pronounced “The Schoolmistress” a masterpiece in its kind, made a rather slighting mention of its author.[45] “I have read an 8vo volume of Shenstone’s letters; poor man! He was always wishing for money, for fame and other distinctions; and his whole philosophy consisted in living, against his will, in retirement and in a place which his taste had adorned, but which he only enjoyed when people of note came to see and commend it.” Gray unquestionably profited by a reading of Shenstone’s “Elegies,” which antedate his own “Elegy Written in a Country Churchyard” (1751). He adopted Shenstone’s stanza, which Shenstone had borrowed from the love elegies of a now forgotten poet, James Hammond, equerry to Prince Frederick and a friend of Cobham, Lyttelton, and Chesterfield. “Why Hammond or other writers,” says Johnson, “have thought the quatrain of ten syllables elegiac, it is difficult to tell. The character of the elegy is gentleness and tenuity, but this stanza has been pronounced by Dryden. . .to be the most magnificent of all the measures which our language affords.”[46]

Next after “The Schoolmistress,” the most engaging of Shenstone’s poems is his “Pastoral Ballad,” written in 1743 in four parts and in a tripping anapestic measure. Familiar to most readers is the stanza beginning:

“I have found out a gift for my fair,  
I have found where the wood-pigeons breed.”

Dr. Johnson acknowledged the prettiness of the conceit:

“So sweetly she bade me adieu,  
I thought that she bade me return;”

and he used to quote and commend the well-known lines “Written at an Inn at Henley:

“Whoe’er has travell’d life’s dull round,  
Where’er his stages may have been,  
May sigh to think he still has found  
The warmest welcome at an inn.”

As to Shenstone’s blank verse—of which there is not much—the doctor says: “His blank verses, those that can read them may probably find to be like the blank verses of his neighbors.” Shenstone encouraged Percy to publish his “Reliques.” The plans for the grounds at Abbotsford were somewhat influenced by Didsley’s description of the Leasowes, which Scott studied with great interest.

In 1744 Mark Akenside, a north country man and educated partly in Scotland, published his "Pleasures of Imagination," afterwards rewritten as "The Pleasures of the Imagination" and spoiled in the process. The title and something of the course of thought in the poem were taken from Addison's series of papers on the subject (*Spectator*, Nos. 411-421). Akenside was a man of learning and a physician of distinction. His poem, printed when he was only twenty-three, enjoyed a popularity now rather hard to account for. Gray complained of its obscurity and said it was issued nine years

## Page 76

too early, but admitted that now and then it rose “even to the best, particularly in description.” Akenside was harsh, formal, and dogmatic, as a man. Smollett caricatured him in “Peregrine Pickle.” Johnson hated his Whig principles and represents him, when settled at Northampton, as “having deafened the place with clamors for liberty.”[47] He furthermore disliked the class of poetry to which Akenside’s work belonged, and he told Boswell that he couldn’t read it. Still he speaks of him with a certain cautious respect, which seems rather a concession to contemporary opinion than an appreciation of the critic’s own. He even acknowledges that Akenside has “few artifices of disgust than most of his brethren of the blank song.” Lowell says that the very title of Akenside’s poem pointed “away from the level highway of commonplace to mountain paths and less dogmatic prospects. The poem was stiff and unwilling, but in its loins lay the seed of nobler births. Without it, the ‘Lines Written at Tintern Abbey’ might never have been.”

One cannot read “The Pleasures of Imagination” without becoming sensible that the writer was possessed of poetic feeling, and feeling of a kind that we generally agree to call romantic. His doctrine at least, if not his practice, was in harmony with the fresh impulse which was coming into English poetry. Thus he celebrates heaven-born genius and the inspiration of nature, and decries “the critic-verse” and the effort to scale Parnassus “by dull obedience.” He invokes the peculiar muse of the new school:

“Indulgent *Fancy*, from the fruitful banks  
Of Avon, whence thy rosy fingers cull  
Fresh flowers and dew to sprinkle on the turf  
Where Shakspeare lies.”

But Akenside is too abstract. In place of images, he presents the reader with dissertations. A poem which takes imagination as its subject rather than its method will inevitably remain, not poetry but a lecture on poetry—a theory of beauty, not an example of it. Akenside might have chosen for his motto Milton’s lines:

“How charming is divine philosophy!  
Not harsh and crabbed, as dull fools suppose,  
But musical as is Apollo’s lute.”

Yet he might have remembered, too, what Milton said about the duty of poetry to be simple, sensuous, and passionate. Akenside’s is nothing of these; it is, on the contrary obscure, metaphysical, and, as a consequence, frigid. Following Addison, he names greatness and novelty, *i.e.*, the sublime and the wonderful, as, equally with beauty, the chief sources of imaginative pleasure, and the whole poem is a plea for what we are now accustomed to call the ideal. In the first book there is a passage which is fine in spirit and—though in a less degree—in expression:

## Page 77

"Who that from Alpine heights his laboring eye  
Shoots round the wide horizon, to survey  
Nilus or Ganges rolling his bright wave  
Through mountains, plains, through empires black with shade.  
And continents of sand, will turn his gaze  
To mark the windings of a scanty rill  
That murmurs at his feet? The high-born soul  
Disdains to rest her heaven-aspiring wing  
Beneath its native quarry. Tired of earth  
And this diurnal scene, she springs aloft  
Through fields of air; pursues the flying storm;  
Rides on the vollied lightning through the heavens;  
Or, yoked with whirlwinds and the northern blast,  
Sweeps the long trace of day."

The hint for this passage was furnished by a paragraph in Addison's second paper (*Spectator*, 412) and the emotion is the same to which Goethe gives utterance in the well-known lines of "Faust";

"Doch jedem ist es eingeboren  
Dass sein Gefuehl hinauf und vorwaerts dringt," etc.

But how greatly superior in sharpness of detail, richness of invention, energy of movement is the German to the English poet!

Akenside ranks among the earlier Spenserians by virtue of his "Virtuoso" (1737) and of several odes composed in a ten-lined variation on Spenser's stanza. A collection of his "Odes" appeared in 1745—the year before Collins' and Joseph Warton's—and a second in 1760. They are of little value, but show here and there traces of Milton's minor poetry and that elegiac sentiment, common to the lyrical verse of the time, noticeable particularly in a passage on the nightingale in Ode XV, book i., "To the Evening Star." "The Pleasures of Imagination" was the parent of a numerous offspring of similarly entitled pieces, among which are Joseph Warton's "Pleasures of Melancholy," Campbell's "Pleasures of Hope," and Rogers' "Pleasures of Memory."

In the same year with Thomson's "Winter" (1726) there were published in two poetical miscellanies a pair of little descriptive pieces, "Grongar Hill" and "The Country Walk," written by John Dyer, a young Welshman, in the octosyllabic couplet of Milton's "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso." ("Grongar Hill," as first printed was a sort of irregular ode with alternate rhyming; but it was much improvised in later editions, and rewritten throughout in couplets.)

Dyer was a landscape painter who had been educated at Westminster school, studied under Richardson at London, and spent some time wandering about the mountains of

Wales in the practice of his art. “Grongar Hill” is, in fact, a pictorial poem, a sketch of the landscape seen from the top of his favorite summit in South Wales. It is a slight piece of work, careless and even slovenly in execution, but with an ease and lightness of touch that contrast pleasantly with Thomson’s and Akenside’s ponderosity. When Dyer wrote blank verse he slipped into the Thomsonian diction, “cumbent sheep” and “purple groves pomaceous.” But in “Grongar Hill”—although he does call the sun Phoebus—the shorter measure seems to bring shorter words, and he has lines of Wordsworthian simplicity—



## Page 78

"The woody valleys warm and low,  
The windy summit, wild and high."

or the closing passage, which Wordsworth alludes to in his sonnet on Dyer—"Long as the thrush shall pipe on Grongar Hill":

"Grass and flowers Quiet treads  
On the meads and mountain heads. . .  
And often, by the murmuring rill,  
Hears the thrush while all is still,  
Within the groves of Grongar Hill."

Wordsworth was attracted by Dyer's love of "mountain turf" and "spacious airy downs" and "naked Snowdon's wide, aerial waste." The "power of hills" was on him. Like Wordsworth, too, he moralized his song. In "Grongar Hill," the ruined tower suggests the transience of human life: the rivers running down to the sea are likened to man's career from birth to death; and Campbell's couplet,

"'Tis distance lends enchantment to the view  
And robes the mountain in its azure hue,"[48]

is thought to owe something to Dyer's

"As yon summits soft and fair,  
Clad in colors of the air  
Which to those who journey near  
Barren, brown and rough appear,  
Still we tread the same coarse way,  
The present's still a cloudy day."

Dyer went to Rome to pursue his art studies and, on his return in 1740, published his "Ruins of Rome" in blank verse. He was not very successful as a painter, and finally took orders, married, and settled down as a country parson. In 1757 he published his most ambitious work, "The Fleece," a poem in blank verse and in four books, descriptive of English wool-growing. "The subject of 'The Fleece,' sir," pronounced Johnson, "cannot be made poetical. How can a man write poetically of serges and druggets?" Didactic poetry, in truth, leads too often to ludicrous descents. Such precepts as "beware the rot," "enclose, enclose, ye swains," and

"-the utility of salt  
Teach thy slow swains";

with prescriptions for the scab, and advice as to divers kinds of wool combs, are fatal. A poem of this class has to be *made* poetical, by dragging in episodes and digressions

which do not inhere in the subject itself but are artificially associated with it. Of such a nature is the loving mention—quoted in Wordsworth’s sonnet—of the poet’s native Carmarthenshire

“-that soft tract  
Of Cambria, deep embayed, Dimetian land,  
By green hills fenced, by Ocean’s murmur lulled.”

Lowell admired the line about the Siberian exiles, met

“On the dark level of adversity.”

Miltonic reminiscences are frequent in Dyer. Sabrina is borrowed from “Comus”; “bosky bourn” and “soothes shepherd” from the same; “the light fantastic toe” from “L’Allegro”; “level brine” and “nor taint-worm shall infect the yearning herds,” from “Lycidas”; “audience pure be thy delight, though few,” from “Paradise Lost.”

## Page 79

"Mr. Dyer," wrote Gray to Horace Walpole in 1751, "has more of poetry in his imagination than almost any of our number; but rough and injudicious." Akenside, who helped Dyer polish the manuscript of "The Fleece," said that "he would regulate his opinion of the reigning taste by the fate of Dyer's 'Fleece'; for if that were ill received, he should not think it any longer reasonable to expect fame from excellence." The romantic element in Dyer's imagination appears principally in his love of the mountains and of ancient ruins. Johnson cites with approval a sentence in "The Ruins of Rome":

"At dead of night,  
The hermit oft, midst his orisons, hears  
Aghast the voice of Time disparting towers." [49]

These were classic ruins. Perhaps the doctor's sympathy would not have been so quickly extended to the picture of the moldering Gothic tower in "Grongar Hill," or of "solitary Stonehenge gray with moss," in "The Fleece."

[1] W. D. McClintock, "The Romantic and Classical in English Literature," *Chautauquan*, Vol. XIV, p. 187.

[2] "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 207.

[3] "Autumn," lines 645-47.

[4] "Life of Philips."

[5] "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 221

[6] Cf. Chaucer: "And as a bitoure bumbleth in the mire."  
—*Wyf of Bathes Tale*.

[7] Phillimore's "Life of Lyttelton," Vol. I, p. 286.

[8] "First Impression of England," p. 135.

[9] Appendix to Preface to the Second Edition of "Lyrical Ballads,"

[10] There are, of course, Miltonic reminiscences in "The Seasons." The moon's "spotted disk" ("Autumn," 1091) is Milton's "spotty globe." The apostrophe to light ("Spring" 90-96) borrows its "efflux divine" from Milton's "bright effluence of bright essence increate" ("Paradise Lost," III. 1-12) And cf. "Autumn," 783-84:

"—from Imaus stretcht  
Athwart the roving Tartar's sullen bounds,"

with P.L., III, 431-32; and "Winter," 1005-08.



“—moors  
Beneath the shelter of an icy isle,  
While night o'erwhelms the sea.”

with P.L., l. 207-208.

[11] “Ward’s English Poets,” Vol. III. p. 171.

[12] There were originally *three* damsels in the bathing scene!

[13] It was to this episode that Pope supplied the lines (207-14)

“Thoughtless of beauty, she was beauty’s self,” *etc.*,

which form his solitary essay in blank verse. Thomson told Collins that he took the first hint of “The Seasons” from the names of the divisions—Spring, Summer, Autumn, Winter—in Pope’s “Pastorals.”

[14] Appendix to Preface to Second Edition of “Lyrical Ballads.”

[15] “The Hermit.”

[16] “Essay on Man,” Epistle I.

[17] “Falsely luxurious, will not man awake?” *etc.*  
—*Summer*, 67.

## Page 80

[18] "Nor, when cold winter keens the brightening flood,  
Would I, weak shivering, linger on the brink."  
—*Ibid.* 1259-60.

[19] "Life of Thomson."

[20] "Spring," 755-58.

[21] "Autumn," 862-65.

[22] "Epistle of Augustus."

[23] "Autumn," 1030-37. *Cf.* Cowper's

"O for a lodge in some vast wilderness,  
Some boundless contiguity of shade!"

[24] "Winter," 424-32.

[25] "Spring," 1026-28.

[26] Shakspeare's "broom groves whose shade the dismist bachelor loves;"

Fletcher's

"Fountain heads and pathless groves,  
Places which pale passion loves,"

and his

"Moonlight walks when all the fowls  
Are safely housed, save bats and owls."

[27] Letter to Howe, September 10.

[28] Letter to Howe, November, 1763.

[29] Alicia Amherst ("History of Gardening in England," 1896, p. 283) mentions a French and an Italian work, entitled respectively "Plan de Jardins dans le gout Anglais," Copenhagen, 1798; and "Del Arte dei Giardini Inglesi," Milan, 1801. "This passion for the imitation of nature," says the same authority, "was part of the general reaction which was taking place, not only in gardening but in the world of literature and of fashion. The extremely artificial French taste had long taken the lead in civilized Europe, and now there was an attempt to shake off the shackles of its exaggerated formalism. The poets of the age were also pioneers of this school of nature. Dyer, in his poem of 'Grongar

Hill,' and Thomson, in his 'Seasons,' called up pictures which the gardeners and architects of the day strove to imitate." See in this work, for good examples of the formal garden, the plan of Belton House, Lincoln, p. 245; of Brome Hall, Suffolk; of the orangery and canal at Euston, p. 201; and the scroll work patterns of turf and parterres on pp. 217-18.

[30] In Temple's gardens at Moor Park, Hertfordshire, *e.g.*, there were terraces covered with lead. Charles II. imported some of Le Notre's pupils and assistants, who laid out the grounds at Hampton Court in the French taste. The maze at Hampton Court still existed in Walpole's time (1770).

[31] It is worth noticing that Batty Langley, the abortive restorer of Gothic, also recommended the natural style of landscape gardening as early as 1728 in his "New Principles of Gardening."

[32] "History of Gardening in England."

[33] I. 384-404.

[34] "The Works of William Mason," in 4 vols., London, 1811.

## Page 81

[35] See Pope's paper in the *Guardian* (173) for some rather elaborate foolery about topiary work. "All art," he maintains, "consists in the imitation and study of nature." "We seem to make it our study to recede from nature, not only in the various tonsure of greens into the most regular and formal shapes, but," *etc.*, *etc.* Addison, too, *Spectator* 414, June 25, 1712, upholds "the rough, careless strokes of nature" against "the nice touches and embellishments of art," and complains that "our British gardeners, instead of humoring nature, love to deviate from it as much as possible. Our trees rise in cones, globes, and pyramids. We see the marks of the scissors upon every plant and bush. I do not know whether I am singular in all its luxuriancy and diffusion of boughs and branches, than when it is thus cut and trimmed into a mathematical figure." See also *Spectator*, 477, for a pretty scheme of a garden laid out with "the beautiful wildness of nature." Gilbert West's Spenserian poem "Education," 1751 (see *ante*, p. 90) contains an attack, in six stanzas, upon the geometric garden, from which I give a single stanza.

"Else other wonders of the sportive shears,  
Fair nature mis-adorning, there were found:  
Globes, spiral columns, pyramids, and piers,  
With sprouting urns and budding statues crowned;  
And horizontal dials on the ground,  
In living box by cunning artists traced;  
And gallies trim, on no long voyage bound  
But by their roots there ever anchored fast,  
All were their bellying sails out-spread to every blast."

[36] "Essays on Men and Manners," Shenstone's Works, Vol. II. Dodsley's edition.

[37] "On Modern Gardening," Works of the Earl of Orford, London, 1798, Vol. II.

[38] Graves, "Recollections of Shenstone," 1788.

[39] "Ward's English Poets," Vol. III. 271.

[40] "Life of Shenstone."

[41] See *ante*, p. 90, for his visits to Gilbert West at Wickham.

[42] See especially "A Pastoral Ode," and "Verses Written toward the Close of the Year 1748."

[43] "A Description of the Leasowes by R. Dodsley," Shenstone's Works, Vol. II, pp. 287-320 (3d ed.) This description is accompanied with a map. For other descriptions consult Graves' "Recollections," Hugh Miller's "First Impressions of England," and Wm. Howitt's "Homes of the Poets" (1846), Vol. I. pp. 258-63. The last gives an engraving of the house and grounds. Miller, who was at Hagley—"The British Tempe"—and the

Leasowes just a century after Shenstone began to embellish his paternal acres, says that the Leasowes was the poet's most elaborate poem, "the singularly ingenious composition, inscribed on an English hillside, which employed for twenty long years the taste and genius of Shenstone."

[44] See "Lady Luxborough's Letters to Shenstone," 1775, for a long correspondence about an urn which *she* was erecting to Somerville's memory. She was a sister of Bolingbroke, had a seat at Barrels, and exchanged visits with Shenstone.



## Page 82

[45] "Letter to Nichols," June 24, 1769.

[46] Dryden's "Annus Mirabilis," Davenant's "Gondibert," and Sir John Davies' "Nosce Teipsum" were written in this stanza, but the universal currency of Gray's poem associated it for many years almost exclusively with elegiac poetry. Shenstone's collected poems were not published till 1764, though some of them had been printed in Dodsley's "Miscellanies." Only a few of his elegies are dated in the collected editions (Elegy VIII, 1745; XIX, 1743; XXI, 1746), but Graves says that they were all written before Gray's. The following lines will recall to every reader corresponding passages in Gray's "Churchyard":

"O foolish muses, that with zeal aspire  
To deck the cold insensate shrine with bays!

"When the free spirit quits her humble frame  
To tread the skies, with radiant garlands crowned;

"Say, will she hear the distant voice of Fame,  
Or hearing, fancy sweetness in the sound?"  
—*Elegy II*.

"I saw his bier ignobly cross the plain."  
—*Elegy III*.

"No wild ambition fired their spotless breast."  
—*Elegy XV*.

"Through the dim veil of evening's dusky shade  
Near some lone fane or yew's funereal green," etc.  
—*Elegy IV*.

"The glimmering twilight and the doubtful dawn  
Shall see your step to these sad scenes return,  
Constant as crystal dew's impearl the lawn," etc.  
—*Ibid*.

[47] "Life of Akenside."

[48] "Pleasures of Hope."

[49] *cf.* Wordsworth's

"Some casual shout that broke the silent air,  
Or the unimaginable touch of time."  
—*Mutability: Ecclesiastical Sonnets, XXXIV*.

## CHAPTER V.

### The Miltonic Group

That the influence of Milton, in the romantic revival of the eighteenth century, should have been hardly second in importance to Spenser's is a confirmation of our remark that Augustan literature was "classical" in a way of its own. It is another example of that curiously topsy-turvy condition of things in which rhyme was a mark of the classic, and blank verse of the romantic. For Milton is the most truly classical of English poets; and yet, from the angle of observation at which the eighteenth century viewed him, he appeared a romantic. It was upon his romantic side, at all events, that the new school of poets apprehended and appropriated him.

This side was present in Milton in a fuller measure than his completed works would show. It is well known that he, at one time, had projected an *Arthuriad*, a design which, if carried out, might have anticipated Tennyson and so deprived us of "The Idyls of the King." "I betook me," he writes, "among those lofty fables and romances which recount in solemn cantos the deeds of knighthood."<sup>[1]</sup> And in the "Epitaphium Damonis" he thus apprised the reader of his purpose:

## Page 83

"Ipse ego Dardanais Rutupina per aequora puppes,  
Dicam, et Pandrasidos regnum vetus Inogeniae,  
Brennumque Arviragunque duces, priscumque Belinum,  
Et tandem Armoricos Britonum sub lege colonos;  
Tum gravidam Arturo fatali fraude Ioergernen;  
Mendaces vultus, assumptaque Gorloeis arma,  
Merlini dolus." [2]

The "matter of Britain" never quite lost the fascination which it had exercised over his youthful imagination, as appears from passages in "Paradise Lost" [3] and even in "Paradise Regained." [4] But with his increasing austerity, both religious and literary, Milton gravitated finally to Hebraic themes and Hellenic art forms. He wrote Homeric epics and Aeschylean tragedies, instead of masques and sonnets, of rhymed pieces on the Italian model, like "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," and of stanzaic poems, like the "Nativity Ode," touched with Elizabethan conceits. He relied more and more upon sheer construction and weight of thought and less upon decorative richness of detail. His diction became naked and severe, and he employed rhyme but sparingly, even in the choral parts of "Samson Agonistes." In short, like Goethe, he grew classical as he grew old. It has been mentioned that "Paradise Lost" did much to keep alive the tradition of English blank verse through a period remarkable for its bigoted devotion to rhyme, and especially to the heroic couplet. Yet it was, after all, Milton's early poetry, in which rhyme is used—though used so differently from the way in which Pope used it—that counted for most in the history of the romantic movement. Professor Masson contradicts the common assertion, that "Paradise Lost" was first written into popularity by Addison's Saturday papers. While that series was running, Tonson brought out (1711-13) an edition of Milton's poetical works which was "the ninth of 'Paradise Lost,' the eight of 'Paradise Regained,' the seventh of 'Samson Agonistes' and the sixth of the minor poems." The previous issues of the minor poems had been in 1645, 1673, 1695, 1705, and 1707. Six editions in sixty-eight years is certainly no very great showing. After 1713 editions of Milton multiplied rapidly; by 1763 "Paradise Lost" was in its forty-sixth, and the minor poems in their thirtieth. [5]

Addison selected an occasional passage from Milton's juvenile poems, in the *Spectator*; but from all obtainable evidence, it seems not doubtful that they had been comparatively neglected, and that, although reissued from time to time in complete editions of Milton's poetry, they were regarded merely as pendants to "Paradise Lost" and floated by its reputation. "Whatever causes," says Dryden, "Milton alleges for the abolishing of rime . . . his own particular reason is plainly this, that rime was not his talent: he had neither the ease of doing it, nor the graces of it: which is manifest in his 'Juvenilia' or verses written in his youth; where his rime is always constrained and forced and comes hardly from him."

## Page 84

Joseph Warton, writing in 1756,[6] after quoting copiously from the “Nativity Ode,” which, he says, is “not sufficiently read nor admired,” continues as follows: “I have dwelt chiefly on this ode as much less celebrated than ‘L’Allegro’ and ‘Il Penseroso,’”[7] which are now universally known,; but which, by a strange fatality, lay in a sort of obscurity, the private enjoyment of a few curious readers, till they were set to admirable music by Mr. Handel. And indeed this volume of Milton’s miscellaneous poems has not till very lately met with suitable regard. Shall I offend any rational admirer of Pope, by remarking that these juvenile descriptive poems of Milton, as well as his Latin elegies, are of a strain far more exalted than any the former author can boast?”

The first critical edition of the minor poems was published in 1785, by Thomas Warton, whose annotations have been of great service to all later editors. As late as 1779, Dr. Johnson spoke of these same poems with an absence of appreciation that now seems utterly astounding. “Those who admire the beauties of this great poem sometimes force their own judgment into false admiration of his little pieces, and prevail upon themselves to think that admirable which is only singular.” Of *Lycidas* he says: “In this poem there is no nature, for there is no truth; there is no art, for there is nothing new. Its form is that of a pastoral, easy, vulgar, and therefore disgusting. . . Surely no man could have fancied that he read ‘Lycidas’ with pleasure, had he not known its author.” He acknowledges that “L’Allegro” and “Il Penseroso” are “noble efforts of imagination”; and that, “as a series of lines,” “Comus” “may be considered as worthy of all the admiration with which the votaries have received it.” But he makes peevish objections to its dramatic probability, finds its dialogues and soliloquies tedious, and unmindful of the fate of Midas, solemnly pronounces the songs—“Sweet Echo” and “Sabrina fair”—“harsh in their diction and not very musical in their numbers”! Of the sonnets he says: “They deserve not any particular criticism; for of the best it can only be said that they are not bad.”[8] Boswell reports that, Hannah More having expressed her “wonder that the poet who had written ‘Paradise Lost’ should write such poor sonnets,” Johnson replied: “Milton, madam, was a genius that could cut a colossus from a rock, but could not carve heads upon cherry stones.”

The influence of Milton’s minor poetry first becomes noticeable in the fifth decade of the century, and in the work of a new group of lyrical poets: Collins, Gray, Mason, and the brothers Joseph and Thomas Warton. To all of these Milton was master. But just as Thomson and Shenstone got original effects from Spenser’s stanza, while West and Cambridge and Lloyd were nothing but echoes; so Collins and Gray—immortal names—drew fresh music from Milton’s organ pipes, while for the others he set the tune. The Wartons, indeed,

## Page 85

though imitative always in their verse, have an independent and not inconsiderable position in criticism and literary scholarship, and I shall return to them later in that connection. Mason, whose “English Garden” has been reviewed in chapter iv, was a small poet and a somewhat absurd person. He aped, first Milton and afterward Gray, so closely that his work often seems like parody. In general the Miltonic revival made itself manifest in a more dispersed and indirect fashion than the Spenserian; but there was no lack of formal imitations, also, and it will be advisable to notice a few of these here in the order of their dates.

In 1740 Joseph Warton, then an Oxford undergraduate, wrote his blank-verse poem “The Enthusiast, or the Lover of Nature.” The work of a boy of eighteen, it had that instinct of the future, of the set of the literary current, not uncommon in youthful artists, of which Chatterton’s precocious verses are a remarkable instance. Composed only ten years later than the completed “Seasons,” and five years before Shenstone began to lay out his miniature wilderness at the Leasowes, it is more distinctly modern and romantic in its preference of wild nature to cultivated landscape, and of the literature of fancy to the literature of reasons.

“What are the lays of artful Addison,  
Coldly correct, to Shakspeare’s warblings wild?”

asks the young enthusiast, in Milton’s own phrase. And again

“Can Kent design like Nature?. . .  
Though he, by rules unfettered, boldly scorns  
Formality and method, round and square  
Disdaining, plans irregularly great?. . .

“Versailles  
May boast a thousand fountains that can cast  
The tortured waters to the distant heavens;  
Yet let me choose some pine-topped precipice  
Abrupt and shaggy, whence a foamy stream,  
Like Anio, tumbling roars; or some black heath  
Where straggling stands the mournful juniper,  
Or yew tree scathed.”

The enthusiast haunts “dark forests” and loves to listen to “hollow winds and ever-beating waves” and “sea-mew’s clang.” Milton appears at every turn, not only in single epithets like “Lydian airs,” “the level brine,” “low-thoughted cares,” “the light fantastic dance,” but in the entire spirit, imagery, and diction of the poem. A few lines illustrate this better than any description.



“Ye green-robed Dryads, oft at dusky eve  
By wondering shepherds seen; to forest brown,  
To unfrequented meads and pathless wilds  
Lead me from gardens decked with art’s vain pomp. . .  
But let me never fall in cloudless night,  
When silent Cynthia in her silver car  
Through the blue concave slides,. . .  
To seek some level mead, and there invoke  
Old midnight’s sister, contemplation sage  
(Queen of the rugged brow and stern-fixed eye),  
To lift my soul above this little earth,  
This folly-fettered world: to purge my ears,  
That I may hear the rolling planet’s song  
And tuneful turning spheres.”

## Page 86

Mason's Miltonic imitations, "Musaeus," "Il Bellicoso" and "Il Pacifico" were written in 1744—according to the statement of their author, whose statements, however, are not always to be relied upon. The first was published in 1747; the second "surreptitiously printed in a magazine and afterward inserted in Peach's miscellany," finally revised and published by the author in 1797; the third first printed in 1748 in the Cambridge verses on the peace of Aix-la-Chapelle. These pieces follow copy in every particular. "Il Bellicoso," e.g., opens with the invocation.

"Hence, dull lethargic Peace,  
Born in some hoary beadsman's cell obscure!"

The genealogies of Peace and War are recited, and contrasted pictures of peaceful and warlike pleasures presented in an order which corresponds as precisely as possible to Milton's in "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso."

"Then, to unbend my mind, I'll roam  
Amid the cloister's silent gloom;  
Or, where ranged oaks their shades diffuse,  
Hold dalliance with my darling Muse,  
Recalling oft some heaven-born strain  
That warbled in Augustan reign;  
Or turn, well pleased, the Grecian page,  
If sweet Theocritus engage,  
Or blithe Anacreon, mirthful wight,  
Carol his easy love-lay light. . .  
And joys like these, if Peace inspire  
Peace, with thee I string the lyre." [9]

"Musaeus" was a monody on the death of Pope, employing the pastoral machinery and the varied irregular measure of "Lycidas." Chaucer, Spenser, and Milton, under the names of Tityrus, Colin Clout, and Thyrsis, are introduced as mourners, like Camus and St. Peter in the original. Tityrus is made to lament the dead shepherd in very incorrect Middle English. Colin Clout speaks two stanzas of the form used in the first eclogue of "The Shepherd's Calendar," and three stanzas of the form used in "The Faerie Queene." Thyrsis speaks in blank verse and is answered by the shade of Musaeus (Pope) in heroic couplets. Verbal travesties of "Lycidas" abound—"laureate hearse," "forego each vain excuse," "without the loan of some poetic woe," etc.; and the closing passage is reworded thus:

"Thus the fond swain his Doric oat essayed,  
Manhood's prime honors rising on his cheek:  
Trembling he strove to court the tuneful Maid,  
With stripling arts and dalliance all too weak,  
Unseen, unheard beneath an hawthorn shade.

But now dun clouds the welkin 'gan to streak;  
And now down dropt the larks and ceased their strain:  
They ceased, and with them ceased the shepherd swain."



## Page 87

In 1746 appeared a small volume of odes, fourteen in number, by Joseph Warton, and another by William Collins.[10] The event is thus noticed by Gray in a letter to Thomas Wharton: "Have you seen the works of two young authors, a Mr. Warton and a Mr. Collins, both writers of odes? It is odd enough, but each is the half of a considerable man, and one the counterpart of the other. The first has but little invention, very poetical choice of expression and a good ear. The second, a fine fancy, modeled upon the antique, a bad ear, a great variety of words and images with no choice at all. They both deserve to last some years, but will not." Gray's critical acuteness is not altogether at fault in this judgment, but half of his prophecy has failed, and his mention of Collins is singularly inappreciative. The names of Collins and Gray are now closely associated in literary history, but in life the two men were in no way connected. Collins and the Wartons, on the other hand, were personal friends. Joseph Warton and Collins had been schoolfellows at Winchester, and it was at first intended that their odes, which were issued in the same month (December), should be published in a volume together. Warton's collection was immediately successful; but Collins' was a failure, and the author, in his disappointment, burned the unsold copies.

The odes of Warton which most nearly resemble Milton are "To Fancy," "To Solitude," and "To the Nightingale," all in the eight-syllabled couplet. A single passage will serve as a specimen of their quality:

"Me, Goddess, by the right hand lead  
Sometimes through the yellow mead,  
Where Joy and white-robed Peace resort  
And Venus keeps her festive court:  
Where Mirth and Youth each evening meet,  
And lightly trip with nimble feet,  
Nodding their lily-crowned heads;  
Where Laughter rose-lip'd Hebe leads," *etc.*[11]

Collins' "Ode to Simplicity" is in the stanza of the "Nativity Ode," and his beautiful "Ode to Evening," in the unrhymed Sapphics which Milton had employed in his translation of Horace's "Ode to Pyrrha." There are Miltonic reminiscences like "folding-star," "religious gleams," "play with the tangles of her hair," and in the closing couplet of the "Ode to Fear,"

"His cypress wreath my meed decree,  
And I, O Fear, will dwell with thee."

But, in general, Collins is much less slavish than Warton in his imitation.

Joseph Warton's younger brother, Thomas, wrote in 1745, and published in 1747, "The Pleasures of Melancholy," a blank-verse poem of three hundred and fifteen lines, made up, in nearly equal parts, of Milton and Akenside, with frequent touches of Thomson,

Spenser, and Pope's "Epistle of Eloisa to Abelard." Warton was a lad of seventeen when his poem was written: it was published anonymously and was by some attributed to Akenside, whose "Pleasures of Imagination" (1744) had, of course, suggested the title. A single extract will suffice to show how well the young poet knew his Milton:

## Page 88

“O lead me, queen sublime, to solemn glooms Congenial with my soul; to cheerless shades, To ruined seats, to twilight cells and bowers, Where thoughtful Melancholy loves to muse, Her favorite midnight haunts. . . Beneath yon ruined abbey’s moss-grown piles Oft let me sit, at twilight hour of eve, When through some western window the pale moon *Pours her long-levelled rule streaming light*: While sullen sacred silence reigns around, Save the lone screech-owl’s note, who build his bower Amid the moldering caverns dark and damp;[12] Or the calm breeze, that rustles in the leaves Of flaunting ivy, that with mantle green Invests some wasted tower. . . Then when the sullen shades of evening close Where *through the room* a blindly-glimmering gloom The *dying embers* scatter, far remote From Mirth’s mad shouts, that through the illumined roof Resound with festive echo, let me sit Blessed with the lowly cricket’s drowsy dirge. . . This sober hour of silence will unmask False Folly’s smile, that like the dazzling spells Of wily Comus cheat the unweeeting eye With *blear illusion*, and persuade to drink That charmed cup which *Reason’s mintage fair*

\_ Unmoulds\_, and stamps the monster on the man.”

I italicize the most direct borrowings, but both the Wartons had so saturated themselves with Milton’s language, verse, and imagery that they ooze out of them at every pore. Thomas Warton’s poems, issued separately from time to time, were first published collectively in 1777. They are all imitative, and most of them imitative of Milton. His two best odes, “On the First of April” and “On the Approach of Summer,” are in the familiar octosyllabics.

“Haste thee, Nymph! and hand in hand,  
With thee lead a buxom band;  
Bring fantastic-footed joy,  
With Sport, that yellow-tressed boy,” etc.[13]

In Gray and Collins, though one can hardly read a page without being reminded of Milton, it is commonly in subtler ways than this. Gray, for example, has been careful to point out in his notes his verbal obligations to Milton, as well as to Shakspeare, Cowley, Dryden, Pindar, Vergil, Dante, and others; but what he could not well point out, because it was probably unconscious, was the impulse which Milton frequently gave to the whole exercise of his imagination. It is not often that Gray treads so closely in Milton’s footsteps as he does in the latest of his poems, the ode written for music, and performed at Cambridge in 1769 on the installation of the Duke of Grafton as Chancellor; in which Milton is made to sing a stanza in the meter of the “Nativity Ode”;

“Ye brown o’er-arching groves  
That Contemplation loves,  
Where willowy Camus lingers with delight;  
Oft at the blush of dawn  
I trod your level lawn,

Oft wooed the gleam of Cynthia, silver bright,  
In cloisters dim, far from the haunts of Folly,  
With Freedom by my side, and soft-eyed Melancholy.”

## Page 89

Not only the poets who have been named, but many obscure versifiers are witnesses to this Miltonic revival. It is usually, indeed, the minor poetry of an age which keeps most distinctly the “cicatrice and capable impressure” of a passing literary fashion. If we look through Dodsley’s collection,[14] we find a *melange* of satires in the manner of Pope, humorous fables in the manner of Prior, didactic blank-verse pieces after the fashion of Thomson and Akenside, elegiac quatrains on the model of Shenstone and Gray, Pindaric odes *ad nauseam*, with imitations of Spenser and Milton.[15]

To the increasing popularity of Milton’s minor poetry is due the revival of the sonnet. Gray’s solitary sonnet, on the death of his friend Richard West, was composed in 1742 but not printed till 1775, after the author’s death. This was the sonnet selected by Wordsworth, to illustrate his strictures on the spurious poetic diction of the eighteenth century, in the appendix to the preface to the second edition of “Lyrical Ballads.” The style is noble, though somewhat artificial: the order of the rhymes conforms neither to the Shaksperian nor the Miltonic model. Mason wrote fourteen sonnets at various times between 1748 and 1797; the earlier date is attached, in his collected works, to “Sonnet I. Sent to a Young Lady with Dodsley’s Miscellanies.” They are of the strict Italian or Miltonic form, and abound in Miltonic allusions and wordings. All but four of Thomas Edwards’ fifty sonnets, 1750-65, are on Milton’s model. Thirteen of them were printed in Dodsley’s second volume. They have little value, nor have those of Benjamin Stillingfleet, some of which appear to have been written before 1750. Of much greater interest are the sonnets of Thomas Warton, nine in number and all Miltonic in form. Warton’s collected poems were not published till 1777, and his sonnets are undated, but some of them seem to have been written as early as 1750. They are graceful in expression and reflect their author’s antiquarian tastes. They were praised by Hazlitt, Coleridge, and Lamb; and one of them, “To the River Lodon,” has been thought to have suggested Coleridge’s “To the River Otter—”

“Dear native stream, wild streamlet of the west—”

as well, perhaps, more remotely Wordsworth’s series, “On the River Duddon.”

The poem of Milton which made the deepest impression upon the new school of poets was “Il Penseroso.” This little masterpiece, which sums up in imagery of “Attic choice” the pleasures that Burton and Fletcher and many others had found in the indulgence of the atrabilious humor, fell in with a current of tendency. Pope had died in 1744, Swift in 1745, the last important survivors of the Queen Anne wits; and already the reaction against gayety had set in, in the deliberate and exaggerated solemnity which took possession of all departments of verse, and even invaded the theater; where Melpomene gradually crowded

## Page 90

Thalia off the boards, until sentimental comedy—*la comedie larmoyante*—was in turn expelled by the ridicule of Garrick, Goldsmith, and Sheridan. That elegiac mood, that love of retirement and seclusion, which have been remarked in Shenstone, became now the dominant note in English poetry. The imaginative literature of the years 1740-60 was largely the literature of low spirits. The generation was persuaded, with Fletcher, that

“Nothing’s so dainty sweet as lovely melancholy.”

But the muse of their inspiration was not the tragic Titaness of Duerer’s painting:

“The Melencolia that transcends all wit.”[16]

rather the “mild Miltonic maid,” Pensive Meditation.

There were various shades of somberness, from the delicate gray of the Wartons to the funereal sable to Young’s “Night Thoughts” (1742-44) and Blair’s “Grave” (1743). Goss speaks of Young as a “connecting link between this group of poets and their predecessors of the Augustan age.” His poem does, indeed, exhibit much of the wit, rhetorical glitter, and straining after point familiar in Queen Anne verse, in strange combination with a “rich note of romantic despair.”[17] Mr. Perry, too, describes Young’s language as “adorned with much of the crude ore of romanticism. . . . At this period the properties of the poet were but few: the tomb, an occasional raven or screech-owl, and the pale moon, with skeletons and grinning ghosts. . . . One thing that the poets were never tired of, was the tomb. . . . It was the dramatic—can one say the melodramatic?—view of the grave, as an inspirer of pleasing gloom, that was preparing readers for the romantic outbreak.”[18]

It was, of course, in Gray’s “Elegy Written in a Country Churchyard” (1751), that this elegiac feeling found its most perfect expression. Collins, too has “more hearse-like airs than carols,” and two of his most heartfelt lyrics are the “Dirge in Cymbeline” and the “Ode on the Death of Mr. Thomson.” And the Wartons were perpetually recommending such themes, both by precept and example.[19] Blair and Young, however, are scarcely to be reckoned among the romanticists. They were heavy didactic-moral poets, for the most part, though they touched the string which, in the Gothic imagination, vibrates with a musical shiver to the thought of death. There is something that accords with the spirit of Gothic ecclesiastical architecture, with Gray’s “ivy-mantled tower”—his “long-drawn aisle and fretted vault”—in the paraphernalia of the tomb which they accumulate so laboriously; the cypress and the yew, the owl and the midnight bell, the dust of the charnel-house, the nettles that fringe the grave-stones, the dim sepulchral lamp and gliding specters.

"The wind is up. Hark! how it howls! Methinks  
Till now I never heard a sound so dreary,  
Doors creak and windows clap, and night's foul bird,  
Rocked in the spire, screams loud: the gloomy aisles,  
Black-plastered and hung 'round with shreds of scutcheons  
And tattered coats-of-arms, send back the sound,  
Laden with heavier airs, from the low vaults,  
The mansions of the dead." [20]

## Page 91

Blair's mortuary verse has a certain impressiveness, in its gloomy monotony, not unlike that of Quarles' "Divine Emblems." Like the "Emblems," too, "The Grave," has been kept from oblivion by the art of the illustrator, the well-known series of engravings by Schiavonetti from designs by Wm. Blake.

But the thoughtful scholarly fancy of the more purely romantic poets haunted the dusk rather than the ebon blackness of midnight, and listened more to the nightingale than to the screech-owl. They were quietists, and their imagery was crepuscular. They loved the twilight, with its beetle and bat, solitude, shade, the "darkening vale," the mossy hermitage, the ruined abbey moldering in its moonlit glade, grotts, caverns, brooksides, ivied nooks, firelight rooms, the curfew bell and the sigh of the Aeolian harp.[21] All this is exquisitely put in Collins' "Ode to Evening." Joseph Warton also wrote an "Ode to Evening," as well as one "To the Nightingale." Both Wartons wrote odes "To Solitude." Dodsley's "Miscellanies" are full of odes to Evening, Solitude, Silence, Retirement, Contentment, Fancy, Melancholy, Innocence, Simplicity, Sleep; of Pleasures of Contemplation (Miss Whately, Vol. IX. p. 120) Triumphs of Melancholy (James Beattie, Vol. X. p. 77), and similar matter. Collins introduced a personified figure of Melancholy in his ode, "The Passions."

"With eyes upraised, as one inspired,  
Pale Melancholy sat retired;  
And from her wild, sequestered seat,  
In notes by distance made more sweet,  
Poured through the mellow horn her pensive soul;  
And dashing soft from rocks around,  
Bubbling runnels joined the sound;  
Through glades and glooms the mingled measure stole,  
Or o'er some haunted stream, with fond delay,  
Round a holy calm diffusing  
Love of peace and lonely musing,  
In hollow murmurs died away."

Collins was himself afflicted with a melancholia which finally developed into madness. Gray, a shy, fastidious scholar, suffered from inherited gout and a lasting depression of spirits. He passed his life as a college recluse in the cloistered retirement of Cambridge, residing at one time in Pembroke, and at another in Peterhouse College. He held the chair of modern history in the university, but never gave a lecture. He declined the laureateship after Cibber's death. He had great learning, and a taste most delicately correct; but the sources of creative impulse dried up in him more and more under the desiccating air of academic study and the increasing hold upon him of his constitutional malady. "Melancholy marked him for her own." There is a significant passage in one of his early letters to Horace. Walpole (1737): "I have, at the distance of half a mile, through a green lane, a forest (vulgar call it a common) all my own, at



least as good as so, for I spy no human thing in it but myself. It is a little chaos of mountains

## Page 92

and precipices. . . Both vale and hill are covered with most venerable beeches and other very reverend vegetables that, like most other ancient people, are always dreaming out their old stories to the winds. . . At the foot of one of these, squats ME, I, (il penseroso) and there grow to the trunk for a whole morning." [22] To Richard West he wrote, in the same year, "Low spirits are my true and faithful companions"; and, in 1742, "Mine is a white Melancholy, or rather Leucocholy, for the most part . . . but there is another sort, black indeed, which I have now and then felt."

When Gray sees the Eton schoolboys at their sports, he is sadly reminded:

"—how all around them wait  
The ministers of human fate  
And black Misfortune's baleful train." [23]

"Wisdom in sable garb," and "Melancholy, silent maid" attend the footsteps of Adversity; [24] and to Contemplation's sober eye, the race of man resembles the insect race:

"Brushed by the hand of rough mischance,  
Or chilled by age, their airy dance  
They leave, in dust to rest." [25]

Will it be thought too trifling an observation that the poets of this group were mostly bachelors and *quo ad hoc*, solitaires? Thomson, Akenside, Shenstone, Collins, Gray, and Thomas Warton never married. Dyer, Mason, and Joseph Warton, were beneficed clergymen, and took unto themselves wives. The Wartons, to be sure, were men of cheerful and even convivial habits. The melancholy which these good fellows affected was manifestly a mere literary fashion. They were sad "only for wantonness," like the young gentlemen in France. "And so you have a garden of your own," wrote Gray to his young friend Nicholls, in 1769, "and you plant and transplant, and are dirty and amused; are you not ashamed of yourself? Why, I have no such thing, you monster; nor ever shall be either dirty or amused as long as I live." Gray never was; but the Wartons were easily amused, and Thomas, by all accounts, not unfrequently dirty, or at least slovenly in his dress, and careless and unpolished in his manners, and rather inclined to broad humor and low society.

Romantically speaking, the work of these Miltonic lyrists marks an advance upon that of the descriptive and elegiac poets, Thomson, Akenside, Dyer, and Shenstone. Collins is among the choicest of English lyrical poets. There is a flute-like music in his best odes—such as the one "To Evening," and the one written in 1746—"How sleep the brave," which are sweeter, more natural, and more spontaneous than Gray's. "The Muse gave birth to Collins," says Swinburne; "she did but give suck to Gray." Collins "was a solitary song-bird among many more or less excellent pipers and pianists. He could put more

spirit of color into a single stroke, more breath of music into a single note, than could all the rest of the generation into all the labors of their lives." [26] Collins, like Gray, was a Greek

## Page 93

scholar, and had projected a history of the revival letters. There is a classical quality in his verse—not classical in the eighteenth-century sense—but truly Hellenic; a union, as in Keats, of Attic form with romantic sensibility; though in Collins, more than in Keats, the warmth seems to come from without; the statue of a nymph flushed with sunrise. “Collins,” says Gosse, “has the touch of a sculptor; his verse is clearly cut and direct: it is marble pure, but also marble cold.”[27] Lowell, however, thinks that Collins “was the first to bring back into poetry something of the antique flavor, and found again the long-lost secret of being classically elegant without being pedantically cold.”[28]

These estimates are given for what they are worth. The coldness which is felt—or fancied—in some of Collins’ poetry comes partly from the abstractness of his subjects and the artificial style which he inherited, in common with all his generation. Many of his odes are addressed to Fear, Pity, Mercy, Liberty, and similar abstractions. The pseudo-Pindaric ode, is, in itself, an exotic; and, as an art form, is responsible for some of the most tumid compositions in the history of English verse. Collins’ most current ode, though by no means his best one, “The Passions,” abounds in those personifications which, as has been said, constituted, in eighteenth century poetry, a sort of feeble mythology: “wan Despair,” “dejected Pity,” “brown Exercise,” and “Music sphere-descended maid.” It was probably the allegorical figures in Milton’s “L’Allegro” and “Il Penseroso,” “Sport that wrinkled care derides,” “spare Fast that oft with gods doth diet,” *etc.*, that gave a new lease of life to this obsolescent machinery which the romanticists ought to have abandoned to the Augustan schools.

The most interesting of Collins’ poems, from the point of view of these inquiries, is his “Ode on the Popular Superstitions of the Highlands of Scotland.” This was written in 1749, but as it remained in manuscript till 1788, it was of course without influence on the minds of its author’s contemporaries. It had been left unfinished, and some of the printed editions contained interpolated stanzas which have since been weeded away. Inscribed to Mr. John Home, the author of “Douglas,” its purpose was to recommend to him the Scottish fairy lore as a fit subject for poetry. Collins justifies the selection of such “false themes” by the example of Spenser, of Shakspeare, (in “Macbeth”), and of Tasso

“—whose undoubting mind  
Believed the magic wonders which he sung.”

He mentions, as instances of popular beliefs that have poetic capabilities, the kelpie, the will-o’-the-wisp, and second sight. He alludes to the ballad of “Willie Drowned in Yarrow,” and doubtless with a line of “The Seasons” running in his head,[29] conjures Home to “forget not Kilda’s race,” who live on the eggs of the solan goose, whose only prospect is the wintry main, and among whose cliffs the bee is never heard to murmur.

Perhaps the most imaginative stanza is the ninth, referring to the Hebrides, the chapel of St. Flannan and the graves of the Scottish, Irish, and Norwegian kings in Icolmkill:

## Page 94

“Unbounded is thy range; with varied skill  
Thy muse may, like those feathery tribes which spring  
From their rude rocks, extend her skirting wing,  
Round the moist marge of each cold Hebrid isle,  
To that hoar pile which still its ruins shows;  
In whose small vaults a pygmy folk is found,  
Whose bones the delver with his spade upthrows,  
And culls them, wondering, from the hallowed ground;  
Or thither, where, beneath the showery west,  
The mighty kings of three fair realms are laid;  
Once foes, perhaps, together now they rest,  
No slaves revere them and no wars invade.  
Yet frequent now at midnight’s solemn hour,  
The rifted mounds their yawning cells unfold,  
And forth the monarchs stalk with sovereign power,  
In pageant robes, and wreathed with sheeny gold,  
And on their twilight tombs aerial council hold.”

Collins’ work was all done by 1749; for though he survived ten years longer, his mind was in eclipse. He was a lover and student of Shakspeare, and when the Wartons paid him a last visit at the time of his residence with his sister in the cloisters of Chichester Cathedral, he told Thomas that he had discovered the source of the “Tempest,” in a novel called “Aurelio and Isabella,” printed in 1588 in Spanish, Italian, French, and English. No such novel has been found, and it was seemingly a figment of Collins’ disordered fancy. During a lucid interval in the course of this visit, he read to the Wartons, from the manuscript, his “Ode on the Superstitions of the Scottish Highlands”; and also a poem which is lost, entitled, “The Bell of Arragon,” founded on the legend of the great bell of Saragossa that tolled of its own accord whenever a king of Spain was dying.

Johnson was also a friend of Collins, and spoke of him kindly in his “Lives of the Poets,” though he valued his writings little. “He had employed his mind chiefly upon works of fiction and subjects of fancy; and by indulging some peculiar habits of thought, was eminently delighted with those flights of imagination which pass the bounds of nature, and to which the mind is reconciled only by a passive acquiescence in popular traditions. He loved fairies, genii, giants, and monsters; he delighted to rove through the meanders of enchantment, to gaze on the magnificence of golden palaces, to repose by the water-falls of Elysian gardens. This was, however, the character rather of his inclination than his genius; the grandeur of wildness and the novelty of extravagance were always desired by him, but were not always attained.”[30]

## Page 95

Thomas Gray is a much more important figure than Collins in the intellectual history of his generations; but this superior importance does not rest entirely upon his verse, which is hardly more abundant than Collins', though of a higher finish. His letters, journals, and other prose remains, posthumously published, first showed how long an arc his mind had subtended on the circle of art and thought. He was sensitive to all fine influences that were in the literary air. One of the greatest scholars among English poets, his taste was equal to his acquisitions. He was a sound critic of poetry, music, architecture, and painting. His mind and character both had distinction; and if there was something a trifle finical and old-maidish about his personality—which led the young Cantabs on one occasion to take a rather brutal advantage of his nervous dread of fire—there was also that nice reserve which gave to Milton, when *he* was at Cambridge, the nickname of the “lady of Christ’s.”

A few of Gray’s simpler odes, the “Ode on the Spring,” the “Hymn to Adversity” and the Eton College ode, were written in 1742 and printed in Dodsley’s collection in 1748. The “Elegy” was published in 1751; the two “sister odes,” “The Progress of Poesy” and “The Bard,” were struck off from Horace Walpole’s private press at Strawberry Hill in 1757. Gray’s popular fame rests, and will always rest, upon his immortal “Elegy.” He himself denied somewhat impatiently that it was his best poem, and thought that its popularity was owing to its subject. There are not wanting critics of authority, such as Lowell and Matthew Arnold, who have pronounced Gray’s odes higher poetry than his “Elegy.” “‘The Progress of Poesy,’” says Lowell, “overflies all other English lyrics like an eagle. . . . It was the prevailing blast of Gray’s trumpet that, more than anything else, called men back to the legitimate standard.”[31] With all deference to such distinguished judges, I venture to think that the popular instinct on this point is right, and even that Dr. Johnson is not so wrong as usual. Johnson disliked Gray and spoke of him with surly injustice. Gray, in turn, could not abide Johnson, whom he called *Ursa major*. Johnson said that Gray’s odes were forced plants, raised in a hot-house, and poor plants at that. “Sir, I do not think Gray a first-rate poet. He has not a bold imagination, nor much command of words. The obscurity in which he has involved himself will not persuade us that he is sublime. His ‘Elegy in a Churchyard’ has a happy selection of images, but I don’t like what are called his great things.” “He attacked Gray, calling him a ‘dull fellow.’ Boswell: ‘I understand he was reserved, and might appear dull in company; but surely he was not dull in poetry.’ Johnson: ‘Sir, he was dull in company, dull in his closet, dull everywhere. He was dull in a new way and that made many people think him GREAT. He was a mechanical poet.’ He then repeated some ludicrous lines, which have escaped my memory, and said, ‘Is not that GREAT, like his odes?’ . . . ‘No, sir, there are but two good stanzas in Gray’s poetry, which are in his “Elegy in a Country Churchyard.” He then repeated the stanza—

## Page 96

“For who, to dumb forgetfulness a prey,” etc.

“In all Gray’s odes,” wrote Johnson, “there is a kind of cumbrous splendor which we wish away. . . These odes are marked by glittering accumulations of ungraceful ornaments; they strike rather than please; the images are magnified by affectation; the language is labored into harshness. The mind of the writer seems to work with unnatural violence. . . His art and his struggle are too visible and there is too little appearance of ease and nature. . . In the character of his ‘Elegy,’ I rejoice to concur with the common reader; for by the common sense of readers uncorrupted with literary prejudices, after all the refinements of subtlety and the dogmatism of learning, must be finally decided all claims to poetical honors. The ‘Churchyard’ abounds with images which find a mirror in every mind, and with sentiments to which every bosom returns an echo.”

There are noble lines in Gray’s more elaborate odes, but they do make as a whole that mechanical, artificial impression of which Johnson complains. They have the same rhetorical ring, the worked-up fervor in place of genuine passion, which was noted in Collins’ ode “On the Passions.” Collins and Gray were perpetually writing about the passions; but they treated them as abstractions and were quite incapable of exhibiting them in action. Neither of them could have written a ballad, a play, or a romance. Their odes were bookish, literary, impersonal, retrospective. They had too much of the ichor of fancy and too little red blood in them.

But the “Elegy” is the masterpiece of this whole “Il Penseroso” school, and has summed up for all English readers, for all time, the poetry of the tomb. Like the “Essay on Man,” and “Night Thoughts” and “The Grave,” it is a poem of the moral-didactic order, but very different in result from these. Its moral is suffused with emotion and expressed concretely. Instead of general reflections upon the shortness of life, the vanity of ambition, the leveling power of death, and similar commonplaces, we have the picture of the solitary poet, lingering among the graves at twilight (*hora datur quieti*), till the place and the hour conspire to work their effect upon the mind and prepare it for the strain of meditation that follows. The universal appeal of its subject and the perfection of its style have made the “Elegy” known by heart to more readers than any other poem in the language. Parody is one proof of celebrity, if not of popularity, and the “sister odes” were presently parodied by Lloyd and Colman in an “Ode to Obscurity” and an “Ode to Oblivion.” But the “Elegy” was more than celebrated and more than popular; it was the most admired and influential poem of the generation. The imitations and translations of it are innumerable, and it met with a response as immediate as it was general.[32] One effect of this was to consecrate the ten-syllabled quatrain to elegiac uses. Mason



## Page 97

altered the sub-title of his "Isis" (written in 1748) from "An Elegy" to "A Monologue," because it was "not written in alternate rimes, which since Mr. Gray's exquisite 'Elegy in the Country Church-yard' has generally obtained, and seems to be more suited to that species of poem." [33] Mason's "Elegy written in a Church-yard in South Wales" (1787) is, of course, in Gray's stanza and, equally of course, introduces a tribute to the master:

"Yes, had he paced this church-way path along,  
Or leaned like me against this ivied wall,  
How sadly sweet had flowed his Dorian song,  
Then sweetest when it flowed at Nature's call." [34]

It became almost *de rigueur* for a young poet to try his hand at a churchyard piece. Thus Richard Cumberland, the dramatist, in his "Memoirs," records the fact that when he was an undergraduate at Cambridge in 1752 he made his "first small offering to the press, following the steps of Gray with another church-yard elegy, written on St. Mark's Eve, when, according to rural tradition, the ghost of those who are to die within the year ensuing are seen to walk at midnight across the churchyard." [35] Goldsmith testifies to the prevalence of the fashion when, in his "Life of Parnell," he says of that poet's "Night Piece on Death" [36] that, "with very little amendment," it "might be made to surpass all those night-pieces and church-yard scenes that have since appeared." But in this opinion Johnson, who says that Parnell's poem "is indirectly preferred by Goldsmith to Gray's 'Churchyard,'" does not agree; nor did the public. [37]

Gray's correspondence affords a record of the progress of romantic taste for an entire generation. He set out with classical prepossessions—forming his verse, as he declared, after Dryden—and ended with translations from Welsh and Norse hero-legends, and with an admiration for Ossian and Scotch ballads. In 1739 he went to France and Italy with Horace Walpole. He was abroad three years, though in 1741 he quarreled with Walpole at Florence, separated from him and made his way home alone in a leisurely manner. Gray is one of the first of modern travelers to speak appreciatively of Gothic architecture, and of the scenery of the Alps, and to note those strange and characteristic aspects of foreign life which we now call picturesque, and to which every itinerary and guidebook draws attention. Addison, who was on his travels forty years before, was quite blind to such matters. Not that he was without the feeling of the sublime: he finds, *e.g.*, an "agreeable horror" in the prospect of a storm at sea. [38] But he wrote of his passage through Switzerland as a disagreeable and even frightful experience; "a very troublesome journey over the Alps. My head is still giddy with mountains and precipices; and you can't imagine how much I am pleased with the sight of a plain."

## Page 98

"Let any one reflect," says the *Spectator*,[39] "on the disposition of mind he finds in himself at his first entrance into the Pantheon at Rome, and how his imagination is filled with something great and amazing; and, at the same time, consider how little, in proportion, he is affected with the inside of a Gothic cathedral, though it be five times larger than the other; which can arise from nothing else but the greatness of the manner in the one, the meanness in the other." [40]

Gray describes the cathedral at Rheims as "a vast Gothic building of a surprising beauty and lightness, all covered over with a profusion of little statues and other ornaments"; and the cathedral at Siena, which Addison had characterized as "barbarous," and as an instance of "false beauties and affected ornaments," Gray commends as "labored with a Gothic niceness and delicacy in the old-fashioned way." It must be acknowledged that these are rather cold praises, but Gray was continually advancing in his knowledge of Gothic and his liking for it. Later in life he became something of an antiquarian and virtuoso. He corresponded with Rev. Thomas Wharton, about stained glass and paper hangings, which Wharton, who was refitting his house in the Gothic taste, had commissioned Gray to buy for him of London dealers. He describes, for Wharton's benefit, Walpole's new bedroom at Strawberry Hill as "in the best taste of anything he has yet done, and in your own Gothic way"; and he advises his correspondent as to the selection of patterns for staircases and arcade work. There was evidently a great stir of curiosity concerning Strawberry Hill in Gray's coterie, and a determination to be Gothic at all hazards; and the poet felt obliged to warn his friends that zeal should not outrun discretion. He writes to Wharton in 1754: "I rejoice to find you at last settled to your heart's content, and delight to hear you talk of giving your house some *Gothic ornaments* already. If you project anything, I hope it will be entirely within doors; and don't let me (when I come gaping into Coleman Street) be directed to the gentlemen at the ten pinnacles, or with the church porch at his door." Again, to the same (1761): "It is mere pedantry in Gothicism to stick to nothing but altars and tombs, and there is no end to it, if we are to sit upon nothing but coronation chairs, nor drink out of nothing but chalices or flagons." Writing to Mason in 1758 about certain incongruities in one of the latter's odes, he gives the following *Doresque* illustration of his point. "If you should lead me into a superb Gothic building, with a thousand clustered pillars, each of them half a mile high, the walls all covered with fret-work, and the windows full of red and blue saints that had neither head nor tail, and I should find the Venus de Medici in person perked up in a long niche over the high altar, as naked as she was born, do you think it would raise or damp my devotions?" [41] He made it a favorite occupation to visit and take drawings from celebrated ruins and the great English cathedrals, particularly those in the Cambridge fens, Ely and Peterboro'. These studies he utilized in a short essay on Norman architecture, first published by Mitford in 1814, and incorrectly entitled "*Architectura Gothica*."

## Page 99

Reverting to his early letters from abroad one is struck by the anticipation of the modern attitude, in his description of a visit to the Grande Chartreuse, which he calls "one of the most solemn, the most romantic, and the most astonishing scenes." [42] "I do not remember to have gone ten paces without an exclamation that there was no restraining. Not a precipice, not a torrent, not a cliff, but is pregnant with religion and poetry. . . One need not have a very fantastic imagination to see spirits there at noonday." [43] Walpole's letter of about the same date, also to West, [44] is equally ecstatic. It is written "from a hamlet among the mountains of Savoy. . . Here we are, the lonely lords of glorious desolate prospects. . . But the road, West, the road! Winding round a prodigious mountain, surrounded with others, all shagged with hanging woods, obscured with pines, or lost in clouds! Below a torrent breaking through cliffs, and tumbling through fragments of rocks!. . . Now and then an old foot bridge, with a broken rail, a leaning cross, a cottage or the ruin of an hermitage! This sounds too bombast and too romantic to one that has not seen it, too cold for one that has." Or contrast with Addison's Italian letters passages like these, which foretold Rogers and Byron. We get nothing so sympathetic till at least a half century later. "It is the most beautiful of Italian nights. . . There is a moon! There are stars for you! Do not you hear the fountain? Do not you smell the orange flowers? That building yonder is the convent of St. Isidore; and that eminence with the cypress-trees and pines upon it, the top of Mt. Quirinal." [45] "The Neapolitans work till evening: then take their lute or guitar and walk about the city, or upon the sea shore with it, to enjoy the *fresco*. One sees their little brown children jumping about stark naked and the bigger ones dancing with castanets, while others play on the cymbal to them." [46] "Kennst dud as Land," then already? The

"small voices and an old guitar,  
Winning their way to an unguarded heart"?

And then, for a prophecy of Scott, read the description of Netley Abbey, [47] in a letter to Nicholls in 1764. "My ferryman," writes Gray in a letter to Brown about the same ruin, "assured me that he would not go near it in the night time for all the world, though he knew much money had been found there. The sun was all too glaring and too full of gauds for such a scene, which ought to be visited only in the dusk of the evening."

"If thou would'st view fair Melrose aright  
Go visit it by the pale moonlight,  
For the gay beams of lightsome day  
Gild, but to flout, the ruins, Gray."

In 1765, Gray visited the Scotch Highlands and sent enthusiastic histories of his trip to Wharton and Mason. "Since I saw the Alps, I have seen nothing sublime till now." "The Lowlands are worth seeing once, but the mountains are ecstatic, and ought to be visited in pilgrimage once a year. None but those monstrous creatures of God know how to

join so much beauty with so much horror. A fig for your poets, painters, gardeners, and clergymen that have not been among them."

## Page 100

Again in 1770, the year before his death, he spent six weeks on a ramble through the western counties, descending the Wye in a boat for forty miles, and visiting among other spots which the muse had then, or has since, made illustrious, Hagley and the Leasowes, the Malvern Hills and Tintern Abby. But the most significant of Gray's "Lilliputian travels," was his tour of the Lake Country in 1769. Here he was on ground that has since become classic; and the lover of Wordsworth encounters with a singular interest, in Gray's "Journal in the Lakes," written nearly thirty years before the "Lyrical Ballads," names like Grasmere, Winander, Skiddaw, Helvellyn, Derwentwater, Borrowdale, and Lodore. What distinguishes the entries in this journal from contemporary writing of the descriptive kind is a certain intimacy of comprehension, a depth of tone which makes them seem like nineteenth-century work. To Gray the landscape was no longer a picture. It had sentiment, character, meaning, almost personality. Different weathers and different hours of the day lent it expressions subtler than the poets had hitherto recognized in the broad, general changes of storm and calm, light and darkness, and the successions of the seasons. He heard Nature when she whispered, as well as when she spoke out loud. Thomson could not have written thus, nor Shenstone, nor even, perhaps, Collins. But almost any man of cultivation and sensibility can write so now; or, if not so well, yet with the same accent. A passage or two will make my meaning clearer.

"To this second turning I pursued my way about four miles along its borders [Ulswater], beyond a village scattered among trees and called Water Mallock, in a pleasant, grave day, perfectly calm and warm, but without a gleam of sunshine. Then, the sky seeming to thicken, the valley to grown more desolate, and evening drawing on, I returned by the way I came to Penrith. . . While I was here, a little shower fell, red clouds came marching up the hills from the east, and part of a bright rainbow seemed to rise along the side of Castle Hill. . . The calmness and brightness of the evening, the roar of the waters, and the thumping of huge hammers at an iron forge not far distant, made it a singular walk. . . In the evening walked alone down to the lake after sunset and saw the solemn coloring of night draw on, the last gleam of sunshine fading away on the hilltops, the deep serene of the waters, and the long shadows of the mountains thrown across them till they nearly touched the hithermost shore. At distance heard the murmur of many waterfalls not audible in the day-time.[48] Wished for the moon, but she was dark to me and silent, hid in her vacant inter-lunar cave." [49]

## Page 101

"It is only within a few years," wrote Joseph Warton in 1782, "that the picturesque scenes of our own country, our lakes, mountains, cascades, caverns, and castles, have been visited and described." [50] It was in this very year that William Gilpin published his "Observations on the River Wye," from notes taken upon a tour in 1770. This was the same year when Gray made his tour of the Wye, and hearing that Gilpin had prepared a description of the region, he borrowed and read his manuscript in June, 1771, a few weeks before his own death. These "Observations" were the first of a series of volumes by Gilpin on the scenery of Great Britain, composed in a poetic and somewhat over-luxuriant style, illustrated by drawings in aquatinta, and all described on the title page as "Relative chiefly to Picturesque Beauty." They had great success, and several of them were translated into German and French. [51]

[1] "An Apology for Smectymnuus."

[2] Lines 162-168. See also "Mansus," 80-84.

[3] "What resounds  
In fable or romance of Uther's son,  
Begirt with British and Armoric knights;  
And all who since, baptized or infidel,  
Jousted in Aspramont, or Montalban,  
Damasco, or Marocco, or Trebisonde,  
Or whom Biserta sent from Afric shore  
When Charlemain with all his peerage fell  
By Fontarabbia."  
—*Book I*, 579-587.

[4] "Faery damsels met in forest wide  
By knights of Logres, or of Lyones,  
Lancelot, or Pelleas, or Pellenore."  
—*Book II*, 359-361.

[5] "Masson's Life of Milton," Vol. VI. P. 789

[6] "Essay on Pope," Vol. I. pp. 36-38 (5th edition). In the dedication to Young, Warton says: "The Epistles (Pope's) on the Characters of Men and Women, and your sprightly Satires, my good friend, are more frequently perused and quoted than 'L'Allegro' and 'Il Penseroso' of Milton."

[7] The Rev. Francis Peck, in his "New Memoirs of the Life and Poetical Works of Mr. John Milton," in 1740, says that these two poems are justly admired by foreigners as well as Englishmen, and have therefore been translated into all the modern languages. This volume contains, among other things, "An Examination of Milton's Style"; "Explanatory and Critical Notes on Divers Passages of Milton and Shakspeare"; "The

Resurrection,” a blank verse imitation of “Lycidas,” “Comus,” “L’Allegro” and “Il Penserosa,” and the “Nativity Ode.” Peck defends Milton’s rhymed poems against Dryden’s strictures. “He was both a perfect master of rime and could also express something by it which nobody else ever thought of.” He compares the verse paragraphs of “Lycidas” to musical bars and pronounces its system of “dispersed rimes” admirable and unique.

[8] “Life of Milton.”

[9] “Il Pacifico: Works of William Mason,” London, 1811, Vol. I. p. 166.

[10] “Odes on Several Descriptive and Allegoric Subjects.”

## Page 102

[11] "To Fancy."

[12] *Cf.* Gray's "Elegy," first printed in 1751:

"Save that, from yonder ivy-mantled tower,  
The moping owl does to the moon complain  
Of such as, wandering near her secret bower,  
Molest her ancient, solitary reign."

[13] "On the Approach of Summer." The "wattled cotes," "sweet-briar hedges," "woodnotes wild," "tanned haycock in the mead," and "valleys where mild whispers use," are transferred bodily into this ode from "L'Allegro."

[14] Three volumes appeared in 1748; a second edition, with Vol. IV. added in 1749, Vols. V. and VI. in 1758. There were new editions in 1765, 1770, 1775, and 1782. Pearch's continuations were published in 1768 (Vols. VII. and VIII.) and 1770 (Vols. IX. and X.); Mendez's independent collection in 1767; and Bell's "Fugitive Poetry," in 18 volumes, in 1790-97.

[15] The reader who may wish to pursue this inquiry farther will find the following list of Miltonic imitations useful: Dodsley's "Miscellany," I. 164, Pre-existence: "A Poem in Imitation of Milton," by Dr. Evans. This is in blank verse, and Gray, in a letter to Walpole, calls it "nonsense." II. 109. "The Institution of the Order of the Garter," by Gilbert West. This is a dramatic poem, with a chorus of British bards, which is several times quoted and commended in Joseph Warton's "Essay on Pope." West's "Monody on the Death of Queen Caroline," is a "Lycidas" imitation. III. 214, "Lament for Melpomene and Calliope," by J. G. Cooper; also a "Lycidas" poem. IV. 50, "Penshurst," by Mr. F. Coventry: a very close imitation of "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso." IV. 181, "Ode to Fancy," by the Rev. Mr. Merrick: octosyllables. IV. 229, "Solitude, an Ode," by Dr. Grainger: octosyllables. V. 283, "Prologue to Comus," performed at Bath, 1756. VI. 148, "Vacation," by——, Esq.: "L'Allegro," very close—

"These delights, Vacation, give,  
And I with thee will choose to live."

IX. (Pearch) 199, "Ode to Health," by J. H. B., Esq.: "L'Allegro." X. 5, "The Valetudinarian," by Dr. Marriott; "L'Allegro," very close. X. 97, "To the Moon," by Robert Lloyd: "Il Penseroso," close. Parody is one of the surest testimonies to the prevalence of a literary fashion, and in Vol X. p 269 of Pearch, occurs a humorous "Ode to Horror," burlesquing "The Enthusiast" and "The Pleasures of Melancholy," "in the allegoric, descriptive, alliterative, epithetical, hyperbolical, and diabolical style of our modern ode wrights and monody-mongers," from which I extract a passage:





“O haste thee, mild Miltonic maid,  
From yonder yew’s sequestered shade. . .  
O thou whom wandering Warton saw,  
Amazed with more than youthful awe,  
As by the pale moon’s glimmering gleam  
He mused his melancholy theme.  
O Curfew-loving goddess, haste!  
O waft me to some Scythian waste,  
Where, in Gothic solitude,  
Mid prospects most sublimely rude,  
Beneath a rough rock’s gloomy chasm,  
Thy sister sits, Enthusiasm.”

## Page 103

"Bell's Fugitive Poetry," Vol. XI, (1791), has a section devoted to "poems in the manner of Milton," by Evans, Mason, T. Warton and a Mr. P. (L'Amoroso).

[16] See James Thomson's "City of Dreadful Night," xxi. Also the frontispiece to Mr. E. Stedman's "Nature of Poetry" (1892) and pp. 140-41 of the same.

[17] "Eighteenth Century Literature," pp. 209, 212.

[18] "English Literature in the Eighteenth Century," pp. 375, 379.

[19] Joseph mentions as one of Spenser's characteristics, "a certain pleasing melancholy in his sentiments, the constant companion of an elegant taste, that casts a delicacy and grace over all his composition," "Essay on Pope," Vol. II. p. 29. In his review of Pope's "Epistle of Eloisa to Abelard," he says: "the effect and influence of Melancholy, who is beautifully personified, on every object that occurs and on every part of the convent, cannot be too much applauded, or too often read, as it is founded on nature and experience. That temper of mind casts a gloom on all things.

"But o'er the twilight grows and dusky caves,' etc."  
—*Ibid*, Vol. I. p. 314.

[20] "The Grave," by Robert Blair.

[21] The aeolian harp was a favorite property of romantic poets for a hundred years. See Mason's "Ode to an Aeolus's Harp" (Works, Vol. I. p. 51). First invented by the Jesuit, Kircher, about 1650, and described in his "Musurgia Universalis," Mason says that it was forgotten for upwards of a century and "accidentally rediscovered" in England by a Mr. Oswald. It is mentioned in "The Castle of Indolence" (i. xl) as a novelty:

"A certain music never known before  
Here lulled the pensive melancholy mind"—

a passage to which Collins alludes in his verses on Thomson's death—

"In yon deep bed of whispering reeds  
His airy harp shall now be laid."

See "The Lay of the Last Minstrel" l. 341-42 (1805)

"Like that wild harp whose magic tone  
Is wakened by the winds alone."

And Arthur Cleveland Coxe's (*Christian Ballads*, 1840)



"It was a wind-harp's magic strong,  
Touched by the breeze in dreamy song,"

And the poetry of the *Annals* *passim*.

[22] *Cf.* the "Elegy":

"There at the foot of yonder nodding beech," *etc.*

[23] "On a Distant Prospect of Eton College."

[24] "Hymn to Adversity"

[25] "Ode on the Spring."

[26] "Ward's English Poets," Vol. III. pp. 278-82.

[27] "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 233.

[28] "Essay on Pope."

[29] See *ante*, p. 114.

[30] "Life of Collins."

[31] Essay on "Pope."

[32] Mr. Perry enumerates, among English imitators, Falconer, T. Warton, James Graeme, Wm. Whitehead, John Scott, Henry Headly, John Henry Moore, and Robert Lovell, "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 391. Among foreign imitations Lamartine's "Le Lac" is perhaps the most famous.



## Page 104

[33] "Mason's Works," Vol. I. p. 179.

[34] *Ibid.*, Vol. I. p. 114.

[35] *Cf.* Keats' unfinished poem, "The Eve of St. Mark,"

[36] Parnell's collected poems were published in 1722.

[37] Not the least interesting among the progeny of Gray's "Elegy" was "The Indian Burying Ground" of the American poet, Philip Freneau (1752-1832). Gray's touch is seen elsewhere in Freneau, *e.g.*, in "The Deserted Farm-house."

"Once in the bounds of this sequestered room  
Perhaps some swain nocturnal courtship made:  
Perhaps some Sherlock mused amid the gloom,  
Since Love and Death forever seek the shade."

[38] *Spectator*, No. 489.

[39] No. 415.

[40] John Hill Burton, in his "Reign of Queen Anne" give a passage from a letter of one Captain Burt, superintendent of certain road-making operations in the Scotch Highlands, by way of showing how very modern a person Carlyle's picturesque tourist is. The captain describes the romantic scenery of the glens as "horrid prospects." It was considerably later in the century that Dr. Johnson said, in answer to Boswell's timid suggestion that Scotland had a great many noble wild prospects, "I believe, sir, you have a great many, Norway, too, has noble wild prospects, and Lapland is remarkable for prodigious noble wild prospects. But, sir, let me tell you, the noblest prospect which a Scotchman ever sees is the high-road that leads him to England."

[41] See also Gray's letter to Rev. James Brown (1763) inclosing a drawing, in reference to a small ruined chapel at York Minster; and a letter (about 1765) to Jas. Bentham, Prebendary of Ely whose "Essay on Gothic Architecture" has been wrongly attributed to Gray.

[42] To Mrs. Dorothy Gray, 1739.

[43] To Richard West, 1739.

[44] Gray, Walpole, and West had been schoolfellows and intimates at Eton.

[45] To West, 1740.

[46] To Mrs. Dorothy Gray, 1740.

[47] "Pearch's Collection" (VII. 138) gives an elegiac quatrain poem on "The Ruins of Netley Abbey," by a poet with the suggestive name of George Keate; and "The Alps," in heavy Thomsonian blank verse (VII. 107) by the same hand.

[48] "A soft and lulling sound is heard  
Of streams inaudible by day."  
*The White Doe of Rylstone, Wordsworth.*

[49] "Samson Agonistes."

[50] "Essay on Pope" (5th ed.), Vol. II. p. 180.

[51] These were, in order of publication: "The Mountains and Lakes of Cumberland and Westmoreland" (2 vols.), 1789; "The Highlands of Scotland," 1789; "Remarks on Forest Scenery," 1791; "The Western Parts of England and the Isle of Wight," 1798; "The Coasts of Hampshire," etc., 1804; "Cambridge, Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex," etc., 1809. The last two were posthumously published. Gilpin, who was a prebendary of Salisbury, died in 1804. Pearch's "Collection" (VII. 23) has "A Descriptive Poem," on the Lake Country, in octosyllabic couplets, introducing Keswick, Borrowdale, Dovedale, Lodore, Derwentwater, and other familiar localities.

## Page 105

### CHAPTER VI.

#### The School of Warton

In the progress of our inquiries, hitherto, we have met with little that can be called romantic in the narrowest sense. Though the literary movement had already begun to take a retrospective turn, few distinctly mediaeval elements were yet in evidence. Neither the literature of the monk nor the literature of the knight had suffered resurrection. It was not until about 1760 that writers began to gravitate decidedly toward the Middle Ages. The first peculiarly mediaeval type that contrived to secure a foothold in eighteenth-century literature was the hermit, a figure which seems to have had a natural attraction, not only for romanticizing poets like Shenstone and Collins, but for the whole generation of verse writers from Parnell to Goldsmith, Percy and Beattie—each of whom composed a “Hermit”—and even for the authors of “Rasselas” and “Tom Jones,” in whose fictions he becomes a stock character, as a fountain of wisdom and of moral precepts.[1]

A literary movement which reverts to the past for its inspiration is necessarily also a learned movement. Antiquarian scholarship must lead the way. The picture of an extinct society has to be pieced together from the fragments at hand, and this involves special research. So long as this special knowledge remains the exclusive possession of professional antiquaries like Gough, Hearne, Benthams, Perry, Grose,[2] it bears no fruit in creative literature. It produces only local histories, surveys of cathedrals and of sepulchral monuments, books about Druidic remains, Roman walls and coins, *etc.*, *etc.* It was only when men of imagination and of elegant tastes were enlisted in such pursuits that the dry stick of antiquarianism put forth blossoms. The poets, of course, had to make studies of their own, to decipher manuscripts, learn Old English, visit ruins, collect ballads and ancient armor, familiarize themselves with terms of heraldry, architecture, chivalry, ecclesiology and feudal law, and in other such ways inform and stimulate their imaginations. It was many years before the joint labors of scholars and poets had reconstructed an image of medieval society, sharp enough in outline and brilliant enough in color to impress itself upon the general public. Scott, indeed, was the first to popularize romance; mainly, no doubt, because of the greater power and fervor of his imagination; but also, in part, because an ampler store of materials had been already accumulated when he began work. He had fed on Percy’s “Reliques” in boyhood; through Coleridge, his verse derives from Chatterton; and the line of Gothic romances which starts with “The Castle of Otranto” is remotely responsible for “Ivanhoe” and “The Talisman.” But Scott too was, like Percy and Walpole, a virtuoso and collector; and the vast apparatus of notes and introductory matter in his metrical tales, and in the Waverley novels, shows how necessary it was for the romantic poet to be his own antiquary.

## Page 106

As was to be expected, the zeal of the first romanticists was not always a zeal according to knowledge, and the picture of the Middle Age which they painted was more of a caricature than a portrait. A large share of medieval literature was inaccessible to the general reader. Much of it was still in manuscript. Much more of it was in old and rare printed copies, broadsides and black-letter folios, the treasure of great libraries and of jealously hoarded private collections. Much was in dialects little understood-forgotten forms of speech-Old French, Middle High German, Old Norse, medieval Latin, the ancient Erse and Cymric tongues, Anglo-Saxon. There was an almost total lack of apparatus for the study of this literature. Helps were needed in the shape of modern reprints of scarce texts, bibliographies, critical editions, translations, literary histories and manuals, glossaries of archaic words, dictionaries and grammars of obsolete languages. These were gradually supplied by working specialists in different fields of investigation. Every side of medieval life has received illustration in its turn. Works like Tyrwhitt's edition of Chaucer (1775-78); the collections of mediaeval romances by Ellis (1805), Ritson (1802), and Weber (1810); Nares' and Halliwell's "Archaic Glossary" (1822-46), Carter's "Specimens of Ancient Sculpture and Paintings" (1780-94), Scott's "Demonology and Witchcraft" (1830), Hallam's "Middle Ages" (1818), Meyrick's "Ancient Armour" (1824), Lady Guest's "Mabinogion" (1838), the publications of numberless individual scholars and of learned societies like the Camden, the Spenser, the Percy, the Chaucer, the Early English Text, the Roxburgh Club,—to mention only English examples, taken at random and separated from each other by wide intervals of time,—are instances of the labors by which mediaeval life has been made familiar to all who might choose to make acquaintance with it.

The history of romanticism, after the impulse had once been given, is little else than a record or the steps by which, one after another, new features of that vast and complicated scheme of things which we loosely call the Middle Ages were brought to light and made available as literary material. The picture was constantly having fresh details added to it, nor is there any reason to believe that it is finished yet. Some of the finest pieces of mediaeval work have only within the last few years been brought to the attention of the general reader; e.g., the charming old French story in prose and verse, "Aucassin et Nicolette," and the fourteenth-century English poem, "The Perle." The future holds still other phases of romanticism in reserve; the Middle Age seems likely to be as inexhaustible in novel sources of inspiration as classical antiquity has already proved to be. The past belongs to the poet no less than the present, and a great part of the literature of every generation will always be retrospective. The tastes and preferences of the individual artist will continue to find a wide field for selection in the rich quarry of Christian and feudal Europe.

## Page 107

It is not a little odd that the book which first aroused, in modern Europe, an interest in Norse mythology should have been written by a Frenchman. This was the “Introduction a l’Histoire de Dannemarc,” published in 1755 by Paul Henri Mallet, a native of Geneva and sometime professor of Belles Lettres in the Royal University at Copenhagen. The work included also a translation of the first part of the Younger Edda, with an abstract of the second part and of the Elder Edda, and versions of several Runic poems. It was translated into English, in 1770, by Thomas Percy, the editor of the “Reliques,” under the title, “Northern Antiquities; or a Description of the Manners, Customs, Religion, and Laws of the ancient Danes.” A German translation had appeared a few years earlier and had inspired the Schleswig-Holsteiner, Heinrich Wilhem von Gerstenberg, to compose his “Gedicht eines Skalden,” which introduced the old Icelandic mythology into German poetry in 1766. Percy had published independently in 1763 “Five Pieces of Runic Poetry, translated from the Icelandic Language.”

Gray did not wait for the English translation of Mallet’s book. In a letter to Mason, dated in 1758, and inclosing some criticisms on the latter’s “Caractacus” (then in MS.), he wrote, “I am pleased with the Gothic Elysium. Do you think I am ignorant about either that, or the *hell* before, or the *twilight*.<sup>[3]</sup> I have been there and have seen it all in Mallet’s ‘Introduction to the History of Denmark’ (it is in French), and many other places.” It is a far cry from Mallet’s “System of Runic Mythology” to William Morris’ “Sigurd the Volsung” (1877), but to Mallet belongs the credit of first exciting that interest in Scandinavian antiquity which has enriched the prose and poetry not only of England but of Europe in general. Gray refers to him in his notes on “The Descent of Odin,” and his work continued to be popular authority on its subject for at least half a century. Scott cites it in his annotations on “The Lay of the Last Minstrel” (1805).

Gray’s studies in Runic literature took shape in “The Fatal Sisters” and “The Descent of Odin,” written in 1761, published in 1768. These were paraphrases of two poems which Gray found in the “De Causis Contemnendae Mortis” (Copenhagen, 1689) of Thomas Bartholin, a Danish physician of the seventeenth century. The first of them describes the Valkyrie weaving the fates of the Danish and Irish warriors in the battle of Clontarf, fought in the eleventh century between Sigurd, Earl of Orkney, and Brian, King of Dublin; the second narrates the descent of Odin to Niflheimer, to inquire of Hela concerning the doom of Balder.<sup>[4]</sup> Gray had designed these for the introductory chapter of his projected history of English poetry. He calls them imitations, which in fact they are, rather than literal renderings. In spite of a tinge of eighteenth-century diction, and of one or two Shaksperian and Miltonic phrases, the translator succeeded fairly



## Page 108

well in reproducing the wild air of his originals. His biographer, Mr. Gosse, promises that “the student will not fail . . . in the Gothic picturesqueness of ‘The Descent of Odin,’ to detect notes and phrases of a more delicate originality than are to be found even in his more famous writings; and will dwell with peculiar pleasure on those passages in which Gray freed himself of the trammels of an artificial and conventional taste, and prophesied of the new romantic age that was coming.”

Celtic antiquity shared with Gothic in this newly around interest. Here too, as in the phrase about “the stormy Hebrides,” “Lycidas” seems to have furnished the spark that kindled the imaginations of the poets.

“Where were ye, nymphs, when the remorseless deep  
Closed o’er the head of your loved Lycidas?  
For neither were ye playing on the steep  
Where your old bards, the famous Druids lie,  
Nor on the shaggy top of Mona high,  
Nor yet where Deva spreads her wizard stream.”

Joseph Warton quotes this passage twice in his “Essay on Pope” (Vol I., pp. 7 and 356, 5th ed.), once to assert its superiority to a passage in Pope’s “Pastorals”: “The mention of places remarkably romantic, the supposed habitation of Druids, bards and wizards, is far more pleasing to the imagination, than the obvious introduction of Cam and Isis.” Another time, to illustrate the following suggestion: “I have frequently wondered that our modern writers have made so little use of the druidical times and the traditions of the old bards. . . . Milton, we see, was sensible of the force of such imagery, as we may gather from this short but exquisite passage.” As further illustrations of the poetic capabilities of similar themes, Warton gives a stanza from Gray’s “Bard” and some lines from Gilbert West’s “Institution of the Order of the Garter” which describe the ghosts of the Druids hovering about their ruined altars at Stonehenge:

“—Mysterious rows  
Of rude enormous obelisks, that rise  
Orb within orb, stupendous monuments  
Of artless architecture, such as now  
Oft-times amaze the wandering traveler,  
By the pale moon discerned on Sarum’s plain.”

He then inserts two stanzas, in the Latin of Hickes’ “Thesaurus,” of an old Runic ode preserved by Olaus Wormius (Ole Worm) and adds an observation upon the Scandinavian heroes and their contempt of death. Druids and bards now begin to abound. Collins’ “Ode on the Death of Mr. Thomson,” e.g., commences with the line

“In yonder grave a Druid lies.”

In his “Ode to Liberty,” he alludes to the tradition that Mona, the druidic stronghold, was long covered with an enchantment of mist—work of an angry mermaid:

“Mona, once hid from those who search the main,  
Where thousand elfin shapes abide.”

In Thomas Warton’s “Pleasures of Melancholy,” Contemplation is fabled to have been discovered, when a babe, by a Druid

## Page 109

“Far in a hollow glade of Mona’s woods,”

and borne by him to his oaken bower, where she

“—loved to lie

Oft deeply listening to the rapid roar

Of wood-hung Menai, stream of druids old.”

Mason’s “Caractacus” (1759) was a dramatic poem on the Greek model, with a chorus of British bards, and a principal Druid for choragus. The scene is the sacred grove in Mona. Mason got up with much care the description of druidic rites, such as the preparation of the adder-stone and the cutting of the mistletoe with a gold sickle, from Latin authorities like Pliny, Tacitus, Lucan, Strabo, and Suetonius. Joseph Warton commends highly the chorus on “Death” in this piece, as well as the chorus of bards at the end of West’s “Institution of the Garter.” For the materials of his “Bard” Gray had to go no farther than historians and chroniclers such as Camden, Higden, and Matthew of Westminster, to all of whom he refers. Following a now discredited tradition, he represents the last survivor of the Welsh poetic guild, seated, harp in hand, upon a crag on the side of Snowdon, and denouncing judgment on Edward I, for the murder of his brothers in song.

But in 1764 Gray was incited, by the publication of Dr. Evans’ “Specimens,”[5] to attempt a few translations from the Welsh. The most considerable of these was “The Triumphs of Owen,” published among Gray’s collected poems in 1768. This celebrates the victory over the confederate fleets of Ireland, Denmark, and Normandy, won about 1160 by a prince of North Wales, Owen Ap Griffin, “the dragon son on Mona.” The other fragments are brief but spirited versions of bardic songs in praise of fallen heroes: “Caradoc,” “Conan,” and “The Death of Hoel.” They were printed posthumously, though doubtless composed in 1764.

The scholarship of the day was not always accurate in discriminating between ancient systems of religion, and Gray, in his letters to Mason in 1758, when “Caractacus” was still in the works, takes him to task for mixing the Gothic and Celtic mythologies. He instructs him that Woden and his Valhalla belong to “the doctrine of the Scalds, not of the Bards”; but admits that, “in that scarcity of Celtic ideas we labor under,” it might be permissible to borrow from the Edda, “dropping, however, all mention of Woden and his Valkyrian virgins,” and “without entering too minutely on particulars”; or “still better, to graft any wild picturesque fable, absolutely of one’s own invention, upon the Druid stock.” But Gray had not scrupled to mix mythologies in “The Bard,” thereby incurring Dr. Johnson’s censure. “The weaving of the winding sheet he borrowed, as he owns, from the northern bards; but their texture, however, was very properly the work of female powers, as the art of spinning the thread of life in another mythology. Theft is always dangerous: Gray has made weavers of the slaughtered bards, by a fiction outrageous

## Page 110

and incongruous.”[6] Indeed Mallet himself had a very confused notion of the relation of the Celtic to the Teutonic race. He speaks constantly of the old Scandinavians as Celts. Percy points out the difference, in the preface to his translation, and makes the necessary correction in the text, where the word Celtic occurs—usually by substituting “Gothic and Celtic” for the “Celtic” of the original. Mason made his contribution to Runic literature, “Song of Harold the Valiant,” a rather insipid versification of a passage from the “Knytlinga Saga,” which had been rendered by Bartholin into Latin, from him into French by Mallet, and from Mallet into English prose by Percy. Mason designed it for insertion in the introduction to Gray’s abortive history of English poetry.

The true pioneers of the mediaeval revival were the Warton brothers. “The school of Warton” was a term employed, not without disparaging implications, by critics who had no liking for antique minstrelsy. Joseph and Thomas Warton were the sons of Thomas Warton, vicar of Basingstoke, who had been a fellow of Magdalen and Professor of Poetry at Oxford; which latter position was afterward filled by the younger of his two sons. It is interesting to note that a volume of verse by Thomas Warton, Sr., posthumously printed in 1748, includes a Spenserian imitation and translations of two passages from the “Song of Ragner Lodbrog,” an eleventh-century Viking, after the Latin version quoted by Sir Wm. Temple in his essay “Of Heroic Virtue”;[7] so that the romantic leanings of the Warton brothers seem to be an instance of heredity. Joseph was educated at Winchester,—where Collins was his schoolfellow—and both of the brothers at Oxford. Joseph afterward became headmaster of Winchester, and lived till 1800, surviving his younger brother ten years. Thomas was always identified with Oxford, where he resided for forty-seven years. He was appointed, in 1785, Camden Professor of History in the university, but gave no lectures. In the same year he was chosen to succeed Whitehead, as Poet Laureate. Both brothers were men of a genial, social temper. Joseph was a man of some elegance; he was fond of the company of young ladies, went into general society, and had a certain renown as a drawing-room wit and diner-out. He used to spend his Christmas vacations in London, where he was a member of Johnson’s literary club. Thomas, on the contrary, who waxed fat and indolent in college cloisters, until Johnson compared him to a turkey cock, was careless in his personal habits and averse to polite society. He was the life of a common room at Oxford, romped with the schoolboys when he visited Dr. Warton at Winchester, and was said to have a hankering after pipes and ale and the broad mirth of the taproom. Both Wartons had an odd passion for military parades; and Thomas—who was a believer in ghosts—used secretly to attend hangings. They were also remarkably harmonious in their tastes and intellectual pursuits,

## Page 111

eager students of old English poetry, Gothic architecture, and British antiquities. So far as enthusiasm, fine critical taste, and elegant scholarship can make men poets, the Wartons were poets. But their work was quite unoriginal. Many of their poems can be taken to pieces and assigned, almost line by line and phrase by phrase, to Milton, Thomson, Spenser, Shakspeare, Gray. They had all of our romantic poet Longfellow's dangerous gifts of sympathy and receptivity, without a tenth part of his technical skill, or any of his real originality as an artist. Like Longfellow, they loved the rich and mellow atmosphere of the historic past:

"Tales that have the rime of age,  
And chronicles of eld."

The closing lines of Thomas Warton's sonnet "Written in a Blank Leaf of Dugdale's Monasticon"[8]—a favorite with Charles Lamb—might have been written by Longfellow:

"Nor rough nor barren are the winding ways  
Of hoar Antiquity, but strewn with flowers."

Joseph Warton's pretensions, as a poet, are much less than his younger brother's. Much of Thomas Warton's poetry, such as his *facetiae* in the "Oxford Sausage" and his "Triumph of Isis," had an academic flavor. These we may pass over, as foreign to our present inquiries. So, too, with most of his annual laureate odes, "On his Majesty's Birthday," *etc.* Yet even these official and rather perfunctory performances testify to his fondness for what Scott calls "the memorials of our forefathers' piety or splendor." Thus, in the birthday odes for 1787-88, and the New Year ode for 1787, he pays a tribute to the ancient minstrels and to early laureates like Chaucer and Spenser, and celebrates "the Druid harp" sounding "through the gloom profound of forests hoar"; the fanes and castles built by the Normans; and the

"—bright hall where Odin's Gothic throne  
With the broad blaze of brandished falchions shone."

But the most purely romantic of Thomas Warton's poems are "The Crusade" and "The Grave of King Arthur." The former is the song which

"The lion heart Plantagenet  
Sang, looking through his prison-bars,"

when the minstrel Blondel came wandering in search of his captive king. The latter describes how Henry II., on his way to Ireland, was feasted at Cilgarran Castle, where the Welsh bards sang to him of the death of Arthur and his burial in Glastonbury Abbey. The following passage anticipates Scott:



“Illumining the vaulted roof,  
A thousand torches flamed aloof;  
From many cups, with golden gleam,  
Sparkled the red metheglin’s stream:  
To grace the gorgeous festival,  
Along the lofty-windowed hall  
The storied tapestry was hung;  
With minstrelsy the rafters rung  
Of harps that with reflected light  
From the proud gallery glittered bright:  
While gifted bards, a rival

## Page 112

throng,

From distant Mona, nurse of song,  
From Teivi fringed with umbrage brown,  
From Elvy's vale and Cader's crown,  
From many a shaggy precipice  
That shades Ierne's hoarse abyss,  
And many a sunless solitude  
Of Radnor's inmost mountains rude,  
To crown the banquet's solemn close  
Themes of British glory chose."

Here is much of Scott's skill in the poetic manipulation of place-names, *e.g.*,

"Day set on Norham's castled steep,  
And Tweed's fair river, broad and deep,  
And Cheviot's mountains lone"—

names which leave a far-resounding romantic rumble behind them. Another passage in Warton's poem brings us a long way on toward Tennyson's "Wild Tintagel by the Cornish sea" and his "island valley of Avilion."

"O'er Cornwall's cliffs the tempest roared:  
High the screaming sea-mew soared:  
In Tintagel's topmost tower  
Darkness fell the sleety shower:  
Round the rough castle shrilly sung  
The whirling blast, and wildly flung  
On each tall rampart's thundering side  
The surges of the tumbling tide,  
When Arthur ranged his red-cross ranks  
On conscious Camlan's crimsoned banks:  
By Mordred's faithless guile decreed  
Beneath a Saxon spear to bleed.  
Yet in vain a Paynim foe  
Armed with fate the mighty blow;  
For when he fell, an elfin queen,  
All in secret and unseen,  
O'er the fainting hero threw  
Her mantle of ambrosial blue,  
And bade her spirits bear him far,  
In Merlin's agate-axled car,

To her green isle's enameled steep  
Far in the navel of the deep."

Other poems of Thomas Warton touching upon his favorite studies are the "Ode Sent to Mr. Upton, on his Edition of the Faery Queene," the "Monody Written near Stratford-upon-Avon," the sonnets, "Written at Stonehenge," "To Mr. Gray," and "On King Arthur's Round Table," and the humorous epistle which he attributes to Thomas Hearne, the antiquary, denouncing the bishops for their recent order that fast-prayers should be printed in modern type instead of black letter, and pronouncing a curse upon the author of "The Companion to the Oxford Guide Book" for his disrespectful remarks about antiquaries.

"May'st thou pore in vain  
For dubious doorways! May revengeful moths  
Thy ledgers eat! May chronologic spouts  
Retain no cipher legible! May crypts  
Lurk undiscovered! Nor may'st thou spell the names  
Of saints in storied windows, nor the dates  
Of bells discover, nor the genuine site  
Of abbots' pantries!"

Warton was a classical scholar and, like most of the forerunners of the romantic school, was a trifle shame-faced over his Gothic heresies. Sir Joshua Reynolds had supplied a painted window of classical design for New College, Oxford; and Warton, in some complimentary verses, professes that those "portraits of Attic art" have won him back to the true taste;<sup>[9]</sup> and prophesies that henceforth angels, apostles, saints, miracles, martyrdoms, and tales of legendary lore shall—



## Page 113

"No more the sacred window's round disgrace,  
But yield to Grecian groups the shining space. . .  
Thy powerful hand has broke the Gothic chain,  
And brought my bosom back to truth again. . .  
For long, enamoured of a barbarous age,  
A faithless truant to the classic page—  
Long have I loved to catch the simple chime  
Of minstrel harps, and spell the fabling rime;  
To view the festive rites, the knightly play,  
That decked heroic Albion's elder day;  
To mark the mouldering halls of barons bold,  
And the rough castle, cast in giant mould;  
With Gothic manners, Gothic arts explore,  
And muse on the magnificence of yore.  
But chief, enraptured have I loved to roam,  
A lingering votary, the vaulted dome,  
Where the tall shafts, that mount in massy pride,  
Their mingling branches shoot from side to side;  
Where elfin sculptors, with fantastic clew,  
O'er the long roof their wild embroidery drew;  
Where Superstition, with capricious hand,  
In many a maze, the wreathed window planned,  
With hues romantic tinged the gorgeous pane,  
To fill with holy light the wondrous fane." [10]

The application of the word "romantic," in this passage, to the mediaeval art of glass-staining is significant. The revival of the art in our own day is due to the influence of the latest English school of romantic poetry and painting, and especially to William Morris. Warton's biographers track his passion for antiquity to the impression left upon his mind by a visit to Windsor Castle, when he was a boy. He used to spend his summers in wandering through abbeys and cathedrals. He kept notes of his observations and is known to have begun a work on Gothic architecture, no trace of which, however, was found among his manuscripts. The Bodleian Library was one of his haunts, and he was frequently seen "surveying with quiet and rapt earnestness the ancient gateway of Magdalen College." He delighted in illuminated manuscripts and black-letter folios. In his "Observations on the Faery Queene" [11] he introduces a digression of twenty pages on Gothic architecture, and speaks lovingly of a "very curious and beautiful folio manuscript of the history of Arthur and his knights in the Ashmolean Museum at Oxford, written on vellum, with illuminated initials and head-pieces, in which we see the fashion of ancient armour, building, manner of tilting and other particulars."

Another very characteristic poem of Warton's is the "Ode Written at Vale-Royal Abbey in Cheshire," a monastery of Cistercian monks, founded by Edward I. This piece is

saturated with romantic feeling and written in the stanza and manner of Gray's "Elegy," as will appear from a pair of stanzas, taken at random:

"By the slow clock, in stately-measured chime,  
That from the messy tower tremendous tolled,  
No more the plowman counts the tedious time,  
Nor distant shepherd pens the twilight fold.

## Page 114

“High o’er the trackless heath at midnight seen,  
No more the windows, ranged in array  
(Where the tall shaft and fretted nook between  
Thick ivy twines), the tapered rites betray.”

It is a note of Warton’s period that, though Fancy and the Muse survey the ruins of the abbey with pensive regret, “severer Reason”—the real eighteenth-century divinity—“scans the scene with philosophic ken,” and—being a Protestant—reflects that, after all, the monastic houses were “Superstition’s shrine” and their demolition was a good thing for Science and Religion.

The greatest service, however, that Thomas Warton rendered to the studies that he loved was his “History of English Poetry from the Twelfth to the Close of the Sixteenth Century.” This was in three volumes, published respectively in 1774, 1777, and 1781. The fragment of a fourth volume was issued in 1790. A revised edition in four volumes was published in 1824, under the editorship of Richard Price, corrected, augmented, and annotated by Ritson, Douce, Park, Ashby, and the editor himself. In 1871 appeared a new revision (also in four volumes) edited by W. Carew Hazlitt, with many additions, by the editor and by well-known English scholars like Madden, Skeat, Furnivall, Morris, and Thomas and Aldis Wright. It should never be forgotten, in estimating the value of Warton’s work, that he was a forerunner in this field. Much of his learning is out of date, and the modern editors of his history—Price and Hazlitt—seem to the discouraged reader to be chiefly engaged, in their footnotes and bracketed interpellations, in taking back statements that Warton had made in the text. The leading position, *e.g.*, of his preliminary dissertation, “Of the Origin of Romantic Fiction in Europe”—deriving it from the Spanish Arabs—has long since been discredited. But Warton’s learning was wide, if not exact; and it was not dry learning, but quickened by the spirit of a genuine man of letters. Therefore, in spite of its obsolescence in matters of fact, his history remains readable, as a body of descriptive criticism, or a continuous literary essay. The best way to read it is to read it as it was written—in the original edition—disregarding the apparatus of notes, which modern scholars have accumulated about it, but remembering that it is no longer an authority and probably needs correcting on every page. Read thus, it is a thoroughly delightful book, “a classic in its way,” as Lowell has said. Southey, too, affirmed that its publication formed an epoch in literary history; and that, with Percy’s “Reliques,” it had promoted, beyond any other work, the “growth of a better taste than had prevailed for the hundred years preceding.”

Gray had schemed a history of English poetry, but relinquished the design to Warton, to whom he communicated an outline of his own plan. The “Observations on English Metre” and the essay on the poet Lydgate, among Gray’s prose remains, are apparently portions of this projected work.

## Page 115

Lowell, furthermore, pronounces Joseph Warton's "Essay on the Genius and Writings of Pope" (1756) "the earliest public official declaration of war against the reigning mode." The new school had its critics, as well as its poets, and the Wartons were more effective in the former capacity. The war thus opened was by no means as internecine as that waged by the French classicists and romanticists of 1830. It has never been possible to get up a very serious conflict in England, upon merely aesthetic grounds. Yet the same opposition existed. Warton's biographer tells us that the strictures made upon his essay were "powerful enough to damp the ardor of the essayist, who left his work in an imperfect state for the long space of twenty-six years," *i.e.*, till 1782, when he published the second volume.

Both Wartons were personal friends of Dr. Johnson; they were members of the Literary Club and contributors to the *Idler* and the *Adventurer*. Thomas interested himself to get Johnson the Master's degree from Oxford, where the doctor made him a visit. Some correspondence between them is given in Boswell. Johnson maintained in public a respectful attitude toward the critical and historical work of the Wartons; but he had no sympathy with their antiquarian enthusiasm or their liking for old English poetry. In private he ridiculed Thomas' verses, and summed them up in the manner ensuing:

"Whereso'er I turn my view,  
All is strange yet nothing new;  
Endless labor all along,  
Endless labor to be wrong;  
Phrase that time has flung away,  
Uncouth words in disarray,  
Tricked in antique ruff and bonnet,  
Ode and elegy and sonnet."

And although he added, "Remember that I love the fellow dearly, for all I laugh at him," this saving clause failed to soothe the poet's indignant breast, when he heard that the doctor had ridiculed his lines. An estrangement resulted which Johnson is said to have spoken of even with tears, saying "that Tom Warton was the only man of genius he ever knew who wanted a heart."

Goldsmith, too, belonged to the conservative party, though Mr. Perry[12] detects romantic touches in "The Deserted Village," such as the line,

"Where wild Altama murmurs to their woe,"

or

"On Torno's cliffs or Pambamarca's side."

In his “Enquiry into the Present State of Polite Learning” (1759) Goldsmith pronounces the age one of literary decay; he deplores the vogue of blank verse—which he calls an “erroneous innovation”—and the “disgusting solemnity of manner” that it has brought into fashion. He complains of the revival of old plays upon the stage. “Old pieces are revived, and scarcely any new ones admitted. . . The public are again obliged to ruminate over those ashes of absurdity which were disgusting to our ancestors even in an age of ignorance. . . What must be

## Page 116

done? Only sit down contented, cry up all that comes before us and advance even the absurdities of Shakspeare. Let the reader suspend his censure; I admire the beauties of this great father of our stage as much as they deserve, but could wish, for the honor of our country, and for his own too, that many of his scenes were forgotten. A man blind of one eye should always be painted in profile. Let the spectator who assists at any of these new revived pieces only ask himself whether he would approve such a performance, if written by a modern poet. I fear he will find that much of his applause proceeds merely from the sound of a name and an empty veneration for antiquity. In fact, the revival of those *pieces of forced humor, far-fetched conceit and unnatural hyperbole which have been ascribed to Shakspeare*, is rather gibbeting than raising a statue to his memory.”

The words that I have italicized make it evident that what Goldsmith was really finding fault with was the restoration of the original text of Shakspeare's plays, in place of the garbled versions that had hitherto been acted. This restoration was largely due to Garrick, but Goldsmith's language implies that the reform was demanded by public opinion and by the increasing “veneration for antiquity.” The next passage shows that the new school had its *claque*, which rallied to the support of the old British drama as the French romanticists did, nearly a century later, to the support of Victor Hugo's *melodrames*.<sup>[13]</sup>

“What strange vamped comedies, farcical tragedies, or what shall I call them—speaking pantomimes have we not of late seen? . . . The piece pleases our critics because it talks Old English; and it pleases the galleries because it has ribaldry. . . . A prologue generally precedes the piece, to inform us that it was composed by Shakspeare or old Ben, or somebody else who took them for his model. A face of iron could not have the assurance to avow dislike; the theater has its partisans who understand the force of combinations trained up to vociferation, clapping of hands and clattering of sticks; and though a man might have strength sufficient to overcome a lion in single combat, he may run the risk of being devoured by an army of ants.”

Goldsmith returned to the charge in “The Vicar of Wakefield” (1766), where Dr. Primrose, inquiring of the two London dames, “who were the present theatrical writers in vogue, who were the Drydens and Otways of the day,” is surprised to learn that Dryden and Rowe are quite out of fashion, that taste has gone back a whole century, and that “Fletcher, Ben Jonson and all the plays of Shakspeare are the only things that go down.” “How,” cries the good vicar, “is it possible the present age can be pleased with that antiquated dialect, that obsolete humor, those overcharged characters which abound in the works you mention?” Goldsmith's disgust with this affectation finds further vent in his “Life of Parnell” (1770). “He

## Page 117

[Parnell] appears to me to be the last of that great school that had modeled itself upon the ancients, and taught English poetry to resemble what the generality of mankind have allowed to excel. . . His productions bear no resemblance to those tawdry things which it has, for some time, been the fashion to admire. . . His poetical language is not less correct than his subjects are pleasing. He found it at that period in which it was brought to its highest pitch of refinement; and ever since his time, it has been gradually debasing. It is, indeed, amazing, after what has been done by Dryden, Addison, and Pope, to improve and harmonize our native tongue, that their successors should have taken so much pains to involve it into pristine barbarity. These misguided innovators have not been content with restoring antiquated words and phrases, but have indulged themselves in the most licentious transpositions and the harshest constructions; vainly imagining that, the more their writings are unlike prose, the more they resemble poetry. They have adopted a language of their own, and call upon mankind for admiration. All those who do not understand them are silent; and those who make out their meaning are willing to praise, to show they understand." This last sentence is a hit at the alleged obscurity of Gray's and Mason's odes.

To illustrate the growth of a retrospective habit in literature Mr. Perry[14] quotes at length from an essay "On the Prevailing Taste for the Old English Poets," by Vicesimus Knox, sometimes master of Tunbridge school, editor of "Elegant Extracts" and honorary doctor of the University of Pennsylvania. Knox's essays were written while he was an Oxford undergraduate, and published collectively in 1777. By this time the romantic movement was in full swing. "The Castle of Otranto" and Percy's "Reliques" had been out more than ten years; many of the Rowley poems were in print; and in this very year, Tyrwhitt issued a complete edition of them, and Warton published the second volume of his "History of English Poetry." Chatterton and Percy are both mentioned by Knox.

"The antiquarian spirit," he writes, "which was once confined to inquiries concerning the manners, the buildings, the records, and the coins of the ages that preceded us, has now extended itself to those poetical compositions which were popular among our forefathers, but which have gradually sunk into oblivion through the decay of language and the prevalence of a correct and polished taste. Books printed in the black letter are sought for with the same avidity with which the English antiquary peruses a monumental inscription, or treasures up a Saxon piece of money. The popular ballad, composed by some illiterate minstrel, and which has been handed down by tradition for several centuries, is rescued from the hands of the vulgar, to obtain a place in the collection of the man of taste. Verses which, a few years past, were thought

## Page 118

worthy the attention of children only, or of the lowest and rudest orders, are now admired for that artless simplicity which once obtained the name of coarseness and vulgarity." Early English poetry, continues the essayist, "has had its day, and the antiquary must not despise us if we cannot peruse it with patience. He who delights in all such reading as is never read, may derive some pleasure from the singularity of his taste, but he ought still to respect the judgment of mankind, which has consigned to oblivion the works which he admires. While he pores unmolested on Chaucer, Gower, Lydgate, and Occleve, let him not censure our obstinacy in adhering to Homer, Virgil, Milton, and Pope. . . Notwithstanding the incontrovertible merit of many of our ancient relics of poetry, I believe it may be doubted whether any one of them would be tolerated as the production of a modern poet. As a good imitation of the ancient manner, it would find its admirers; but, considered independently, as an original, it would be thought a careless, vulgar, inartificial composition. There are few who do not read Dr. Percy's own pieces, and those of other late writers, with more pleasure than the oldest ballad in the collection of that ingenious writer." Mr. Percy quotes another paper of Knox in which he divides the admirers of English poetry into two parties: "On one side are the lovers and imitators of Spenser and Milton; and on the other, those of Dryden, Boileau, and Pope"; in modern phrase, the romanticists and the classicists.

Joseph Warton's "Essay on Pope" was an attempt to fix its subject's rank among English poets. Following the discursive method of Thomas Warton's "Observations on the Faerie Queen," it was likewise an elaborate commentary on all of Pope's poems *seriatim*. Every point was illustrated with abundant learning, and there were digressions amounting to independent essays on collateral topics: one, e.g., on Chaucer, one on early French Metrical romances; another on Gothic architecture: another on the new school of landscape gardening, in which Walpole's essay and Mason's poem are quoted with approval, and mention is made of the Leasowes. The book was dedicated to Young; and when the second volume was published in 1782, the first was reissued in a revised form and introduced by a letter to the author from Tyrwhitt, who writes that, under the shelter of Warton's authority, "one may perhaps venture to avow an opinion that poetry is not confined to rhyming couplets, and that its greatest powers are not displayed in prologues and epilogues."

The modern reader will be apt to think Warton's estimate of Pope quite high enough. He places him, to be sure, in the second rank of poets, below Spenser, Shakspeare, and Milton, yet next to Milton and above Dryden; and he calls the reign of Queen Anne the great age of English poetry. Yet if it be recollected that the essay was published only twelve years after Pope's death, and at a time when he was still commonly held to be, if not the greatest poet, at least the greatest artist in verse, that England had ever produced, it will be seen that Warton's opinions might well be thought revolutionary, and his challenge to the critics a bold one. These opinions can be best exhibited by quoting



a few passages from his book, not consecutive, but taken here and there as best suits the purpose.

## Page 119

“The sublime and the pathetic are the two chief nerves of all genuine poesy. What is there transcendently sublime or pathetic in Pope? . . . He early left the more poetical provinces of his art, to become a moral, didactic, and satiric poet. . . And because I am, perhaps, unwilling to speak out in plain English, I will adopt the following passage of Voltaire, which, in my opinion, as exactly characterizes Pope as it does his model, Boileau, for whom it was originally designed. ‘Incapable peut-etre du sublime qui eleve l’ame, et du sentiment qui l’attendrit, mais fait pour eclairer ceux a qui la nature accorda l’un et l’autre; laborieux, severe, precis, pur, harmonieux, il devint enfin le poete de la Raison.’ . . . A clear head and acute understanding are not sufficient alone to make a poet; the most solid observations on human life, expressed with the utmost elegance and brevity, are morality and not poetry. . . It is a creative and glowing imagination, *acer spiritus ac vis*, and that alone, that can stamp a writer with this exalted and very uncommon character.”

Warton believes that Pope’s projected epic on Brutus, the legendary found of Britain, “would have more resembled the ‘Henriade’ than the ‘Iliad,’ or even the ‘Gierusalemme Liberata’; that it would have appeared (if this scheme had been executed) how much, and for what reasons, the man that is skillful in painting modern life, and the most secret foibles and follies of his contemporaries, is, THEREFORE, disqualified for representing the ages of heroism, and that simple life which alone epic poetry can gracefully describe. . . Wit and satire are transitory and perishable, but nature and passion are eternal.” The largest portion of Pope’s work, says the author’s closing summary, “is of the didactic, moral, and satiric kind; and consequently not of the most poetic species of poetry; when it is manifest that good sense and judgment were his characteristical excellencies, rather than fancy and invention. . . He stuck to describing modern manners; but those manners, because they are familiar, uniform, artificial, and polished, are in their very nature, unfit for any lofty effort of the Muse. He gradually became one of the most correct, even, and exact poets that ever wrote. . . Whatever poetical enthusiasm he actually possessed, he withheld and stifled. The perusal of him affects not our minds with such strong emotions as we feel from Homer and Milton; so that no man of a true poetical spirit is master of himself while he reads them. . . He who would think the ‘Faerie Queene,’ ‘Palamon and Arcite,’ the ‘Tempest’ or ‘Comus,’ childish and romantic might relish Pope. Surely it is no narrow and niggardly encomium to say, he is the great poet of Reason, the first of ethical authors in verse.”

## Page 120

To illustrate Pope's inferiority in the poetry of nature and passion, Warton quotes freely by way of contrast, not only from Spenser and Milton, but from such contemporaries of his own as Thomson, Akenside, Gray, Collins, Dyer, Mason, West, Shenstone, and Bedingfield. He complains that Pope's "Pastorals" contains no new image of nature, and his "Windsor Forest" no local color; while "the scenes of Thomson are frequently as wild and romantic as those of Salvator Rosa, varied with precipices and torrents and 'castled cliffs' and deep valleys, with piny mountains and the gloomiest caverns." "When Gray published his exquisite ode on Eton College . . . little notice was taken of it; but I suppose no critic can be found that will not place it far above Pope's 'Pastorals.'"

A few additional passages will serve to show that this critic's literary principles, in general, were consciously and polemically romantic. Thus he pleads for the *mot precis*—that shibboleth of the nineteenth-century romanticists—for "*natural, little* circumstances" against "those who are fond of *generalities*"; for the "lively painting of Spenser and Shakspeare," as contrasted with the lack of picturesqueness and imagery in Voltaire's "Henriade." He praises "the fashion that has lately obtained, in all the nations of Europe, of republishing and illustrating their old poets." [15] Again, commenting upon Pope's well-known triplet,

"Waller was smooth, but Dryden taught to join  
The varying verse, the full-resounding line,  
The long majestic march and energy divine!"

he exclaims: "What! Did Milton contribute nothing to the harmony and extent of our language? . . . Surely his verses vary and resound as much, and display as much majesty and energy, as any that can be found in Dryden. And we will venture to say that he that studies Milton attentively, will gain a truer taste for genuine poetry than he that forms himself on French writers and their followers." Elsewhere he expresses a preference for blank verse over rhyme, in long poems on subjects of a dignified kind. [16]

"It is perpetually the nauseous cant of the French critics, and of their advocates and pupils, that the English writers are generally incorrect. If correctness implies an absence of petty faults, this perhaps may be granted; if it means that, because their tragedians have avoided the irregularities of Shakspeare, and have observed a juster economy in their fables, therefore the 'Athalia,' for instance, is preferable to 'Lear,' the notion is groundless and absurd. Though the 'Henriade' should be allowed to be free from any very gross absurdities, yet who will dare to rank it with the 'Paradise Lost'? . . . In our own country the rules of the drama were never more completely understood than at present; yet what uninteresting, though faultless, tragedies have we lately seen! . . . Whether or no the natural powers be not confined and debilitated

## Page 121

by that timidity and caution which is occasioned by a rigid regard to the dictates of art; or whether that philosophical, that geometrical and systematical spirit so much in vogue, which has spread itself from the sciences even into polite literature, by consulting only reason, has not diminished and destroyed sentiment, and made our poets write from and to the head rather than the heart; or whether, lastly, when just models, from which the rules have necessarily been drawn, have once appeared, succeeding writers, by vainly and ambitiously striving to surpass those . . . do not become stiff and forced.” One of these uninteresting, though faultless tragedies was “Cato,” which Warton pronounces a “sententious and declamatory drama” filled with “pompous Roman sentiments,” but wanting action and pathos. He censures the tameness of Addison’s “Letter from Italy.”[17] “With what flatness and unfeelingness has he spoken of statuary and painting! Raphael never received a more phlegmatic eulogy.” He refers on the other hand to Gray’s account of his journey to the Grande Chartreuse,[18] as worthy of comparison with one of the finest passages in the “Epistle of Eloisa to Abelard.”

This mention of Addison recalls a very instructive letter of Gray on the subject of poetic style.[19] The romanticists loved a rich diction, and the passage might be taken as an anticipatory defense of himself against Wordsworth’s strictures in the preface to the “Lyrical Ballads.” “The language of the age,” wrote Gray, “is never the language of poetry, except among the French, whose verse . . . differs in nothing from prose. Our poetry has a language peculiar to itself; to which almost everyone that has written has added something, by enriching it with foreign idioms and derivatives; nay, sometimes words of their own composition or invention. Shakspeare and Milton have been great creators in this way . . . our language has an undoubted right to words of an hundred years old, provided antiquity have not rendered them unintelligible. In truth Shakspeare’s language is one of his principal beauties; and he has no less advantage over your Addisons and Rowes in this, than in those other great excellencies you mention. Every word in him is a picture.” He then quotes a passage from “Richard III.,” and continues, “Pray put me the following lines into the tongue of our modern dramatics. To me they appear untranslatable, and if this be the case, our language is greatly degenerated.”

Warton further protests against the view which ascribed the introduction of true taste in literature to the French. “Shakspeare and Milton imitated the Italians and not the French.” He recommends also the reintroduction of the preternatural into poetry. There are some, he says, who think that poetry has suffered by becoming too rational, deserting fairyland, and laying aside “descriptions of magic and enchantment,” and he quotes, *a propos* of this the famous stanza about the Hebrides

## Page 122

in “The Castle of Indolence.”[20] The false refinement of the French has made them incapable of enjoying “the terrible graces of our irregular Shakspeare, especially in his scenes of magic and incantations. These *Gothic* charms are in truth more striking to the imagination than the classical. The magicians of Ariosto, Tasso, and Spenser have more powerful spells than those of Apollonius, Seneca, and Lucan. The enchanted forest of Ismeni is more awfully and tremendously poetical than even the grove which Caesar orders to be cut down in Lucan (i. iii. 400), which was so full of terrors that, at noonday or midnight, the priest himself dared not approach it—

“‘Dreading the demon of the grove to meet.’

“Who that sees the sable plumes waving on the prodigious helmet in the Castle of Otranto, and the gigantic arm on the top of the great staircase, is not more affected than with the paintings of Ovid and Apuleius? What a group of dreadful images do we meet with in the Edda! The Runic poetry abounds in them. Such is Gray’s thrilling Ode on the ‘Descent of Odin.’”

Warton predicts that Pope’s fame as a poet will ultimately rest on his “Windsor Forest,” his “Epistle of Eloisa to Abelard,” and “The Rape of the Lock.” To this prophecy time has already, in part, given the lie. Warton preferred “Windsor Forest” and “Eloisa” to the “Moral Essays” because they belonged to a higher kind of poetry. Posterity likes the “Moral Essays” better because they are better of their kind. They were the natural fruit of Pope’s genius and of his time, while the others were artificial. We can go to Wordsworth for nature, to Byron for passion, and to a score of poets for both, but Pope remains unrivaled in his peculiar field. In other words, we value what is characteristic in the artist; the one thing which he does best, the precise thing which he can do and no one else can. But Warton’s mistake is significant of the changing literary standards of his age; and his essay is one proof out of many that the English romantic movement was not entirely without self-conscious aims, but had its critical formulas and its programme, just as Queen Anne classicism had.

[1] Dr. Johnson had his laugh at this popular person:

“‘Hermit hoar, in solemn cell  
Wearing out life’s evening gray,  
Strike thy bosom, sage, and tell  
What is bliss, and which the way?’

“Thus I spoke, and speaking sighed, Scarce suppressed the starting tear: When the hoary sage replied, ‘*Come, my lad, and drink some beer.*’”

[2] "Grose's Antiquities of Scotland" was published in 1791, and Burns wrote "Tam o'Shanter" to accompany the picture of Kirk Alloway in this work. See his poem, "On the late Captain Grose's Peregrinations through Scotland."

[3] "Ragnarok," or "Goetterdaemmerung," the twilight of the Gods

## Page 123

[4] For a full discussion of Gray's sources and of his knowledge of Old Norse, the reader should consult the appendix by Professor G. L. Kittredge to Professor W. L. Phelps' "Selections from Gray" (1894, pp. xl-1.) Professor Kittredge concludes that Gray had but a slight knowledge of Norse, that he followed the Latin of Bartholin in his renderings; and that he probably also made use of such authorities as Torfaeus' "Orcades" (1697), Ole Worm's "Litteratura Runica" (Copenhagen, 1636), Dr. George Hickes' monumental "Thesaurus" (Oxford, 1705), and Robert Sheringham's "De Anglorum Gentis Origine Disceptatio" (1716). Dryden's "Miscellany Poems" (1716) has a verse translation, "The Waking of Angantyr," from the English prose of Hickes, of a portion of the "Hervarar Saga." Professor Kittredge refers to Sir William Temple's essays "Of Poetry" and "Of Heroic Virtue." "Nichols' Anecdotes" (I. 116) mentions, as published in 1715, "The Rudiments of Grammar for the English Saxon Tongue; with an Apology for the study of Northern Antiquities." This was by Mrs. Elizabeth Elstob, and was addressed to Hickes, the compiler of the "Thesaurus."

[5] "Some Specimens of the Poetry of the Ancient Welsh Bards, translated into English," by Rev. Evan Evans, 1764. The specimens were ten in number. The translations were in English prose. The originals were printed from a copy which Davies, the author of the Welsh dictionary, had made of an ancient vellum MS. thought to be of the time of Edward II, Edward III, and Henry V. The book included a Latin "Dissertatio de Bardis," together with notes, appendices, etc. The preface makes mention of Macpherson's recently published Ossianic poems.

[6] "Life of Gray."

[7] See Phelps' "English Romantic Movement," pp. 73, 141-42.

[8] Wm Dugdale published his "Monasticon Anglicanum," a history of English religious houses, in three parts, in 1655-62-73. It was accompanied with illustrations of the costumes worn by the ancient religious orders, and with architectural views. The latter, says Eastlake, were rude and unsatisfactory, but interesting to modern students, as "preserving representations of buildings, or portions of buildings, no longer in existence; as, for instance, the *campanile*, or detached belfry of Salisbury, since removed, and the spire of Lincoln, destroyed in 1547."

[9] "Verses on Sir Joshua Reynolds' Painted Window." Cf. Poe, "To Helen":

"On desperate seas long wont to roam  
Thy hyacinth hair, thy classic face,  
Thy Naiad airs have brought me home  
To the glory that was Greece,  
And the grandeur that was Rome."

[10] This apology should be compared with Scott's verse epistle to Wm Ereskine, prefixed to the third canto of "Marmion."

"For me, thus nurtured, dost thou ask  
The classic poet's well-conned task?" *etc.*

Scott spoke of himself in Warton's exact language, as a "truant to the classic page."



## Page 124

[11] See *ante*, pp. 99-101\_\_.

[12] "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 397.

[13] Lowell mentions the publication of Dodsley's "Old Plays," (1744) as, like Percy's "Reliques," a symptom of the return of the past. Essay on "Gray."

[14] "Eighteenth Century Literature," pp. 401-03.

[15] It is curious, however, to find Warton describing Villon as "a pert and insipid ballad-monger, whose thoughts and diction were as low and illiberal as his life," Vol. II. p. 338 (Fifth Edition, 1806).

[16] Warton quotes the follow bathetic opening of a "Poem in Praise of Blank Verse" by Aaron Hill, "one of the very first persons who took notice of Thomson, on the publication of 'Winter'":

"Up from Rhyme's popped vale! And ride the storm  
That thunders in blank verse!"  
—Vol. II. p. 186.

[17] See *ante*, p. 57.

[18] See *ante*, p. 181.

[19] To Richard West, April, 1742.

[20] See *ante*, p. 94.

## CHAPTER VII.

The Gothic Revival.

One of Thomas Warton's sonnets was addressed to Richard Hurd, afterward Bishop of Lichfield and Coventry, and later of Worcester. Hurd was a friend of Gray and Mason, and his "Letters on Chivalry and Romance" (1762) helped to initiate the romantic movement. They perhaps owed their inspiration, in part, to Sainte Palaye's "Memoires sur l'ancienne Chevalerie," the first volume of which was issued in 1759, though the third and concluding volume appeared only in 1781. This was a monumental work and, as a standard authority, bears much the same relation to the literature of its subject that Mallet's "Histoire de Dannemarc" bears to all the writing on Runic mythology that was done in Europe during the eighteenth-century. Jean Baptiste de la Curne de Sainte Palaye was a scholar of wide learning, not only in the history of mediaeval institutions but in old French dialects. He went to the south of France to familiarize himself with

Provençal: collected a large library of Provençal books and manuscripts, and published in 1774 his “Histoire de Troubadours.” Among his other works are a “Dictionary of French Antiquities,” a glossary of Old French, and an edition of “Aucassin et Nicolette.” Mrs. Susannah Dobson, who wrote “Historical Anecdotes of Heraldry and Chivalry” (1795), made an English translation of Sainte Palaye’s “History of the Troubadours” in 1779, and of his “Memoirs of Ancient Chivalry” in 1784.

The purpose of Hurd’s letters was to prove “the pre-eminence of the Gothic manners and fictions, as adapted to the ends of poetry, above the classic.” “The greatest geniuses of our own and foreign countries,” he affirms, “such as Ariosto and Tasso in Italy, and Spenser and Milton in England, were seduced by these barbarities of their forefathers; were even charmed by the Gothic romances. Was

## Page 125

this caprice and absurdity in them? Or may there not be something in the Gothic Romance peculiarly suited to the view of a genius and to the ends of poetry? And may not the philosophic moderns have gone too far in their perpetual ridicule and contempt of it?" After a preliminary discussion of the origin of chivalry and knight-errantry and of the ideal knightly characteristics, "Prowess, Generosity, Gallantry, and Religion," which he derives from the military necessities of the feudal system, he proceeds to establish a "remarkable correspondency between the manners of the old heroic times, as painted by their romancer, Homer, and those which are represented to us in the books of modern knight-errantry." He compares, e.g., the Laestrygonians, Cyclopes\_, Circes, and Calypsos of Homer, with the giants, paynims, sorceresses encountered by the champions of romance; the Greek aoixoi with the minstrels; the Olympian games with tournaments; and the exploits of Hercules and Theseus, in quelling dragons and other monsters, with the similar enterprises of Lancelot and Amadis de Gaul. *The critic is daring enough to give the Gothic manners the preference over the heroic. Homer, he says, if he could have known both, would have chosen the former by reason of "the improved gallantry of the feudal times, and the superior solemnity of their superstitions. The gallantry which inspirited the feudal times was of a nature to furnish the poet with finer scenes and subjects of description, in every view, than the simple and uncontrolled barbarity of the Grecian. . . There was a dignity, a magnificence, a variety in the feudal, which the other wanted."*

An equal advantage, thinks Hurd, the romancers enjoyed over the pagan poets in the point of supernatural machinery. "For the more solemn fancies of witchcraft and incantation, the horrors of the Gothic were above measure striking and terrible. The mummeries of the pagan priests were childish, but the Gothic enchanters shook and alarmed all nature. . . You would not compare the Canidia of Horace with the witches in 'Macbeth.' And what are Virgil's myrtles, dropping blood, to Tasso's enchanted forest? . . The fancies of our modern bards are not only more gallant, but . . . more sublime, more terrible, more alarming than those of the classic fables. In a word, you will find that the manners they paint, and the superstitions they adopt, are the more poetical for being Gothic."

Evidently the despised "Gothick" of Addison—as Mr. Howells puts it—was fast becoming the admired "Gothic" of Scott. This pronouncement of very advanced romantic doctrine came out several years before Percy's "Reliques" and "The Castle of Otranto." It was only a few years later than Thomas Warton's "Observations on the Faerie Queene" and Joseph's "Essay on Pope," but its views were much more radical. Neither of the Wartons would have ventured to pronounce the Gothic manners superior to the Homeric, as materials for

## Page 126

poetry, whatever, in his secret heart, he might have thought.[1] To Johnson such an opinion must have seemed flat blasphemy. Hurd accounts for the contempt into which the Gothic had fallen on the ground that the feudal ages had never had the good fortune to possess a great poet, like Homer, capable of giving adequate artistic expression to their life and ideals. *Carent vate sacro*. Spenser and Tasso, he thinks, “came too late, and it was impossible for them to paint truly and perfectly what was no longer seen or believed. . . . As it is, we may take a guess of what the subject was capable of affording to real genius from the rude sketches we have of it in the old romancers. . . . The ablest writers of Greece ennobled the system of heroic manners, while it was fresh and flourishing; and their works being masterpieces of composition, so fixed the credit of it in the opinion of the world, that no revolution of time and taste could afterward shake it. Whereas the Gothic, having been disgraced in their infancy by bad writers, and a new set of manners springing up before there were any better to do them justice, they could never be brought into vogue by the attempts of later poets.” Moreover, “the Gothic manners of chivalry, as springing out of the feudal system, were as singular as that system itself; so that when that political constitution vanished out of Europe, the manners that belonged to it were no longer seen or understood. There was no example of any such manners remaining on the face of the earth. And as they never did subsist but once, and are never likely to subsist again, people would be led of course to think and speak of them as romantic and unnatural.”

Even so, he thinks that the Renaissance poets, Ariosto and Spenser, owe their finest effects not to their tinge of classical culture but to their romantic materials. Shakspeare “is greater when he uses Gothic manners and machinery, than when he employs classical.” Tasso, to be sure, tried to trim between the two, by giving an epic form to his romantic subject-matter, but Hurd pronounces his imitations of the ancients “faint and cold and almost insipid, when compared with his original fictions. . . . If it was not for these *lies* [*magnanima mensogna*] of Gothic invention, I should scarcely be disposed to give the ‘Gierusalemme Liberata’ a second reading.” Nay, Milton himself, though finally choosing the classic model, did so only after long hesitation. “His favorite subject was Arthur and the Knights of the Round Table. On this he had fixed for the greater part of his life. What led him to change his mind was partly, as I suppose, his growing fanaticism; partly his ambition to take a different route from Spenser; but chiefly, perhaps, the discredit into which the stories of chivalry had now fallen by the immortal satire of Cervantes. Yet we see through all his poetry, where his enthusiasm flames out most, a certain predilection for the legends

## Page 127

of chivalry before the fables of Greece.” Hurd says that, if the “Faerie Queene” be regarded as a Gothic poem, it will be seen to have unity of design, a merit which even the Wartons had denied it. “When an architect examines a Gothic structure by the Grecian rules he finds nothing but deformity. But the Gothic architecture has its own rules by which; when it comes to be examined, it is seen to have its merit, as well as the Grecian.”

The essayist complains that the Gothic fables fell into contempt through the influence of French critics who ridiculed and disparaged the Italian romancers, Ariosto and Tasso. The English critics of the Restoration—Davenant, Hobbes, Shaftesbury—took their cue from the French, till these pseudo-classical principles “grew into a sort of a cant, with which Rymer and the rest of that school filled their flimsy essays and rumbling prefaces. . . The exact but cold Boileau happened to say something about the *clinquant* of Tasso,” and “Mr. Addison,[2] who gave the law in taste here, took it up and sent it about,” so that “it became a sort of watchword among the critics.” “What we have gotten,” concludes the final letter of the series, “by this revolution, is a great deal of good sense. What we have lost is a world of fine fabling, the illusion of which is so grateful to the *charmed spirit* that, in spite of philosophy and fashion ‘Faery’ Spenser still ranks highest among the poets; I mean with all those who are earlier come of that house, or have any kindness for it.”

We have seen that, during the classical period, “Gothic,” as a term in literary criticism, was synonymous with barbarous, lawless, and tawdry. Addison instructs his public that “the taste of most of our English poets, as well as readers, is extremely Gothic.”[3] After commending the French critics, Bouhours and Boileau, for their insistence upon good sense, justness of thought, simplicity, and naturalness he goes on as follows: “Poets who want this strength of genius, to give that majestic simplicity to nature which we so much admire in the works of the ancients, are forced to hunt after foreign ornaments, and not to let any piece of wit, of what kind soever, escape them. I look upon these writers as Goths in poetry, who, like those in architecture, not being able to come up to the beautiful simplicity of the old Greeks and Romans, have endeavored to supply its place with all the extravagances of an irregular fancy.” In the following paper (No. 63), an “allegorical vision of the encounter of True and False Wit,” he discovers, “in a very dark grove, a monstrous fabric, built after the Gothic manner and covered with innumerable devices in that barbarous kind of sculpture.” This temple is consecrated to the God of Dullness, who is “dressed in the habit of a monk.” In his essay “On Taste” (No. 409) he says, “I have endeavored, in several of my speculations, to banish this Gothic taste which has taken possession among us.”

## Page 128

The particular literary vice which Addison strove to correct in these papers was that conceited style which infected a certain school of seventeenth-century poetry, running sometimes into such puerilities as anagrams, acrostics, echo-songs, rebuses, and verses in the shape of eggs, wings, hour-glasses, *etc.* He names, as special representatives of this affectation, Herbert, Cowley, and Sylvester. But it is significant that Addison should have described this fashion as Gothic. It has in reality nothing in common with the sincere and loving art of the old builders. He might just as well have called it classic; for, as he acknowledges, devices of the kind are to be found in the Greek anthology, and Ovid was a poet given to conceits. Addison was a writer of pure taste, but the coldness and timidity of his imagination, and the maxims of the critical school to which he belonged, made him mistake for spurious decoration the efflorescence of that warm, creative fancy which ran riot in Gothic art. The grotesque, which was one expression of this sappy vigor, was abhorrent to Addison. The art and poetry of his time were tame, where Gothic art was wild; dead where Gothic was alive. He could not sympathize with it, nor understand it. “Vous ne pouvez pas le comprendre; vous avez toujours hai la vie.”

I have quoted Vicesimus Knox's complaint that the antiquarian spirit was spreading from architecture and numismatics into literature.[4] We meet with satire upon antiquaries many years before this; in Pope, in Akenside's Spenserian poem “The Virtuoso” (1737); in Richard Owen Cambridge's “Scribleriad” (1751):

“See how her sons with generous ardor strive,  
Bid every long-lost Gothic art revive,. . .  
Each Celtic character explain, or show  
How Britons ate a thousand years ago;  
On laws of jousts and tournaments declaim,  
Or shine, the rivals of the herald's fame.  
But chief that Saxon wisdom be your care,  
Preserve their idols and their fanes repair;  
And may their deep mythology be shown  
By Seater's wheel and Thor's tremendous throne.”[5]

The most notable instance that we encounter of virtuosity invading the neighboring realm of literature is in the case of Strawberry Hill and “The Castle of Otranto.” Horace Walpole, the son of the great prime minister, Robert Walpole, was a person of varied accomplishments and undoubted cleverness. He was a man of fashion, a man of taste, and a man of letters; though, in the first of these characters, he entertained or affected a contempt for the last, not uncommon in dilettante authors and dandy artists, who belong to the *beau monde* or are otherwise socially of high place, *teste* Congreve, and even Byron, that “rhyming peer.” Walpole, as we have seen, had been an Eton friend of Gray and had traveled—and quarreled—with him upon the Continent. Returning home, he got a seat in Parliament, the entree at court, and various

## Page 129

lucrative sinecures through his father's influence. He was an assiduous courtier, a keen and spiteful observer, a busy gossip and retailer of social tattle. His feminine turn of mind made him a capital letter-writer; and his correspondence, particularly with Sir Horace Mann, English ambassador at Florence, is a running history of backstairs diplomacy, court intrigue, subterranean politics, and fashionable scandal during the reigns of the second and third Georges. He also figures as an historian of an amateurish sort, by virtue of his "Catalogue of Royal and Noble Authors," "Anecdotes of Painting," and "Historic Doubts on Richard III." Our present concern with him, however, lies quite outside of these.

It was about 1750 that Walpole, who had bought a villa at Strawberry Hill, on the Thames near Windsor, which had formerly belonged to Mrs. Chenevix, the fashionable London toy-woman, began to turn his house into a miniature Gothic castle, in which he is said to have "outlived three sets of his own battlements." These architectural experiments went on for some twenty years. They excited great interest and attracted many visitors, and Walpole may be regarded as having given a real impetus to the revival of pointed architecture. He spoke of Strawberry Hill as a castle, but it was, in fact, an odd blend of ecclesiastical and castellated Gothic applied to domestic uses. He had a cloister, a chapel, a round tower, a gallery, a "refectory," a stair-turret with Gothic balustrade, stained windows, mural scutcheons, and Gothic paper-hangings. Walpole's mock-gothic became something of a laughing-stock, after the true principles of medieval architecture were better understood. Since the time when Inigo Jones, court architect to James I., came back from Italy, where he had studied the works of Palladio; and especially since the time when his successor, Sir Christopher Wren, had rebuilt St. Paul's in the Italian Renaissance style, after the great fire of London in 1664, Gothic had fallen more and more into disuse. "If in the history of British art," says Eastlake, "there is one period more distinguished than another for the neglect of Gothic, it was certainly the middle of the eighteenth century." But architecture had this advantage over other arts, it had left memorials more obvious and imposing. Medieval literature was known only to the curious, to collectors of manuscript romances and black-letter ballads. The study of medieval arts like tempera painting, illuminating, glass-staining, wood-carving, tapestry embroidery; of the science of blazonry, of the details of ancient armor and costumes, was the pursuit of specialists. But Westminster Abbey, the Tower of London, Salisbury Cathedral, and York Minster, ruins such as Melrose and Fountain Abbeys, Crichton Castle, and a hundred others were impressive witnesses for the civilization that had built them and must, sooner or later, demand respectful attention. Hence it is not strange that the Gothic revival went hand in hand with the romantic movement in literature, if indeed it did not give it its original impulse.



## Page 130

"It is impossible," says Eastlake,[6] speaking of Walpole, "to peruse either the letters or the romances of this remarkable man, without being struck by the unmistakable evidence which they contain of his medieval predilections. His 'Castle of Otranto' was perhaps the first modern work of fiction which depended for its interest on the incidents of a chivalrous age, and it thus became the prototype of that class of novel which was afterward imitated by Mrs. Radcliffe and perfected by Sir Walter Scott. The feudal tyrant, the venerable ecclesiastic, the forlorn but virtuous damsel, the castle itself with its moats and drawbridge, its gloomy dungeons and solemn corridors, are all derived from a mine of interest which has since been worked more efficiently and to better profit. But to Walpole must be awarded the credit of its discovery and first employment."

Walpole's complete works[7] contain elaborate illustrations and ground plans of Strawberry Hill. Eastlake give a somewhat technical account of its constructive features, its gables, buttresses, finials, lath and plaster parapets, wooden pinnacles and, what its proprietor himself describes as his "lean windows fattened with rich saints." From this I extract only the description of the interior, which was "just what one might expect from a man who possessed a vague admiration for Gothic without the knowledge necessary for a proper adaptation of its features. Ceilings, screens, niches, *etc.*, are all copied, or rather parodied, from existing examples, but with utter disregard for the original purpose of the design. To Lord Orford, Gothic was Gothic, and that sufficed. He would have turned an altar-slab into a hall-table, or made a cupboard of a piscine, with the greatest complacency, if it only served his purpose. Thus we find that in the north bed-chamber, when he wanted a model for his chimney-piece, he thought he could not do better than adopt the form of Bishop Dudley's tomb in Westminster Abbey. He found a pattern for the piers of his garden gate in the choir of Ely Cathedral." The ceiling of the gallery borrowed a design from Henry VII.'s Chapel; the entrance to the same apartment from the north door of St. Alban's; and one side of the room from Archbishop Bouchier's tomb at Canterbury. Eastlake's conclusion is that Walpole's Gothic, "though far from reflecting the beauties of a former age, or anticipating those which were destined to proceed from a re-development of the style, still holds a position in the history of English art which commands our respect, for it served to sustain a cause which had otherwise been well-nigh forsaken."



## Page 131

James Fergusson, in his "History of the Modern Styles of Architecture," says of Walpole's structures: "We now know that these are very indifferent specimens of the true Gothic art, and are at a loss to understand how either their author or his contemporaries could ever fancy that these very queer carvings were actual reproductions of the details of York Minster, or other equally celebrated buildings, from which they were supposed to have been copied." Fergusson adds that the fashion set by Walpole soon found many followers both in church and house architecture, "and it is surprising what a number of castles were built which had nothing castellated about them except a nicked parapet and an occasional window in the form of a cross." That school of bastard Gothic illustrated by the buildings of Batty Langley, and other early restorers of the style, bears an analogy with the imitations of old English poetry in the last century. There was the same prematurity in both, the same defective knowledge, crudity, uncertainty, incorrectness, feebleness of invention, mixture of ancient and modern manners. It was not until the time of Pugin[8] that the details of the medieval building art were well enough understood to enable the architect to work in the spirit of that art, yet not as a servile copyist, but with freedom and originality. Meanwhile, one service that Walpole and his followers did, by reviving public interest in Gothic, was to arrest the process of dilapidation and save the crumbling remains of many a half-ruinous abbey, castle, or baronial hall. Thus, "when about a hundred years since, Rhyddlan Castle, in North Wales, fell into the possession of Dr. Shipley, Dean of St. Asaph, the massive walls had been prescriptively used as stone quarries, to which any neighboring occupier who wanted building materials might resort; and they are honey-combed all round as high as a pick-ax could reach." [9] "Walpole," writes Leslie Stephen, "is almost the first modern Englishman who found out that our old cathedrals were really beautiful. He discovered that a most charming toy might be made of medievalism. Strawberry Hill, with all its gimcracks, its pasteboard battlements and stained-paper carvings, was the lineal ancestor of the new law-courts. The restorers of churches, the manufacturers of stained glass, the modern decorators and architects of all varieties, the Ritualists and the High Church party, should think of him with kindness. . . . That he was quite conscious of the necessity for more serious study, appears in his letters; in one of which, e.g., he proposes a systematic history of Gothic architecture such as has since been often executed." [10] Mr. Stephen adds that Walpole's friend Gray "shared his Gothic tastes, with greatly superior knowledge."

## Page 132

Walpole did not arrive at his Gothicism by the gate of literature. It was merely a specialized development of his tastes as a virtuoso and collector. The museum of curiosities which he got together at Strawberry Hill included not only suits of armor, stained glass, and illuminated missals, but a miscellaneous treasure of china ware, enamels, faience, bronzes, paintings, engravings, books, coins, bric-a-brac, and memorabilia such as Cardinal Wolsey's hat, Queen Elizabeth's glove, and the spur that William III. wore at the Battle of the Boyne. Walpole's romanticism was a thin veneering; underneath it, he was a man of the eighteenth century. His opinions on all subjects were, if not inconsistent, at any rate notoriously whimsical and ill-assorted. Thus in spite of his admiration for Gray and his—temporary—interest in Ossian, Chatterton, and Percy's ballads, he ridiculed Mallet's and Gray's Runic experiments, spoke contemptuously of Spenser, Thomson, and Akenside, compared Dante to "a Methodist parson in bedlam," and pronounced "A Midsummer Night's Dream" "forty times more nonsensical than the worst translation of any Italian opera-books."<sup>[11]</sup> He said that poetry died with Pope, whose measure and manner he employed in his own verses. It has been observed that, in all his correspondence, he makes but a single mention of Froissart's "Chronicle," and that a sneer at Lady Pomfret for translating it.

Accordingly we find, on turning to "The Castle of Otranto," that, just as Walpole's Gothicism was an accidental "sport" from his general virtuosity; so his romanticism was a casual outgrowth of his architectural amusements. Strawberry Hill begat "The Castle of Otranto," whose title is fitly chosen, since it is the castle itself that is the hero of the book. The human characters are naught. "Shall I even confess to you," he writes to the Rev. William Cole (March 9, 1765), "what was the origin of this romance? I waked one morning in the beginning of last June from a dream, of which all I could recover was, that I had thought myself in an ancient castle (a very natural dream for a head filled, like mine, with Gothic story), and that, on the uppermost banister of a great staircase, I saw a gigantic hand in armor. In the evening I sat down and began to write, without knowing in the least what I intended to say or relate. The work grew on my hands. . . In short, I was so engrossed with my tale, which I completed in less than two months, that one evening I wrote from the time I had drunk my tea, about six o'clock, till half an hour after one in the morning."

"The Castle of Otranto, A Gothic Story," was published in 1765.<sup>[12]</sup> According to the title page, it was translated from the original Italian of Onuphrio Muralto—a sort of half-pun on the author's surname—by W. Marshall, Gent. This mystification was kept up in the preface, which pretended that the book had been printed at Naples in black-letter in 1529, and was found in the

## Page 133

library of an old Catholic family in the north of England. In the preface to his second edition Walpole described the work as “an attempt to blend the two kinds of romance, the ancient and the modern”: declared that, in introducing humorous dialogues among the servants of the castle, he had taken nature and Shakspeare for his models; and fell foul of Voltaire for censuring the mixture of buffoonery and solemnity in Shakspeare’s tragedies. Walpole’s claim to having created a new species of romance has been generally allowed. “His initiative in literature,” says Mr. Stephen, “has been as fruitful as his initiative in art. ‘The Castle of Otranto,’ and the ‘Mysterious Mother,’ were the progenitors of Mrs. Radcliffe’s romances, and probably had a strong influence upon the author of ‘Ivanhoe.’ Frowning castles and gloomy monasteries, knights in armor and ladies in distress, and monks, and nuns, and hermits; all the scenery and characters that have peopled the imagination of the romantic school, may be said to have had their origin on the night when Walpole lay down to sleep, his head crammed full of Wardour Street curiosities, and dreamed that he saw a gigantic hand in armor resting on the banisters of his staircase.”

It is impossible at this day to take “The Castle of Otranto” seriously, and hard to explain the respect with which it was once mentioned by writers of authority. Warburton called it “a master-piece in the Fable, and a new species likewise. . . . The scene is laid in Gothic chivalry; where a beautiful imagination, supported by strength of judgment, has enabled the reader to go beyond his subject and effect the full purpose of the ancient tragedy; *i.e.*, to purge the passions by pity and terror, in coloring as great and harmonious as in any of the best dramatic writers.” Byron called Walpole the author of the last tragedy[13] and the first romance in the language. Scott wrote of “The Castle of Otranto”: “This romance has been justly considered, not only as the original and model of a peculiar species of composition attempted and successfully executed by a man of great genius, but as one of the standard works of our lighter literature.” Gray in a letter to Walpole (December 30, 1764), acknowledging the receipt of his copy, says: “It makes some of us cry a little, and all in general afraid to go to bed o’ nights.” Walpole’s masterpiece can no longer make anyone cry even a little; and instead of keeping us out of bed, it sends us there—or would, if it were a trifle longer. For the only thing that is tolerable about the book is its brevity, and a certain rapidity in the action. Macaulay, who confesses its absurdity and insipidity, says that no reader, probably, ever thought it dull. “The story, whatever its value may be, never flags for a single moment. There are no digressions, or unreasonable descriptions, or long speeches. Every sentence carries the action forward. The excitement is constantly renewed.” Excitement is too strong a word to describe any emotion which “The Castle of Otranto” is now capable of arousing. But the same cleverness which makes Walpole’s correspondence always readable saves his romance from the unpardonable sin—in literature—of tediousness. It does go along and may still be read without a too painful effort.

## Page 134

There is nothing very new in the plot, which has all the stock properties of romantic fiction, as common in the days of Sidney's "Arcadia" as in those of Sylvanus Cobb. Alfonso, the former lord of Otranto, had been poisoned in Palestine by his chamberlain Ricardo, who forged a will making himself Alfonso's heir. To make his peace with God, the usurper founded a church and two convents in honor of St. Nicholas, who "appeared to him in a dream and promised that Ricardo's posterity should reign in Otranto until the rightful owner should be grown too large to inhabit the castle." When the story opens, this prophecy is about to be fulfilled. The tyrant Manfred, grandson of the usurper, is on the point of celebrating the marriage of his only son, when the youth is crushed to death by a colossal helmet that drops, from nobody knows where, into the courtyard of the castle. Gigantic armor haunts the castle piecemeal: a monstrous gauntlet is laid upon the banister of the great staircase; a mailed foot appears in one apartment; a sword is brought into the courtyard on the shoulders of a hundred men. And finally the proprietor of these fragmentary apparitions, in "the form of Alfonso, dilated to an immense magnitude," throws down the walls of the castle, pronounces the words "Behold in Theodore the true heir of Alfonso," and with a clap of thunder ascends to heaven. Theodore is, of course, the young peasant, grandson of the crusader by a fair Sicilian secretly espoused *en route* for the Holy Land; and he is identified by the strawberry mark of old romance, in this instance the figure of a bloody arrow impressed upon his shoulder. There are other supernatural portents, such as a skeleton with a cowl and a hollow voice, a portrait which descends from its panel, and a statue that bleeds at the nose.

The novel feature in the "Castle of Otranto" was its Gothic setting; the "wind whistling through the battlements"; the secret trap-door, with iron ring, by which Isabella sought to make her escape. "An awful silence reigned throughout those subterraneous regions, except now and then some blasts of wind that shook the doors she had passed, and which, grating on the rusty hinges, were re-echoed through that long labyrinth of darkness. The wind extinguished her candle, but an imperfect ray of clouded moonshine gleamed through a cranny in the roof of the vault and fell directly on the spring of the trap-door." But Walpole's medievalism was very thin. He took some pains with the description of the feudal cavalcade entering the castle gate with the great sword, but the passage is incorrect and poor in detail compared with similar things in Scott. The book was not an historical romance, and the manners, sentiments, language, all were modern. Walpole knew little about the Middle Ages and was not in touch with their spirit. At bottom he was a trifler, a fribble; and his incurable superficiality, dilettantism, and want of seriousness, made all his real cleverness of no avail when applied to such a subject as "The Castle of Otranto." [14]

## Page 135

Walpole's tragedy, "The Mysterious Mother," has not even that degree of importance which secures his romance a niche in literary history. The subject was too unnatural to admit of stage presentation. Incest, when treated in the manner of Sophocles (Walpole justified himself by the example of "Oedipus"), or even of Ford, or of Shelley, may possibly claim a place among the themes which art is not quite forbidden to touch; but when handled in the prurient and crudely melodramatic fashion of this particular artist, it is merely offensive. "The Mysterious Mother," indeed, is even more absurd than horrible. Gothic machinery is present, but it is of the slightest. The scene of the action is a castle at Narbonne and the *chatelaine* is the heroine of the play. The other characters are knights, friars, orphaned damsels, and feudal retainers; there is mention of cloisters, drawbridges, the Vaudois heretics, and the assassination of Henri III. and Henri IV.; and the author's Whig and Protestant leanings are oddly evidenced in his exposure of priestly intrigues.

"The Castle of Otranto" was not long in finding imitators. One of the first of these was Clara Reeve's "Champion of Virtue" (1777), styled on its title-page "A Gothic Story," and reprinted the following year as "The Old English Baron." Under this latter title it has since gone through thirteen editions, the latest of which, in 1883, gave a portrait of the author. Miss Reeve had previously published (1772) "The Phoenix," a translation of "Argenis," "a romance written in Latin about the beginning of the seventeenth century, by John Barclay, a Scotchman, and supposed to contain an allegorical account of the civil wars of France during the reign of Henry III." [15] "Pray," inquires the author of "The Champion of Virtue" in her address to the reader, "did you ever read a book called, 'The Castle of Otranto'? If you have, you will willingly enter with me into a review of it. But perhaps you have not read it? However, you have heard that it is an attempt to blend together the most attractive and interesting circumstances of the ancient romance and modern novel. . . The conduct of the story is artful and judicious; and the characters are admirably drawn and supported; the diction polished and elegant; yet with all these brilliant advantages, it palls upon the mind. . . The reason is obvious; the machinery is so violent that it destroys the effect it is intended to excite. Had the story been kept within the utmost verge of probability, the effect had been preserved. . . For instance, we can conceive and allow of the appearance of a ghost; we can even dispense with an enchanted sword and helmet, but then they must keep within certain limits of credibility. A sword so large as to require a hundred men to lift it, a helmet that by its own weight forces a passage through a court-yard into an arched vault . . . when your expectation is wound up to the highest pitch, these circumstances take it down with a witness, destroy the work of imagination, and, instead of attention, excite laughter. . . In the course of my observations upon this singular book, it seemed to me that it was possible to compose a work upon the same plan, wherein these defects might be avoided."

## Page 136

Accordingly Miss Reeve undertook to admit only a rather mild dose of the marvelous in her romance. Like Walpole she professed to be simply the editor of the story, which she said that she had transcribed or translated from a manuscript in the Old English language, a now somewhat threadbare device. The period was the fifteenth century, in the reign of Henry VI., and the scene England. But, in spite of the implication of its subtitle, the fiction is much less “Gothic” than its model, and its modernness of sentiment and manners is hardly covered with even the faintest wash of mediaevalism. As in Walpole’s book, there are a murder and a usurpation, a rightful heir defrauded of his inheritance and reared as a peasant. There are a haunted chamber, unearthly midnight groans, a ghost in armor, and a secret closet with its skeleton. The tale is infinitely tiresome, and is full of that edifying morality, fine sentiment and stilted dialogue—that “old perfumed, powdered D’Arblay conversation,” as Thackeray called it—which abound in “Evelina,” “Thaddeus of Warsaw,” and almost all the fiction of the last quarter of the last century. Still it was a little unkind in Walpole to pronounce his disciple’s performance tedious and insipid, as he did.

This same lady published, in 1785, a work in two volumes entitled “The Progress of Romance,” a sort of symposium on the history of fiction in a series of evening conversations. Her purpose was to claim for the prose romance an honorable place in literature; a place beside the verse epic. She discusses the definitions of romance given in the current dictionaries, such as Ainsworth’s and Littleton’s *Narratio ficta—Scriptum eroticum—Splendida fabula*; and Johnson’s “A military fable of the Middle Ages—A tale of wild adventures of war and love.” She herself defines it as “An heroic fable,” or “An epic in prose.” She affirms that Homer is the father of romance and thinks it astonishing that men of sense “should despise and ridicule romances, as the most contemptible of all kinds of writing, and yet expatiate in raptures on the beauties of the fables of the old classic poets—on stories far more wild and extravagant and infinitely more incredible.” After reviewing the Greek romances, like Heliodorus’ “Theagenes and Chariclea,” she passes on to the chivalry tales of the Middle Ages, which, she maintains, “were by no means so contemptible as they have been represented by later writers.” Our poetry, she thinks, owes more than is imagined to the spirit of romance. “Chaucer and all our old writers abound with it. Spenser owes perhaps his immortality to it; it is the Gothic imagery that gives the principal graces to his work. . . . Spenser has made more poets than any other writer of our country.” Milton, too, had a hankering after the romances; and Cervantes, though he laughed Spain’s chivalry away, loved the thing he laughed at and preferred his serious romance “Persiles and Sigismonda” to all his other works.



## Page 137

She gives a list, with conjectural dates, of many medieval romances in French and English, verse and prose; but the greater part of the book is occupied with contemporary fiction, the novels of Richardson, Fielding, Smollett, Crebillon, Marivaux, Rousseau, *etc.* She commends Thomas Leland's historical romance "Longsword, Earl of Salisbury" (1762), as "a romance in reality, and not a novel:—a story like those of the Middle Ages, composed of chivalry, love, and religion." To her second volume she appended the "History of Charoba, Queen of Egypt," englished from the French of Vattier, professor of Arabic to Louis XIV., who had translated it from a history of ancient Egypt written in Arabic. This was the source of Landor's poem, "Gebir." When Landor was in Wales in 1797, Rose Aylmer—

"Rose Aylmer, whom these wakeful eyes,  
May weep but never see"—

lent him a copy of Miss Reeve's "Progress of Romance," borrowed from a circulating library at Swansea. And so the poor forgotten thing retains a vicarious immortality, as the prompter of some of the noblest passages in modern English blank verse and as associated with one of the tenderest passages in Landor's life.

Miss Reeve quotes frequently from Percy's "Essay on the Ancient Minstrels," mentions Ossian and Chatterton and refers to Hurd, Warton, and other authorities. "It was not till I had completed my design," she writes in her preface, "that I read either Dr. Beattie's 'Dissertation on Fable and Romance' or Mr. Warton's 'History of English Poetry.'" The former of these was an essay of somewhat more than a hundred pages by the author of "The Minstrel." It is of no great importance and follows pretty closely the lines of Hurd's "Letters on Chivalry and Romance," to which Beattie repeatedly refers in his footnotes. The author pursues the beaten track in inquiries of the kind: discusses the character of the Gothic tribes, the nature of the feudal system, and the institutions of chivalry and knight-errantry. Romance, it seems, was "one of the consequences of chivalry. The first writers in this way exhibited a species of fable different from all that had hitherto appeared. They undertook to describe the adventures of those heroes who professed knight-errantry. The world was then ignorant and credulous and passionately fond of wonderful adventures and deeds of valor. They believed in giants, dwarfs, dragons, enchanted castles, and every imaginable species of necromancy. These form the materials of the old romance. The knight-errant was described as courteous, religious, valiant, adventurous, and temperate. Some enchanters befriended and others opposed him. To do his mistress honor, and to prove himself worthy of her, he was made to encounter the warrior, hew down the giant, cut the dragon in pieces, break the spell of the necromancer, demolish the enchanted castle, fly through the air on wooden or winged horses, or, with some magician for his guide, to descend unhurt through the opening earth and traverse the caves in the bottom of the ocean. He detected and punished the false knight, overthrew or converted the infidel, restored the exiled monarch to his dominions and the captive damsel to her parents; he fought at the tournament, feasted in the hall, and bore a part in the warlike processions."

## Page 138

There is nothing very startling in these conclusions. Scholars like Percy, Tyrwhitt, and Ritson, who, as collectors and editors, rescued the fragments of ancient minstrelsy and gave the public access to concrete specimens of mediaeval poetry, performed a more useful service than mild clerical essayists, such as Beattie and Hurd, who amused their leisure with general speculations about the origin of romance and whether it came in the first instance from the troubadours or the Saracens or the Norsemen. One more passage, however, may be transcribed from Beattie's "Dissertation," because it seems clearly a suggestion from "The Castle of Otranto." "The castles of the greater barons, reared in a rude but grand style of architecture, full of dark and winding passages, of secret apartments, of long uninhabited galleries, and of chambers supposed to be haunted with spirits, and undermined by subterraneous labyrinths as places of retreat in extreme danger; the howling of winds through the crevices of old walls and other dreary vacuities; the grating of heavy doors on rusty hinges of iron; the shrieking of bats and the screaming of owls and other creatures that resort to desolate or half-inhabited buildings; these and the like circumstances in the domestic life of the people I speak of, would multiply their superstitions and increase their credulity; and among warriors who set all danger at defiance, would encourage a passion for wild adventure and difficult enterprise."

One of the books reviewed by Miss Reeve is worth mentioning, not for its intrinsic importance, but for its early date. "Longsword, Earl of Salisbury, An Historical Romance," in two volumes, and published two years before "The Castle of Otranto," is probably the first fiction of the kind in English literature. Its author was Thomas Leland, an Irish historian and doctor of divinity.[16] "The outlines of the following story," begins the advertisement, "and some of the incidents and more minute circumstances, are to be found in some of the ancient English histories." The period of the action is the reign of Henry III. The king is introduced in person, and when we hear him swearing "by my Halidome," we rub our eyes and ask, "Can this be Scott?" But we are soon disabused, for the romance, in spite of the words of the advertisement, is very little historical, and the fashion of it is thinly wordy and sentimental. The hero is the son of Henry II. and Fair Rosamond, but his speech is Grandisonian. The adventures are of the usual kind: the *dramatis personae* include gallant knights who go a-tilting with their ladies' gloves upon their casques, usurpers, villains, pirates, a wicked monk who tries to poison the hero, an oppressed countess, a distressed damsel disguised as a page, a hermit who has a cave in a mountain side, etc. The Gothic properties are few; though the frontispiece to the first volume represents a cowed monk raising from the ground the



## Page 139

figure of a swooning knight in complete armor, in front of an abbey church with an image of the Virgin and Child sculptured in a niche above the door; and the building is thus described in the text: "Its windows crowded with the foliage of their ornaments, and dimmed by the hand of the painter; its numerous spires towering above the roof, and the Christian ensign on its front, declared it a residence of devotion and charity." An episode in the story narrates the death of a father by the hand of his son in the Barons' War of Henry III. But no farther advantage is taken of the historic background afforded by this civil conflict, nor is Simon de Montfort so much as named in the whole course of the book.

Clara Reeve was the daughter of a clergyman. She lived and died at Ipswich (1725-1803). Walter Scott contributed a memoir of her to "Ballantyne's Novelists' Library," in which he defended Walpole's frank use of the supernatural against her criticisms, quoted above, and gave the preference to Walpole's method.[17] She acknowledged that her romance was a "literary descendant of 'Otranto';" but the author of the latter, evidently nettled by her strictures, described "The Old English Baron," as "Otranto reduced to reason and probability," and declared that any murder trial at the Old Bailey would have made a more interesting story. Such as it is, it bridges the interval between its model and the novels of Mrs. Radcliffe, Lewis' "Monk" (1795), and Maturin's "Fatal Revenge, or the Family of Montorio" (1807).[18]

Anne Radcliffe—born Ward in 1764, the year of "Otranto"—was the wife of an editor, who was necessarily absent from home much of the time until late at night. A large part of her writing was done to amuse her loneliness in the still hours of evening; and the wildness of her imagination, and the romantic love of night and solitude which pervades her books, are sometimes accounted for in this way. In 1809 it was currently reported and believed that Mrs. Radcliffe was dead. Another form of the rumor was that she had been insane by continually poring over visions of horror and mystery. Neither report was true; she lived till 1823, in full possession of her faculties, although she published nothing after 1797. The circulation of such stories shows how retired, and even obscure, a life this very popular writer contrived to lead.

It would be tedious to give here an analysis of these once famous fictions *seriatim*. [19] They were very long, very much alike, and very much overloaded with sentiment and description. The plots were complicated and abounded in the wildest improbabilities and in those incidents which were once the commonplaces of romantic fiction and which realism has now turned out of doors: concealments, assassinations, duels, disguises, kidnappings, escapes, elopements, intrigues, forged documents, discoveries of old crimes, and identifications of lost heirs. The characters, too, were of

## Page 140

the conventional kind. There were dark-browed, crime-stained villains—forerunners, perhaps of Manfred and Lara, for the critics think that Mrs. Radcliffe's stories were not without important influence on Byron.[20] There were high-born, penitent dames who retired to convents in expiation of sins which are not explained until the general unraveling of clues in the final chapter. There were bravoos, banditti, feudal tyrants, monks, inquisitors, soubrettes, and simple domestics *a la Bianca*, in Walpole's romance. The lover was of the type adored by our great-grandmothers, handsome, melancholy, passionate, respectful but desperate, a user of most choice English; with large black eyes, smooth white forehead, and jetty curls, now sunk, Mr. Perry says, to the covers of prune boxes. The heroine, too, was sensitive and melancholy. When alone upon the seashore or in the mountains, at sunset or twilight, or under the midnight moon, or when the wind is blowing, she overflows into stanza or sonnet, "To Autumn," "To Sunset," "To the Bat," "To the Nightingale," "To the Winds," "To Melancholy," "Song of the Evening Hour." We have heard this pensive music drawing near in the strains of the Miltonic school, but in Mrs. Radcliffe the romantic gloom is profound and all-pervading. In what pastures she had fed is manifest from the verse captions that head her chapters, taken mainly from Blair, Thomson, Warton, Gray, Collins, Beattie, Mason, and Walpole's "Mysterious Mother." Here are a few stanzas from her ode "To Melancholy":

"Spirit of love and sorrow, hail!  
Thy solemn voice from far I hear,  
Mingling with evening's dying gale:  
Hail, with thy sadly pleasing tear!

"O at this still, this lonely hour—  
Thine own sweet hour of closing day—  
Awake thy lute, whose charming power  
Shall call up fancy to obey:

"To paint the wild, romantic dream  
That meets the poet's closing eye,  
As on the bank of shadowy stream  
He breathes to her the fervid sigh.

"O lonely spirit, let thy song  
Lead me through all thy sacred haunt,  
The minster's moonlight aisles along  
Where specters raise the midnight chant."

In Mrs. Radcliffe's romances we find a tone that is absent from Walpole's: romanticism plus sentimentalism. This last element had begun to infuse itself into general literature about the middle of the century, as a protest and reaction against the emotional

coldness of the classical age. It announced itself in Richardson, Rousseau, and the youthful Goethe; in the *comédie larmoyante*, both French and English; found its cleverest expression in Sterne, and then, becoming a universal vogue, deluged fiction with productions like Mackenzie's "Man of Feeling," Miss Burney's "Evelina," and the novels of Jane Porter and Mrs. Opie. Thackeray said that there was more crying in "Thaddeus

## Page 141

of Warsaw” than in any novel he ever remembered to have read.[21] Emily, in the “Mysteries of Udolpho” cannot see the moon, or hear a guitar or an organ or the murmur of the pines, without weeping. Every page is bedewed with the tear of sensibility; the whole volume is damp with it, and ever and anon a chorus of sobs goes up from the entire company. Mrs. Radcliffe’s heroines are all descendents of Pamela and Clarissa Harlowe, but under more romantic circumstances. They are beset with a thousand difficulties; carried off by masked ruffians, immured in convents, held captive in robber castles, encompassed with horrors natural and supernatural, persecuted, threatened with murder and with rape. But though perpetually sighing, blushing, trembling, weeping, fainting, they have at bottom a kind of toughness that endures through all. They rebuke the wicked in stately language, full of noble sentiments and moral truths. They preserve the most delicate feelings of propriety in situations the most discouraging. Emily, imprisoned in the gloomy castle of Udolpho, in the power of ruffians whose brawls and orgies fill night and day with horror, in hourly fear for her virtue and her life, sends for the lord of the castle,—whom she believes to have murdered her aunt,—and reminds him that, as her protectress is now dead, it would not be proper for her to stay any longer under his roof thus unchaperoned, and will he please, therefore, send her home?

Mrs. Radcliffe’s fictions are romantic, but not usually mediaeval in subject. In the “Mysteries of Udolpho,” the period of the action is the end of the sixteenth century; in the “Romance of the Forest,” 1658; in “The Italian,” about 1760. But her machinery is prevailingly Gothic and the real hero of the story is commonly, as in Walpole, some haunted building. In the “Mysteries of Udolpho” it is a castle in the Apennines; in the “Romance of the Forest,” a deserted abbey in the depth of the woods; in “The Italian,” the cloister of the Black Penitents. The moldering battlements, the worm-eaten tapestries, the turret staircases, secret chambers, underground passages, long, dark corridors where the wind howls dismally, and distant doors which slam at midnight all derive from “Otranto.” So do the supernatural fears which haunt these abodes of desolation; the strains of mysterious music, the apparitions which glide through the shadowy apartments, the hollow voices that warn the tyrant to beware. But her method here is quite different from Walpole’s; she tacks a natural explanation to every unearthly sight or sound. The hollow voices turn out to be ventriloquism; the figure of a putrefying corpse which Emily sees behind the black curtain in the ghost chamber at Udolpho is only a wax figure, contrived as a *memento mori* for a former penitent. After the reader has once learned this trick he refuses to be imposed upon again, and, whenever he encounters a spirit, feels sure that a future chapter will embody it back into flesh and blood.

## Page 142

There is plenty of testimony to the popularity of these romances. Thackeray says that a lady of his acquaintance, an inveterate novel reader, names Valancourt as one of the favorite heroes of her youth. “Valancourt? And who was he?’ cry the young people. Valancourt, my dears, was the hero of one of the most famous romances which ever was published in this country. The beauty and elegance of Valancourt made your young grandmamma’s gentle hearts to beat with respectful sympathy. He and his glory have passed away. . . Enquire at Mudie’s or the London Library, who asks for the ‘Mysteries of Udolpho’ now.”[22] Hazlitt said that he owed to Mrs. Radcliffe his love of moonlight nights, autumn leaves and decaying ruins. It was, indeed, in the melodramatic manipulation of landscape that this artist was most original. “The scenes that savage Rosa dashed” seemed to have been her model, and critics who were fond of analogy called her the Salvator Rosa of fiction. It is here that her influence on Byron and Chateaubriand is most apparent.[23] Mrs. Radcliffe’s scenery is not quite to our modern taste, any more than are Salvator’s paintings. Her Venice by moonlight, her mountain gorges with their black pines and foaming torrents, are not precisely the Venice and the Alps of Ruskin; rather of the operatic stage. Still they are impressive in their way, and in this department she possessed genuine poetic feels and a real mastery of the art of painting in distemper. Witness the picture of the castle of Udolpho, on Emily’s first sight of it, and the hardly less striking description, in the “Romance of the Forest,” of the ruined abbey in which the La Motte family take refuge: “He approached and perceived the Gothic remains of an abbey: it stood on a kind of rude lawn, overshadowed by high and spreading trees, which seemed coeval with the building, and diffused a romantic gloom around. The greater part of the pile appeared to be sinking into ruins, and that which had withstood the ravages of time showed the remaining features of the fabric more awful in decay. The lofty battlements, thickly enwreathed with ivy, were half demolished and become the residence of birds of prey. Huge fragments of the eastern tower, which was almost demolished, lay scattered amid the high grass, that waved slowly in the breeze. ‘The thistle shook its lonely head: the moss whistled to the wind.’[24] A Gothic gate, richly ornamented with fretwork, which opened into the main body of the edifice, but which was now obstructed with brushwood, remained entire. Above the vast and magnificent portal of this gate arose a window of the same order, whose pointed arches still exhibited fragments of stained glass, once the pride of monkish devotion. La Motte, thinking it possible it might yet shelter some human being, advanced to the gate and lifted a massy knocker. The hollow sounds rung through the emptiness of the place. After waiting a few minutes, he forced back the gate, which was heavy with iron-work, and creaked harshly on its hinges. . . From this chapel he passed into the nave of the great church, of which one window, more perfect than the rest, opened upon a long vista of the forest, through which was seen the rich coloring of evening, melting by imperceptible gradations into the solemn gray of upper air.”

## Page 143

Mrs. Radcliffe never was in Italy or Switzerland or the south of France; she divined the scenery of her romances from pictures and descriptions at second hand. But she accompanied her husband in excursions to the Lakes and other parts of England, and in 1794 made the tour of the Rhine.[25] The passages in her diary, recording these travels, are much superior in the truthfulness and local color of their nature sketching to anything in her novels. Mrs. Radcliffe is furthermore to be credited with a certain skill in producing terror, by the use of that favorite weapon in the armory of the romanticists, mystery. If she did not invent a new shudder, as Hugo said of Baudelaire, she gave at least a new turn to the old-fashioned ghost story. She creates in her readers a feeling of impending danger, suspense, foreboding. There is a sense of unearthly presences in these vast, empty rooms; the silence itself is ominous; echoes sound like footfalls, ghostly shadows lurk in dark corners, whispers come from behind the arras, as it stirs in the gusts of wind.[26] The heroine is afraid to look in the glass lest she should see another face there beside her own; her lamp expires and leaves her in the dark just as she is coming to the critical point in the manuscript which she has found in an old chest, *etc.*, *etc.*, But the tale loses its impressiveness as soon as it strays beyond the shade of the battlements. The Gothic castle or priory is still, as in Walpole, the nucleus of the story.

Two of these romances, the earliest and the latest, though they are the weakest of the series, have a special interest for us as affording points of comparison with the Waverly novels. "The Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne" is the narrative of a feud between two Highland clans, and its scene is the northeastern coast of Scotland, "in the most romantic part of the Highlands," where the castle of Athlin—like Uhland's "Schloss am Meer"—stood "on the summit of a rock whose base was in the sea." This was a fine place for storms. "The winds burst in sudden squalls over the deep and dashed the foaming waves against the rocks with inconceivable fury. The spray, notwithstanding the high situation of the castle, flew up with violence against the windows. . . The moon shone faintly by intervals, through broken clouds, upon the waters, illumining the white foam which burst around. . . The surges broke on the distant shores in deep resounding murmurs, and the solemn pauses between the stormy gusts filled the mind with enthusiastic awe." Perhaps the description slightly reminds of the picture, in "Marmion," of Tantallon Castle, the hold of the Red Douglasses on the German Ocean, a little north of Berwick, whose frowning towers have recently done duty again in Stevenson's "David Balfour." The period of the action is but vaguely indicated; but, as the weapons used in the attack on the castle are bows and arrows, we may regard the book as mediaeval in intention. Scott says

## Page 144

that the scene of the romance was Scotland in the dark ages, and complains that the author evidently knew nothing of Scottish life or scenery. This is true; her castles might have stood anywhere. There is no mention of the pipes or the plaid. Her rival chiefs are not Gaelic caterans, but just plain feudal lords. Her baron of Dunbayne is like any other baron; or rather, he is unlike any baron that ever was on sea or land or anywhere else except in the pages of a Gothic romance.

“Gaston de Blondville” was begun in 1802 and published posthumously in 1826, edited by Sergeant Talfourd. Its inspiring cause was a visit which the author made in the autumn of 1802 to Warwick Castle and the ruins of Kenilworth. The introduction has the usual fiction of an old manuscript found in an oaken chest dug up from the foundation of a chapel of Black Canons at Kenilworth: a manuscript richly illuminated with designs at the head of each chapter—which are all duly described—and containing a “trew chronique of what passed at Killingworth, in Ardenn, when our Soveren Lord the Kyng kept ther his Fest of Seynt Michel: with ye marveyulous accident that there befell at the solemprissacion of the marriage of Gaston de Blondeville. With divers things curious to be known thereunto purtayning. With an account of the grete Turney there held in the year MCCLVI. Changed out of the Norman tongue by Grymbald, Monk of Sencst Marie Priori in Killingworth.” Chatterton’s forgeries had by this time familiarized the public with imitations of early English. The finder of this manuscript pretends to publish a modernized version of it, while endeavoring “to preserve somewhat of the air of the old style.” This he does by a poor reproduction, not of thirteenth-century, but of sixteenth-century English, consisting chiefly in inversions of phrase and the occasional use of a *certes* or *naithless*. Two words in particular seem to have struck Mrs. Radcliffe as most excellent archaisms: *ychon* and *his-self*, which she introduces at every turn.

“Gaston de Blondville,” then, is a tale of the time of Henry III. The king himself is a leading figure and so is Prince Edward. Other historical personages are brought in, such as Simon de Montfort and Marie de France, but little use is made of them. The book is not indeed, in any sense, an historical novel like Scott’s “Kenilworth,” the scene of which is the same, and which was published in 1821, five years before Mrs. Radcliffe’s. The story is entirely fictitious. What differences it from her other romances is the conscious attempt to portray feudal manners. There are elaborate descriptions of costumes, upholstery, architecture, heraldic bearings, ancient military array, a tournament, a royal hunt, a feast in the great hall at Kenilworth, a visit of state to Warwick Castle, and the session of a baronial court. The ceremony of the “voide,” when the king took his spiced cup, is rehearsed with



## Page 145

a painful accumulation of particulars. For all this she consulted Leland's "Collectanea," Warton's "History of English Poetry," the "Household Book of Edward IV.," Pegge's "Dissertation on the Obsolete Office of Esquire of the King's Body," the publications of the Society of Antiquaries and similar authorities, with results that are infinitely tedious. Walter Scott's archaeology is not always correct, nor his learning always lightly borne; but, upon the whole, he had the art to make his cumbrous materials contributory to his story rather than obstructive of it.

In these two novels we meet again all the familiar apparatus of secret trap-doors, sliding panels, spiral staircases in the thickness of the walls, subterranean vaults conducting to a neighboring priory or a cavern in the forest, ranges of deserted apartments where the moon looks in through mullioned casements, ruinous turrets around which the night winds moan and howl. Here, too, once more are the wicked uncle who seizes upon the estates of his deceased brother's wife, and keeps her and her daughter shut up in his dungeon for the somewhat long period of eighteen years; the heroine who touches her lute and sings in pensive mood, till the notes steal to the ear of the young earl imprisoned in the adjacent tower; the maiden who is carried off on horseback by bandits, till her shrieks bring ready aid; the peasant lad who turns out to be the baron's heir. "His surprise was great when the baroness, reviving, fixed her eyes mournfully upon him and asked him to uncover his arm." Alas! the surprise is not shared by the reader, when "I have indeed found my long-lost child: that strawberry," [27] *etc., etc.* "Gaston de Blondville" has a ghost—not explained away in the end according to Mrs. Radcliffe's custom. It is the spirit of Reginald de Folville, Knight Hospitaller of St. John, murdered in the Forest of Arden by Gaston de Blondville and the prior of St. Mary's. He is a most robust apparition, and is by no means content with revisiting the glimpses of the moon, but goes in and out at all hours of the day, and so often as to become somewhat of a bore. He ultimately destroys both first and second murderer: one in his cell, the other in open tournament, where his exploits as a mysterious knight in black armor may have given Scott a hint for his black knight at the lists of Ashby-de-la-Zouche in "Ivanhoe" (1819). His final appearance is in the chamber of the king, with whom he holds quite a long conversation. "The worm is my sister," he says: "the mist of death is on me. My bed is in darkness. The prisoner is innocent. The prior of St. Mary's is gone to his account. Be warned." It is not explained why Mrs. Radcliffe refrained from publishing this last romance of hers. Perhaps she recognized that it was belated and that the time for that sort of thing had gone by. By 1802 Lewis' "Monk" was in print, as well as several translations from German romances; Scott's early ballads were



## Page 146

out, and Coleridge's "Ancient Mariner." That very year saw the publication of the "Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border." By 1826 the Waverley novels had made all previous fiction of the Gothic type hopelessly obsolete. In 1834 two volumes of her poems were given to the world, including a verse romance in eight cantos, "St. Alban's Abbey," and the verses scattered through her novels. By this time Scott and Coleridge were dead; Byron, Shelley, and Keats had been dead for years, and Mrs. Radcliffe's poesies fell upon the unheeding ears of a new generation. A sneer in "Waverley" (1814) at the "Mysteries of Udolpho" had hurt her feelings;<sup>[28]</sup> but Scott made amends in the handsome things which he said of her in his "Lives of the Novelists." It is interesting to note that when the "Mysteries" was issued, the venerable Joseph Warton was so much entranced that he sat up the greater part of the night to finish it.

The warfare between realism and romance, which went on in the days of Cervantes, as it does in the days of Zola and Howells, had its skirmished also in Mrs. Radcliffe's time. Jane Austen's "Northanger Abbey," written in 1803 but published only in 1817, is gently satirical of Gothic fiction. The heroine is devoted to the "Mysteries of Udolpho," which she discusses with her bosom friend. "While I have 'Udolpho' to read, I feel as if nobody could make me miserable. O the dreadful black veil! My dear Isabella, I am sure there must be Laurentina's skeleton behind it."

"When you have finished 'Udolpho,'" replies Isabella, "we will read 'The Italian' together; and I have made out a list of ten or twelve more of the same kind for you. . . I will read you their names directly. Here they are in my pocket-book. 'Castle of Wolfenbach,' 'Clermont,' 'Mysterious Warnings,' 'Necromancer of the Black Forest,' 'Midnight Bell,' 'Orphan of the Rhine,' and 'Horrid Mysteries.'"

When introduced to her friend's brother, Miss Morland asks him at once, "Have you ever read 'Udolpho,' Mr. Thorpe?" But Mr. Thorpe, who is not a literary man, but much given to dogs and horses, assures her that he never reads novels; they are "full of nonsense and stuff; there has not been a tolerably decent one come out since 'Tom Jones,' except the 'Monk.'" The scenery about Bath reminds Miss Morland of the south of France and "the country that Emily and her father traveled through in the 'Mysteries of Udolpho.'" She is enchanted at the prospect of a drive to Blaize Castle, where she hopes to have "the happiness of being stopped in their way along narrow, winding vaults by a low, grated door; or even of having their lamp—their only lamp—extinguished by a sudden gust of wind and of being left in total darkness." She visits her friends, the Tilneys, at their country seat, Northanger Abbey, in Gloucestershire; and, on the way thither, young Mr. Tilney teases her with a fancy sketch of the Gothic horrors which she will unearth there: the "sliding panels and

## Page 147

tapestry"; the remote and gloomy guest chamber, which will be assigned her, with its ponderous chest and its portrait of a knight in armor: the secret door, with massy bars and padlocks, that she will discover behind the arras, leading to a "small vaulted room," and eventually to a "subterraneous communication between your apartment and the chapel of St. Anthony scarcely two miles off." Arrived at the abbey, she is disappointed at the modern appearance of her room, but contrives to find a secret drawer in an ancient ebony cabinet, and in this a roll of yellow manuscript which, on being deciphered, proves to be a washing bill. She is convinced, notwithstanding, that a mysterious door at the end of a certain gallery conducts to a series of isolated chambers where General Tilney, who is supposed to be a widower, is keeping his unhappy wife immured and fed on bread and water. When she finally gains admission to this Bluebeard's chamber and finds it nothing but a suite of modern rooms, "the visions of romance were over. . . . Charming as were all Mrs. Radcliffe's works, and charming even as were the works of all her imitators, it was not in them, perhaps, that human nature, at least in the midland counties of England was to be looked for."

[1] But compare the passage last quoted with the one from Warton's essay *ante*, p. 219.

[2] See *ante*, p. 49.

[3] *Spectator*, No. 62.

[4] See *ante*, p. 211.

[5] "Works of Richard Owen Cambridge," pp. 198-99. Cambridge was one of the Spenserian imitators. See *ante*, p. 89, *note*. In Lady Luxborough's correspondence with Shenstone there is much mention of a Mr. Miller, a neighboring proprietor, who was devoted to Gothic. On the appearance of "The Scribleriad," she writes (January 28, 1751), "I imagine this poem is not calculated to please Mr. Miller and the rest of the Gothic gentlemen; for this Mr. Cambridge expresses a dislike to the introducing or reviving tastes and fashions that are inferior to the modern taste of our country."

[6] "History of the Gothic Revival," p. 43.

[7] "Works of Horace Walpole, Earl of Orford," in five volumes, 1798. "A Description of Strawberry Hill," Vol. II. pp. 395-516.

[8] Pugin's "True Principles of Gothic Architecture" was published in 1841.

[9] "Sketches of Eminent Statesmen and Writers," A. Hayward (1880). In a note to "Marmion" (1808) Scott said that the ruins of Crichton Castle, remarkable for the richness and elegance of its stone carvings, were then used as a cattle-pen and a sheep-fold.

[10] "Hours in a Library," Second Series: article, "Horace Walpole."

[11] Letter to Bentley, February 23, 1755.

[12] Five hundred copies, says Walpole, were struck off December 24, 1764.

[13] "The Mysterious Mother," begun 1766, finished 1768.

[14] "The Castle of Otranto" was dramatized by Robert Jephson, under the title "The Count of Narbonne," put on at Covent Garden Theater in 1781, and afterward printed, with a dedication to Walpole.

## Page 148

[15] James Beattie, "Dissertation on Fable and Romance." "Argenius," was printed in 1621.

[16] "The Dictionary of National Biography" miscalls it "Earl of Canterbury," and attributes it, though with a query, to *John* Leland.

[17] See also, for a notice of this writer, Julia Kavanagh's "English Women of Letters."

[18] Maturin's "Melmoth the Wanderer" (1820) had some influence on the French romantic school and was utilized, in some particulars, by Balzac.

[19] Following is a list of Mrs. Radcliffe's romances: "The Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne" (1789); "Sicilian Romance" (1790); "Romance of the Forest" (1791); "Mysteries of Udolpho" (1794); "The Italian" (1797); "Gaston de Blondville" (1826). Collections of her poems were published in 1816, 1834, and 1845.

[20] See "Childe Harold," canto iv, xviii.

[21] "Roundabout Papers," "A Peal of Bells." "Monk" Lewis wrote at sixteen a burlesque novel, "Effusions of Sensibility," which remained in MS.

[22] "O Radcliffe, thou once wert the charmer  
Of girls who sat reading all night:  
They heroes were striplings in armor,  
Thy heroines, damsels in white."  
—*Songs, Ballads and Other Poems*.

By Thos. Haynes Bayly, London, 1857, p. 141.

"A novel now is nothing more  
Than an old castle and a creaking door,  
A distant hovel,  
Clanking of chains, a gallery, a light,  
Old armor and a phantom all in white,  
And there's a novel."  
—*George Colman, "The Will."*

[23] Several of her romances were dramatized and translated into French. It is curious, by the way, to find that Goethe was not unaware of Walpole's story. See his quatrain "Die Burg von Otranto," first printed in 1837.

"Sind die Zimmer saemmtlich besetzt der Burg von Otranto:  
Kommt, voll innigen Grimmes, der erste Riesenbesitzer  
Stuckweis an, and verdraengt die neuen falschen Bewohner.  
Wehe! den Fliehenden, weh! den Bleibenden also geschieht es."

[24] Ossian.

[25] See her “Journey through Holland,” *etc.* (1795)

[26] *cf.* Keats, “The Eve of Saint Agnes”:

“The arras rich with hunt and horse and hound  
Flattered in the besieging wind’s uproar,  
And the long carpets rose along the gusty floor.”

[27] “Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne.”

[28] See Julia Kavanagh’s “English Women of Letters.”

## **CHAPTER VIII.**

Percy and the Ballads.

## Page 149

The regeneration of English poetic style at the close of the last century came from an unexpected quarter. What scholars and professional men of letters had sought to do by their imitations of Spenser and Milton, and their domestication of the Gothic and the Celtic muse, was much more effectually done by Percy and the ballad collectors. What they had sought to do was to recall British poetry to the walks of imagination and to older and better models than Dryden and Pope. But they could not jump off their own shadows: the eighteenth century was too much for them. While they anxiously cultivated wildness and simplicity, their diction remained polished, literary, academic to a degree. It is not, indeed, until we reach the boundaries of a new century that we encounter a Gulf Stream of emotional, creative impulse strong enough and hot enough to thaw the classical icebergs till not a floating spiculum of them is left.

Meanwhile, however, there occurred a revivifying contact with one department, at least, of early verse literature, which did much to clear the way for Scott and Coleridge and Keats. The decade from 1760 to 1770 is important in the history of English romanticism, and its most important title is Thomas Percy's "Reliques of Ancient English Poetry: Consisting of Old Heroic Ballads, Songs, and Other Pieces of our Earlier Poets," published in three volumes in 1765. It made a less immediate and exciting impression upon contemporary Europe than MacPherson's "Poems of Ossian," but it was more fruitful in enduring results. The Germans make a convenient classification of poetry into *Kunstpoesie* and *Volkspoesie*, terms which may be imperfectly translated as literary poetry and popular poetry. The English *Kunstpoesie* of the Middle Ages lay buried under many superincumbent layers of literary fashion. Oblivion had overtaken Gower and Occleve, and Lydgate and Stephen Hawes, and Skelton, and Henryson and James I. of Scotland, and well-nigh Chaucer himself—all the mediaeval poetry of the schools, in short. But it was known to the curious that there was still extant a large body of popular poetry in the shape of narrative ballads, which had been handed down chiefly by oral transmission, and still lived in the memories and upon the lips of the common people. Many of these went back in their original shapes to the Middle Ages, or to an even remoter antiquity, and belonged to that great store of folk-lore which was the common inheritance of the Aryan race. Analogues and variants of favorite English and Scottish ballads have been traced through almost all the tongues of modern Europe. Danish literature is especially rich in ballads and affords valuable illustrations of our native minstrelsy.[1] It was, perhaps, due in part to the Danish settlements in Northumbria and to the large Scandinavian admixture in the Northumbrian blood and dialect, that "the north countrie" became *par excellence* the ballad land: Lowland Scotland—particularly

## Page 150

the Lothians—and the English bordering counties, Northumberland, Westmoreland, and Cumberland; with Yorkshire and Nottinghamshire, in which were Barndale and Sherwood Forests, Robin Hood's haunts. It is not possible to assign exact dates to these songs. They were seldom reduced to writing till many years after they were composed. In the Middle Ages they were sung to the harp by wandering minstrels. In later times they were chanted or recited by ballad-singers at fairs, markets, ale-houses, street-corners, sometimes to the accompaniment of a fiddle or crowd. They were learned by ancient dames, who repeated them in chimney corners to children and grandchildren. In this way some of them were preserved in an unwritten state, even to the present day, in the tenacious memory of the people, always at bottom conservative and, under a hundred changes of fashion in the literary poetry which passes over their heads, clinging obstinately to old songs and beliefs learned in childhood, and handing them on to posterity. Walter Scott got much of the material for his "Ministrelsy of the Border" from the oral recitation of pipers, shepherds, and old women in Ettrick Forest. Professor Child's—the latest and fullest ballad collection—contains pieces never before given in print or manuscript, some of them obtained in America![2]

Leading this subterranean existence, and generally thought unworthy the notice of educated people, they naturally underwent repeated changes; so that we have numerous versions of the same story, and incidents, descriptions, and entire stanzas are borrowed and lent freely among the different ballads. The circumstance, *e.g.*, of the birk and the briar springing from the graves of true lovers and intertwisting their branches occurs in the ballads of "Fair Margaret and Sweet William," "Lord Thomas and Fair Annet," "Lord Lovel," "Fair Janet," and many others. The knight who was carried to fairyland through an entrance in a green hillside, and abode seven years with the queen of fairy, recurs in "Tam Lin," "Thomas Rymer,"[3] *etc.* Like all folk-songs, these ballads are anonymous and may be regarded not as the composition of any one poet, but as the property, and in a sense the work, of the people as a whole. Coming out of an uncertain past, based on some dark legend of heart-break or blood-shed, they bear no author's name, but are *ferae naturae* and have the flavor of wild game. They were common stock, like the national speech; everyone could contribute toward them: generations of nameless poets, minstrels, ballad-singers modernized their language to suit new times, altered their dialect to suit new places, accommodated their details to different audiences, English or Scotch, and in every way that they thought fit added, retrenched, corrupted, improved, and passed them on.

## Page 151

Folk-poetry is conventional; it seems to be the production of a guild, and to have certain well understood and commonly expected tricks of style and verse. Freshness and sincerity are almost always attributes of the poetry of heroic ages, but individuality belongs to a high civilization and an advanced literary culture. Whether the “Iliad” and the “Odyssey” are the work of one poet or of a cycle of poets, doubtless the rhetorical peculiarities of the Homeric epics, such as the recurrent phrase and the conventional epithet (the rosy-fingered dawn, the well-greaved Greeks, the swift-footed Achilles, the much-enduring Odysseus, *etc.*) are due to this communal or associative character of ancient heroic song. As in the companies of architects who built the mediaeval cathedrals, or in the schools of early Italian painters, masters and disciples, the manner of the individual artist was subdued to the tradition of his craft.

The English and Scottish popular ballads are in various simple stanza forms, the commonest of all being the old *septenarius* or “fourteener,” arranged in a four-lined stanza of alternate eights and sixes, thus:

“Up then crew the red, red cock,  
And up and crew the gray;  
The eldest to the youngest said  
“Tis time we were away.”[4]

This is the stanza usually employed by modern ballad imitators, like Coleridge in “The Ancient Mariner,” Scott in “Jock o’ Hazeldean,” Longfellow in “The Wreck of the Hesperus,” Macaulay in the “Lays of Ancient Rome,” Aytoun in the “Lays of the Scottish Cavaliers.” Many of the stylistic and metrical peculiarities of the ballads arose from the fact that they were made to be sung or recited from memory. Such are perhaps the division of the longer ones into fits, to rest the voice of the singer; and the use of the burden or refrain for the same purpose, as also to give the listeners and bystanders a chance to take up the chorus, which they probably accompanied with a few dancing steps.[5] Sometimes the burden has no meaning in itself and serves only to mark time with a *Hey derry down* or an *O lilly lally* and the like. Sometimes it has more or less reference to the story, as in “The Two Sisters”:

“He has ta’en three locks o’ her yellow hair—  
Binnorie, O Binnorie—  
And wi’ them strung his harp sae rare—  
By the bonnie mill-dams of Binnorie.”

Again it has no discoverable relation to the context, as in “Riddles Wisely Expounded”—

“There was a knicht riding frae the east—  
*Jennifer gentle and rosemarie*—





Who had been wooing at monie a place—  
*As the dew flies over the mulberry tree.*"

Both kinds of refrain have been liberally employed by modern balladists. Thus Tennyson in "The Sisters":

"We were two sisters of one race,  
*The wind is howling in turret and tree;*  
— *She was the fairer in the face,*  
O the earl was fair to see."—

## Page 152

While Rossetti and Jean Ingelow and others have rather favored the inconsequential burden, an affectation travestied by the late Mr. C. S. Calverley:

"The auld wife sat at her ivied door,  
(Butter and eggs and a pound of cheese)  
A thing she had frequently done before;  
And her spectacles lay on her aproned knees.

"The farmer's daughter hath soft brown hair  
(Butter and eggs and a pound of cheese),  
And I met with a ballad, I can't say where,  
Which wholly consisted of lines like these." [6]

A musical or mnemonic device akin to the refrain was that sing-song species of repetend so familiar in ballad language:

"She had na pu'd a double rose,  
a rose but only twa."

"They had na sailed a league, a league,  
A league but barely three.

"How will I come up? How can I come up?  
How can I come to thee?"

An answer is usually returned in the identical words of the question; and as in Homer, a formula of narration or a commonplace of description does duty again and again. Iteration in the ballads is not merely for economy, but stands in lieu of the metaphor and other figures of literary poetry:

"O Marie, put on your robes o' black,  
Or else your robes o' brown,  
For ye maun gang wi' me the night,  
To see fair Edinbro town.'

"I winna put on my robes o' black,  
Nor yet my robes o' brown;  
But I'll put on my robes o' white,  
To shine through Edinbro town.'"

Another mark of the genuine ballad manner, as of Homer and *Volkspoesie* in general, is the conventional epithet. Macaulay noted that the gold is always red in the ballads, the ladies always gay, and Robin Hood's men are always his merry men. Doughty Douglas, bold Robin Hood, merry Carlisle, the good greenwood, the gray goose wing, and the wan water are other inseparables of the kind. Still another mark is the frequent

retention of the Middle English accent on the final syllable in words like *contrie*, *baron*, *dinere*, *felawe*, *abbay*, *rivere*, *money*, and its assumption by words which never properly had it, such as *lady*, *harper*, *wedding*, *water*, *etc.*[7] Indeed, as Percy pointed out in his introduction, there were “many phrases and idioms which the minstrels seem to have appropriated to themselves, . . . a cast of style and measure very different from that of contemporary poets of a higher class.”

Not everything that is called a ballad belongs to the class of poetry that we are here considering. In its looser employment the word has signified almost any kind of song: “a woeful ballad made to his mistress’ eyebrow,” for example. “Ballade” was also the name of a somewhat intricate French stanza form, employed by Gower and Chaucer, and recently reintroduced into English verse by Dobson, Lang, Goose, and others, along with the

## Page 153

virelay, rondeau, triolet, etc. There is also a numerous class of popular ballads—in the sense of something made *for* the people, though not *by* the people—are without relation to our subject. These are the street ballads, which were and still are hawked about by ballad-mongers, and which have no literary character whatever. There are satirical and political ballads, ballads versifying passages in Scripture or chronicle, ballads relating to current events, or giving the history of famous murders and other crimes, of prodigies, providences, and all sorts of happenings that teach a lesson in morals: about George Barnwell and the “Babes in the Wood,” and “Whittington and his Cat,” etc.: ballads like Shenstone’s “Jemmy Dawson” and Gay’s “Black-eyed Susan.” Thousands of such are included in manuscript collections like the “Pepysian,” or printed in the publications of the Roxburghe Club and the Ballad Society. But whether entirely modern, or extant in black-letter broadsides, they are nothing to our purpose. We have to do here with the folk-song, the *traditional* ballad, product of the people at a time when the people was homogeneous and the separation between the lettered and unlettered classes had not yet taken place: the true minstrel ballad of the Middle Ages, or of that state of society which in rude and primitive neighborhoods, like the Scottish border, prolonged mediaeval conditions beyond the strictly mediaeval period.

In the form in which they are preserved, a few of our ballads are older than the seventeenth or the latter part of the sixteenth century, though in their origin many of them are much older. Manuscript versions of “Robin Hood and the Monk” and “Robin Hood and the Potter” exist, which are referred to the last years of the fifteenth century. The “Lytel Geste of Robyn Hode” was printed by Wynkyn de Worde in 1489. The “Not-Brown Maid” was printed in “Arnold’s Chronicle” in 1502. “The Hunting of the Cheviot”—the elder version of “Chevy Chase”—was mentioned by Philip Sidney in his “Defence of Poesie” in 1595.[8] The ballad is a narrative song, naive, impersonal, spontaneous, objective. The singer is lost in the song, the teller in the tale. That is its essence, but sometimes the story is told by the lyrical, sometimes by the dramatic method. In “Helen of Kirkconnell” it is the bereaved lover who is himself the speaker: in “Waly Waly,” the forsaken maid. These are monologues; for a purely dialogue ballad it will be sufficient to mention the power and impressive piece in the “Reliques” entitled “Edward.” Herder translated this into German; it is very old, with Danish, Swedish, and Finnish analogues. It is a story of parricide, and is narrated in a series of questions by the mother and answers by the son. The commonest form, however, was a mixture of epic and dramatic, or direct relation with dialogue. A frequent feature is the abruptness of the opening and the translations. The ballad-maker observes unconsciously Aristotle’s rule for the epic poet, to begin *in medias res*. Johnson noticed this in the instance of “Johnny Armstrong,” but a stronger example is found in “The Banks of Yarrow.”

## Page 154

“Late at e’en, drinking the wine,  
And ere they paid the lawing,  
They set a combat them between,  
To fight it in the dawning.”

With this, an indirect, allusive way of telling the story, which Goethe mentions in his prefatory note to “Des Saengers Fluch,” as a constant note of the “Volkslied.” The old ballad-maker does not vouchsafe explanations about persons and motives; often he gives the history, not expressly nor fully, but by hints and glimpses, leaving the rest to conjecture; throwing up its salient points into a strong, lurid light against a background of shadows. The knight rides out a-hunting, and by and by his riderless horse comes home, and that is all:

“Toom[9] hame cam the saddle  
But never cam he.”

Or the knight himself comes home and lies down to die, reluctantly confessing, under his mother’s questioning, that he dined with his true-love and is poisoned.[10] And again that is all. Or

“—In behint yon auld fail[11] dyke, I wot there lies a new-slain knight; And naebody kens that he lies there, But his hawk, his hound, and lady fair.

“His hound is to the hunting game,  
His hawk to fetch the wild-fowl hame,  
His lady’s ta’en another mate,  
So we may mak our dinner sweet.”

A whole unuttered tragedy of love, treachery, and murder lies back of these stanzas. This method of narration may be partly accounted for by the fact that the story treated was commonly some local country-side legend of family feud or unhappy passion, whose incidents were familiar to the ballad-singer’s audience and were readily supplied by memory. One theory holds that the story was partly told and partly sung, and that the links and expositions were given in prose. However this may be, the artless art of these popular poets evidently included a knowledge of the uses of mystery and suggestion. They knew that, for the imagination, the part is sometimes greater than the whole. Gray wrote to Mason in 1757, “I have got the old Scotch ballad [Gil Maurice] on which ‘Douglas’ [Home’s tragedy, first played at Edinburgh in 1756] was founded. It is divine. . . . Aristotle’s best rules are observed in it in a manner which shews the author never had heard of Aristotle. It begins in the fifth act of the play. You may read it two-thirds through without guessing what it is about; and yet, when you come to the end, it is impossible not to understand the whole story.”

It is not possible to recover the conditions under which these folk-songs “made themselves,”[12] as it were, or grew under the shaping hands of generations of nameless bards. Their naive, primitive quality cannot be acquired: the secret is lost. But Walter Scott, who was steeped to the lips in balladry, and whose temper had much of the healthy objectivity of an earlier age, has succeeded as well as any modern. Some of his ballads are more perfect artistically than his long metrical romances; those of them especially which are built up from a burden or fragment of old minstrel song, like “Jock o’ Hazeldean”[13] and the song in “Rokeby”:

## Page 155

"He turned his charger as he spake  
Upon the river shore,  
He gave the bride-reins a shake,  
Said 'Adieu for evermore,  
My love!  
And adieu for evermore!"

Here Scott catches the very air of popular poetry, and the dovetailing is done with most happy skill. "Proud Maisie is in the Wood" is a fine example of the ballad manner of story-telling by implication.[14]

As regards their subject-matter, the ballads admit of a rough classification into the historical, or *quasi*-historical, and the purely legendary or romantic. Of the former class were the "riding-ballad" of the Scottish border, where the forays of moss-troopers, the lifting of blackmail, the raids and private warfare of the Lords of the Marches, supplied many traditions of heroism and adventure like those recorded in "The Battle of Otterburn," "The Hunting of the Cheviot," "Johnnie Armstrong," "Kinmont Willie," "The Rising in the North" and "Northumberland Betrayed by Douglas." Of the fictitious class, some were shortened, popularized, and generally degraded versions of the chivalry romances, which were passing out of favor among educated readers in the sixteenth century and fell into the hands of the ballad-makers. Such, to name only a few included in the "Reliques," were "Sir Lancelot du Lake," "The Legend of Sir Guy," "King Arthur's Death" and "The Marriage of Sir Gawaine." But the substance of these was not of the genuine popular stuff, and their personages were simply the old heroes of court poetry in reduced circumstances. Much more impressive are the original folk-songs, which strike their roots deep into the ancient world of legend and even of myth.

In this true ballad world there is a strange commingling of paganism and Catholic Christianity. It abounds in the supernatural and the marvelous. Robin Hood is a pious outlaw. He robs the fat-headed monks, but will not die unhouseled and has great devotion to Our Blessed Lady; who appears also to Brown Robyn, when he is cast overboard, hears his confession and takes his soul to Heaven.[15] When mass has been sung and the bells of merry Lincoln have rung, Lady Maisry goes seeking her little Hugh, who has been killed by the Jew's daughter and thrown into Our Lady's draw-well fifty fathom deep, and the boy answers his mother miraculously from the well.[16] Birds carry messages for lovers[17] and dying men,[18] or show the place where the body lies buried and the corpse-candles shine.[19] The harper strings his harp with three golden hairs of the drowned maiden, and the tune that he plays upon them reveals the secret of her death.[20] The ghosts of the sons that have perished at sea come home to take farewell of their mother.[21] The spirit of the forsaken maid visits her false lover at midnight;[22] or "the dead comes for the quick,"[23] as in Burger's weird poem. There are witches, fairies, and mermaidens[24] in the ballads: omens, dreams, spells,[25] enchantments, transformations,[26] magic rings and charms, "gramarye"[27] of many

sorts; and all these things are more effective here than in poets like Spenser and Collins, because they are matters of belief and not of make-believe.



## Page 156

The ballads are prevailingly tragical in theme, and the tragic passions of pity and fear find an elementary force of utterance. Love is strong as death, jealousy cruel as the grave. Hate, shame, grief, despair speak here with their native accent:

“There are seven forsters at Pickeram Side,  
At Pickeram where they dwell,  
And for a drop of thy heart’s bluid  
They wad ride the fords of hell.”[28]

“O little did my mother think,  
The day she cradled me,  
What lands I was to travel through,  
What death I was to dee.”[29]

The maiden asks her buried lover:

“Is there any room at your head, Sanders?  
Is there any room at your feet?  
Or any room at your twa sides,  
Where fain, fain would I sleep?”[30]

“O waly, waly, but love be bonny  
A little time while it is new;[31]  
But when ’tis auld it waxeth cauld  
And fades awa’ like morning dew. . .

“And O! if my young babe were born,  
And set upon the nurse’s knee,  
And I mysel’ were dead and gane,  
And the green grass growing over me!”

Manners in this world are of a primitive savagery. There are treachery, violence, cruelty, revenge; but there are also honor, courage, fidelity, and devotion that endureth to the end. “Child Waters” and “Fair Annie” do not suffer on a comparison with Tennyson’s “Enid” and Chaucer’s story of patient Griselda (“The Clerkes Tale”) with which they have a common theme. It is the medieval world. Marauders, pilgrims, and wandering gleemen go about in it. The knight stands at his garden pale, the lady sits at her bower window, and the little foot page carries messages over moss and moor. Marchmen are riding through the Bateable Land “by the hie light o’ the moon.” Monks are chanting in St. Mary’s Kirk, trumpets are blowing in Carlisle town, castles are burning; down in the glen there is an ambush and swords are flashing; bows are twanging in the greenwood; four and twenty ladies are playing at the ball, and four and twenty milk-white calves are in the woods of Glentanner—all ready to be stolen. About Yule the round tables begin; the queen looks over the castle-wall, the palmer returns from the Holy Land, Young

Waters lies deep in Stirling dungeon, but Child Maurice is in the silver wood, combing his yellow locks with a silver comb.

There is an almost epic coherence about the ballads of the Robin Hood cycle. This good robber, who with his merry men haunted the forests of Sherwood and Barnsdale, was the real ballad hero and the darling of the popular fancy which created him. For though the names of his confessor, Friar Tuck; his mistress, Maid Marian; and his companions, Little John, Scathelock, and Much the miller's son, have an air of reality,—and though the tradition has associated itself with definite localities,—there

## Page 157

is nothing historical about Robin Hood. Langland, in the fourteenth century, mentions “rhymes of Robin Hood”; and efforts have been made to identify him with one of the dispossessed followers of Simon de Montfort, in “the Barons’ War,” or with some still earlier free-booter, of Hereward’s time, who had taken to the woods and lived by plundering the Normans. Myth as he is, he is a thoroughly national conception. He had the English love of fair play; the English readiness to shake hands and make up when worsted in a square fight. He killed the King’s venison, but was a loyal subject. He took from the rich and gave to the poor, executing thus a kind of wild justice. He defied legal authority in the person of the proud sheriff of Nottingham, thereby appealing to that secret sympathy with lawlessness which marks a vigorous, free yeomanry.[32] He had the knightly virtues of courtesy and hospitality, and the yeomanly virtues of good temper and friendliness. And finally, he was a mighty archer with the national weapons, the long-bow and the cloth-yard shaft; and so appealed to the national love of sport in his free and careless life under the greenwood tree. The forest scenery give a poetic background to his exploits, and though the ballads, like folk-poetry in general, seldom linger over natural descriptions, there is everywhere a consciousness of this background and a wholesome, outdoor feeling:

“In somer, when the shawes be sheyne,  
And leves be large and long,  
Hit is full mery in feyre foreste  
To here the foulys song:

“To se the dere draw to the dale,  
And leve the hillis hee,  
And shadow hem in the leves grene,  
Under the grene-wode tre.”[33]

Although a few favorite ballads such as “Johnnie Armstrong,” “Chevy Chase,” “The Children in the Wood,” and some of the Robin Hood ones had long been widely, nay almost universally familiar, they had hardly been regarded as literature worthy of serious attention. They were looked upon as nursery tales, or at best as the amusement of peasants and unlettered folk, who used to paste them up on the walls of inns, cottages, and ale-houses. Here and there an educated man had had a sneaking fondness for collecting old ballads—much as people nowadays collect postage stamps. Samuel Pepys, the diarist, made such a collection, and so did John Selden, the great legal antiquary and scholar of Milton’s time. “I have heard,” wrote Addison, “that the late Lord Dorset, who had the greatest wit tempered with the greatest candor, and was one of the finest critics as well as the best poets of his age, had a numerous collection of old English ballads, and a particular pleasure in the reading of them. I can affirm the same of Mr. Dryden.” Dryden’s “Miscellany Poems” (1684) gave “Gilderoy,” “Johnnie

Armstrong,” “Chevy Chase,” “The Miller and the King’s Daughter,” and “Little Musgrave and the Lady Barnard.” The last

## Page 158

named, as well as “Lady Anne Bothwell’s Lament” and “Fair Margaret and Sweet William,”[34] was quoted in Beaumont and Fletcher’s “Knight of the Burning Pestle,” (1611). Scraps of them are sung by one of the *dramatis personae*, old Merrythought, whose speciality is a damnable iteration of ballad fragments. References to old ballads are numerous in the Elizabethan plays. Percy devoted the second book of his first series to “Ballads that Illustrate Shakspeare.” In the seventeenth century a few ballads were printed entire in poetic miscellanies entitled “Garlands,” higgledy-piggledy with pieces of all kinds. Professor Child enumerates nine ballad collections before Percy’s. The only ones of any importance among these were “A Collection of Old Ballads” (Vols I. and II. in 1723, Vol III. In 1725), ascribed to Ambrose Philips; and the Scotch poet, Allan Ramsay’s, “Tea Table Miscellany,” (in 4 vols., 1714-40) and “Evergreen” (2 vols., 1724). The first of these collections was illustrated with copperplate engravings and supplied with introductions which were humorous in intention. The editor treated his ballads as trifles, though he described them as “corrected from the best and most ancient copies extant”; and said that Homer himself was nothing more than a blind ballad-singer, whose songs had been subsequently joined together and formed into an epic poem. Ramsay’s ballads were taken in part from a manuscript collection of some eight hundred pages, made by George Bannatyne about 1570 and still preserved in the Advocates’ Library at Edinburgh.

In Nos. 70, 74, and 85, of the *Spectator*, Addison had praised the naturalness and simplicity of the popular ballads, selecting for special mention “Chevy Chase”—the later version—“which,” he wrote, “is the favorite ballad of the common people of England; and Ben Jonson used to say he had rather have been the author of it than of all his works”; and “the ‘Two Children in the Wood,’ which is one of the darling songs of the common people, and has been the delight of most Englishmen in some part of their age.” Addison justifies his liking for these humble poems by classical precedents. “The greatest modern critics have laid it down as a rule that an heroic poem should be founded upon some important precept of morality adapted to the constitution of the country in which the poet writes. Homer and Virgil have formed their plans in this view.” Accordingly he thinks that the author of “Chevy Chase” meant to point a moral as to the mischiefs of private war. As if it were not precisely the *gaudium certaminis* that inspired the old border ballad-maker! As if he did not glory in the fight! The passage where Earl Percy took the dead Douglas by the hand and lamented his fallen foe reminds Addison of Aeneas’ behavior toward Lausus. The robin red-breast covering the children with leaves recalls to his mind a similar touch in one of Horace’s odes. But it was much that Addison, whose own verse was so artificial, should

## Page 159

have had a taste for the wild graces of folk-song. He was severely ridiculed by his contemporaries for these concessions. "He descended now and then to lower disquisitions," wrote Dr. Johnson," and by a serious display of the beauties of 'Chevy Chase,' exposed himself to the ridicule of Wagstaff, who bestowed a like pompous character on 'Tom Thumb'; and to the contempt of Dennis, who, considering the fundamental position of his criticism, that 'Chevy Chase' pleases and ought to please because it is natural, observes that 'there is a way of deviating from nature . . . by imbecility, which degrades nature by faintness and diminution'. . . In 'Chevy Chase' . . . there is a chill and lifeless imbecility. The story cannot possibly be told in a manner that shall make less impression on the mind."[35]

Nicholas Rowe, the dramatist and Shakspeare editor, had said a good word for ballads in the prologue to "Jane Shore" (1713):

"Let no nice taste despise the hapless dame  
Because recording ballads chant her name.  
Those venerable ancient song enditers  
Soared many a pitch above our modern writers. . .  
Our numbers may be more refined than those,  
But what we've gained in verse, we've lost in prose.  
Their words no shuffling double meaning knew:  
Their speech was homely, but their hearts were true. . .  
With rough, majestic force they moved the heart,  
And strength and nature made amends for art."

Ballad forgery had begun early. To say nothing of appropriations, like Mallet's, of "William and Margaret," Lady Wardlaw put forth her "Hardyknut" in 1719 as a genuine old ballad, and it was reprinted as such in Ramsay's "Evergreen." Gray wrote to Walpole in 1760, "I have been often told that the poem called 'Hardicanute' (which I always admired and still admire) was the work of somebody that lived a few years ago. This I do not at all believe, though it has evidently been retouched by some modern hand." Before Percy no concerted or intelligent effort had been made toward collecting, preserving, and editing the *corpus poetarum* of English minstrelsy. The great mass of ancient ballads, so far as they were in print at all, existed in "stall copies," *i.e.*, single sheets of broadsides, struck off for sale by balladmongers and the keepers of book-stalls.

Thomas Percy, the compiler of the "Reliques," was a parish clergyman, settled at the retired hamlet of Easton Maudit, Northamptonshire. For years he had amused his leisure by collecting ballads. He numbered among his acquaintances men of letters like Johnson, Goldsmith, Garrick, Grainger, Farmer, and Shenstone. It was the last who suggested the plan of the "Reliques" and who was to have helped in its execution, had

not his illness and death prevented. Johnson spent a part of the summer of 1764 on a visit to the vicarage of Easton Maudit, on which occasion Percy reports that his guest

## Page 160

“chose for his regular reading the old Spanish romance of ‘Felixmarte of Hircania,’ in folio, which he read quite through.” He adds, what one would not readily suspect, that the doctor, when a boy, “was immoderately fond of reading romances of chivalry, and he retained his fondness for them through life. . . I have heard him attribute to these extravagant fictions that unsettled turn of mind which prevented his ever fixing in any profession.” Percy talked over his project with Johnson, who would seem to have given his approval, and even to have added his persuasions to Shenstone’s. For in the preface to the first edition of the “Reliques,” the editor declared that “he could refuse nothing to such judges as the author of the *Rambler* and the late Mr. Shenstone”; and that “to the friendship of Mr. Johnson he owes many valuable hints for the conduct of his work.” And after Ritson had questioned the existence of the famous “folio manuscript,” Percy’s nephew in the advertisement to the fourth edition (1794), cited “the appeal publicly made to Dr. Johnson . . . so long since as in the year 1765, and never once contradicted by him.”

In spite of these amenities, the doctor had a low opinion of ballads and ballad collectors. In the *Rambler* (No. 177) he made merry over one Cantilenus, who “turned all his thoughts upon old ballads, for he considered them as the genuine records of the natural taste. He offered to show me a copy of ‘The Children in the Wood,’ which he firmly believed to be of the first edition, and by the help of which the text might be freed from several corruptions, if this age of barbarity had any claim to such favors from him.” “The conversation,” says Boswell, “having turned on modern imitations of ancient ballads, and someone having praised their simplicity, he treated them with that ridicule which he always displayed when that subject was mentioned.” Johnson wrote several stanzas in parody of the ballads; e.g.,

“The tender infant, meek and mild,  
Fell down upon a stone:  
The nurse took up the squealing child,  
But still the child squealed on.”

And again:

“I put my hat upon my head  
And walked into the Strand;  
And there I met another man  
Whose hat was in his hand.”

This is quoted by Wordsworth,[36] who compares it with a stanza from “The Children in the Wood”:



“Those pretty babes, with hand in hand,  
Went wandering up and down;  
But never more they saw the man  
Approaching from the town.”

## Page 161

He says that in both of these stanzas the language is that of familiar conversation, yet one stanza is admirable and the other contemptible, because the *matter* of it is contemptible. In the essay supplementary to his preface, Wordsworth asserts that the “Reliques” was “ill suited to the then existing taste of city society, and Dr. Johnson . . . was not sparing in his exertions to make it an object of contempt”: and that “Dr. Percy was so abashed by the ridicule flung upon his labors . . . that, though while he was writing under a mask he had not wanted resolution to follow his genius into the regions of true simplicity and genuine pathos (as is evinced by the exquisite ballad of ‘Sir Cauline’ and by many other pieces), yet when he appeared in his own person and character as a poetical writer, he adopted, as in the tale of ‘The Hermit of Warkworth,’ a diction scarcely distinguishable from the vague, the glossy and unfeeling language of his day.” Wordsworth adds that he esteems the genius of Dr. Percy in this kind of writing superior to that of any other modern writer; and that even Buerger had not Percy’s fine sensibility. He quotes, in support of this opinion, two stanzas from “The Child of Elle” in the “Reliques,” and contrasts them with the diluted and tricked-out version of the same in Buerger’s German.

Mr. Hales does not agree in this high estimate of Percy as a ballad composer. Of this same “Child of Elle” he says: “The present fragment of a version may be fairly said to be now printed for the first time, as in the ‘Reliques’ it is buried in a heap of ‘polished’ verses composed by Percy. That worthy prelate, touched by the beauty of it—he had a soul—was unhappily moved to try his hand at its completion. A wax-doll-maker might as well try to restore Milo’s Venus. There are thirty-nine lines here. There are two hundred in the thing called the ‘Child of Elle’ in the ‘Reliques.’ But in those two hundred lines all the thirty-nine originals do not appear. . . . On the whole, the union of the genuine and the false—of the old ballad with Percy’s tawdry feebleness—makes about as objectionable a *mesalliance* as in the story itself is in the eyes of the father.”[37] The modern ballad scholars, in their zeal for the purity of the text, are almost as hard upon Percy as Ritson himself was. They say that he polished “The Heir of Linne” till he could see his own face in it; and swelled out its 126 lines to 216—“a fine flood of ballad and water.”[38] The result of this piecing and tinkering in “Sir Cauline”—which Wordsworth thought exquisite—they regard as a heap of tinsel, though they acknowledge that “these additional stanzas show, indeed, an extensive acquaintance with old balladry and a considerable talent of imitation.”

## Page 162

From the critical or scholarly point of view, these strictures are doubtless deserved. It is an editor's duty to give his text as he finds it, without interpolations or restorations; and it is unquestionable that Percy's additions to fragmentary pieces are full of sentimentalism, affectation, and the spurious poetic diction of his age. An experienced ballad amateur can readily separate, in most cases, the genuine portions from the insertions. But it is unfair to try Percy by modern editorial canons. That sacredness which is now imputed to the *ipsissima verba* of an ancient piece of popular literature would have been unintelligible to men of that generation, who regarded such things as trifles at best, and mostly as barbarous trifles—something like wampum belts, or nose-rings, or antique ornaments in the *gout barbare et charmant des bijoux goths*. Percy's readers did not want torsos and scraps; to present them with acephalous or bobtailed ballads—with *cetera desunt* and constellations of asterisks—like the manuscript in Prior's poem, the conclusion of which was eaten by the rats—would have been mere pedantry. Percy knew his public, and he knew how to make his work attractive to it. The readers of that generation enjoyed their ballad with a large infusion of Percy. If the scholars of this generation prefer to take theirs without, they know where to get it.

The materials for the "Reliques" were drawn partly from the Pepys collection at Magdalen College, Cambridge; from Anthony Wood's, made in 1676, in the Ashmolean Museum at Oxford; from manuscript and printed ballads in the Bodleian, the British Museum, the archives of the Antiquarian Society, and private collections. Sir David Dalrymple sent a number of Scotch ballads, and the editor acknowledged obligations to Thomas Warton and many others. But the nucleus of the whole was a certain folio manuscript in a handwriting of Charles I.'s time, containing 191 songs and ballads, which Percy had begged, then still very young, from his friend Humphrey Pitt, of Prior's-Lee in Shropshire. When he first saw this precious document, it was torn, unbound, and mutilated, "lying dirty on the floor under a bureau in the parlor, being used by the maids to light the fire." The first and last leaves were wanting, and "of 54 pages near the beginning, half of every leaf hath been torn away." [39] Percy had it bound, but the binders trimmed off the top and bottom lines in the process. From this manuscript he professed to have taken "the greater part" of the pieces in the "Reliques." In truth he took only 45 of the 176 poems in his first edition from this source.

## Page 163

Percy made no secret of the fact that he filled *lacunae* in his originals with stanzas, and, in some cases, with nearly entire poems of his own composition. But the extent of the liberties that he took with the text, although suspected, was not certainly known until Mr. Furnivall finally got leave to have the folio manuscript copied and printed.[40] Before this time it had been jealously guarded by the Percy family, and access to it had been denied to scholars. "Since Percy and his nephew printed their fourth edition of the 'Reliques' from the manuscript in 1794," writes Mr. Furnivall in his "Forewords," "no one has printed any piece from it except Robert Jamieson—to whom Percy supplied a copy of 'Child Maurice' and 'Robin Hood and the Old Man' for his 'Popular Ballads and Songs' (1806)—and Sir Frederic Madden, who was allowed—by one of Percy's daughters—to print 'The Grene Knight,' 'The Carle of Carlisle' and 'The Turk and Gawin' in his 'Syr Gawaine' for the Bannatyne Club, 1839." Percy was furiously assailed by Joseph Ritson for manipulating his texts; and in the 1794 edition he made some concessions to the latter's demand for a literal rescript, by taking off a few of the ornaments in which he had tricked them. Ritson was a thoroughly critical, conscientious student of poetic antiquities and held the right theory of an editor's functions. In his own collection of early English poetry he rendered a valuable service to all later inquiries. These included "Pieces of Ancient Popular Poetry," 1791; "Ancient Songs," 1792; "Scottish Songs," 1794; "Robin Hood," 1795; besides editions of Laurence Minot's poems, and of "Gammer Gurton's Needle," as well as other titles. He was an ill-tempered and eccentric man: a vegetarian, a free-thinker, a spelling reformer,[41] and latterly a Jacobin. He attacked Warton as well as Percy, and used to describe any clerical antagonist as a "stinking priest." He died insane in 1803. Ritson took issue with the theory maintained in Percy's introductory "Essay on the Ancient Minstrels," viz.: that the minstrels were not only the singers, but likewise the authors of the ballads. But Ritson went so far in his rage against Percy as to deny the existence of the sacred Folio Manuscript, until convinced by abundant testimony that there was such a thing. It was an age of forgeries, and Ritson was not altogether without justification in supposing that the author of "The Hermit of Warkworth" belonged in the same category with Chatterton, Ireland, and MacPherson.

Percy, like Warton, took an apologetic tone toward his public. "In a polished age, like the present," he wrote, "I am sensible that many of these reliques of antiquity will require great allowances to be made for them. Yet have they, for the most part, a pleasing simplicity and many artless graces, which, in the opinion of no mean critics, have been thought to compensate for the want of higher beauties." Indeed how should it have been

## Page 164

otherwise? The old ballads were everything which the eighteenth century was not. They were rough and wild, where that was smooth and tame; they dealt, with fierce sincerity, in the elementary passions of human nature. They did not moralize, or philosophize, or sentimentalize; were never subtle, intellectual, or abstract. They were plain English, without finery or elegance. They had certain popular mannerisms, but none of the conventional figures of speech or rhetorical artifices like personifications, periphrasis, antithesis, and climax so dear to the Augustan heart. They were intent on the story—not on the style—and they just told it and let it go for what it was worth.

Moreover, there are ballads and ballads. The best of them are noble in expression as well as feeling, unequaled by anything in our medieval poetry outside of Chaucer; unequaled by Chaucer himself in point of intensity, in occasional phrases of a piercing beauty:

"The swans-fethers that his arrowe bar  
With his hart-blood they were wet."[42]

"O cocks are crowing a merry mid-larf,  
A wat the wild fule boded day;  
The salms of Heaven will be sung,  
And ere now I'll be missed away."[43]

"If my love were an earthly knight,  
As he's an elfin gray,  
A wad na gie my sin true love  
For no lord that ye hae."[44]

"She hang ae napkin at the door,  
Another in the ha,  
And a' to wipe the trickling tears,  
Sae fast as they did fa."[45]

"And all is with one chyld of yours,  
I feel stir at my side:  
My gowne of green, it is too strait:  
Before it was too wide."[46]

Verse of this quality needs no apology. But of many of the ballads, Dennis' taunt, repeated by Dr. Johnson, is true; they are not merely rude, but weak and creeping in style. Percy knew that the best of them would savor better to the palates of his contemporaries if he dressed them with modern sauces. Yet he must have loved them, himself, in their native simplicity, and it seems almost incredible that he could have

spoken as he did about Prior's insipid paraphrase of the "Nut Brown Maid." "If it had no other merit," he says of that most lovely ballad, "than the having afforded the ground-work to Prior's 'Henry and Emma,' this ought to preserve it from oblivion." Prior was a charming writer of epigram, society verse, and the humorous *conte* in the manner of La Fontaine; but to see how incapable he was of the depth and sweetness of romantic poetry, compare a few lines of the original with the "hubbub of words" in his modernized version, in heroic couplets:

"O Lord, what is this worldes blisse  
That changeth as the mone!  
The somer's day in lusty May  
Is derked before the none.  
I hear you say farewell. Nay, nay,  
We departe not so soon:  
Why say ye so? Wheder wyle ye goo?  
Alas! what have ye done?  
Alle my welfare to sorrow and care  
Shulde change if ye were gon;  
For in my minde, of all mankynde,  
I love but you alone."

## Page 165

Now hear Prior, with his Venus and flames and god of love:

"What is our bliss that changeth with the moon,  
And day of life that darkens ere 'tis noon?  
What is true passion, if unblest it dies?  
And where is Emma's joy, if Henry flies?  
If love, alas! be pain, the pain I bear  
No thought can figure and no tongue declare.  
Ne'er faithful woman felt, nor false one feigned  
The flames which long have in my bosom reigned.  
The god of love himself inhabits there  
With all his rage and dread and grief and care,  
His complement of stores and total war,  
O cease then coldly to suspect my love  
And let my deed at least my faith approve.  
Alas! no youth shall my endearments share  
Nor day nor night shall interrupt my care;  
No future story shall with truth upbraid  
The cold indifference of the nut-brown maid;  
Nor to hard banishment shall Henry run  
While careless Emma sleeps on beds of down.  
View me resolved, where'er thou lead'st, to go:  
Friend to thy pain and partner of thy woe;  
For I attest fair Venus and her son  
That I, of all mankind, will love but thee alone."

There could be no more striking object lesson than this of the plethora from which English poetic diction was suffering, and of the sanative value of a book like the "Reliques."

"To atone for the rudeness of the more obsolete poems," and "to take off from the tediousness of the longer narratives," Percy interspersed a few modern ballads and a large number of "little elegant pieces of the lyric kind" by Skelton, Hawes, Gascoigne, Raleigh, Marlowe, Shakspeare, Jonson, Warner, Carew, Daniel, Lovelace, Suckling, Drayton, Beaumont and Fletcher, Wotton, and other well-known poets. Of the modern ballads the only one with any resemblance to folk-poetry was "The Braes o' Yarrow" by William Hamilton of Bangour, a Scotch gentleman who was "out in the forty-five." The famous border stream had watered an ancient land of song and story, and Hamilton's ballad, with its "strange, fugitive melody," was not unworthy of its traditions. Hamilton belongs to the Milton imitators by virtue of his octosyllabics "Contemplation." [47] His "Braes o' Yarrow" had been given already in Ramsey's "Tea Table Miscellany," The opening lines—

“Busk ye, busk ye, my bonny, bonny bride,  
Busk ye, busk ye, my winsome marrow”—

are quoted in Wordsworth’s “Yarrow Unvisited,” as well as a line of the following stanza:

“Sweet smells the birk, green grows, green grows the grass,  
Yellow on Yarrow’s bank the gowan:  
Fair hangs the apple frae the rock,  
Sweet the wave of Yarrow flowin’.”

The first edition of the “Reliques” included one acknowledged child of Percy’s muse, “The Friar of Orders Grey,” a short, narrative ballad made up of song snatches from Shakspeare’s plays. Later editions afforded his longer poem, “The Hermit of Warkworth,” first published independently in 1771.



## Page 166

With all its imperfections—perhaps partly in consequence of its imperfections—the “Reliques” was an epoch-making book. The nature of its service to English letters is thus stated by Macaulay, in the introduction to his “Lays of Ancient Rome”: “We cannot wonder that the ballads of Rome should have altogether disappeared, when we remember how very narrowly, in spite of the invention of printing, those of our own country and those of Spain escaped the same fate. There is, indeed, little doubt that oblivion covers many English songs equal to any that were published by Bishop Percy; and many Spanish songs as good as the best of those which have been so happily translated by Mr. Lockhart. Eighty years ago England possessed only one tattered copy of ‘Child Waters’ and ‘Sir Cauline,’ and Spain only one tattered copy of the noble poem of the ‘Cid.’ The snuff of a candle, or a mischievous dog, might in a moment have deprived the world forever of any of those fine compositions. Sir Walter Scott, who united to the fire of a great poet the minute curiosity and patient diligence of a great antiquary, was but just in time to save the precious reliques of the Minstrelsy of the Border.”

But Percy not only rescued, himself, a number of ballads from forgetfulness; what was equally important, his book prompted others to hunt out and publish similar relics before it was too late. It was the occasion of collections like Herd’s (1769), Scott’s (1802-03), and Motherwell’s (1827), and many more, resting on purer texts and edited on more scrupulous principles than his own. Furthermore, his ballads helped to bring about a reform in literary taste and to inspire men of original genius. Wordsworth, Coleridge, Southey, Scott, all acknowledged the greatest obligations to them. Wordsworth said that English poetry had been “absolutely redeemed” by them. “I do not think there is a writer in verse of the present day who would not be proud to acknowledge his obligations to the ‘Reliques.’ I know that it is so with my friends; and, for myself, I am happy that it is so with my friends; and, for myself, I am happy in this occasion to make a public avowal of my own.”[48] Without the “Reliques,” “The Ancient Mariner,” “The Lady of the Lake,” “La Belle Dame sans Merci,” “Stratton Water,” and “The Haystack in the Floods” might never have been. Perhaps even the “Lyrical Ballads” might never have been, or might have been something quite unlike what they are. Wordsworth, to be sure, scarcely ranks among romantics, and he expressly renounces the romantic machinery:

“The dragon’s wing,  
The magic ring,  
I shall not covet for my dower.”[49]

What he learned from the popular ballad was the power of sincerity and of direct and homely speech.

## Page 167

As for Scott, he has recorded in an oft-quoted passage the impression that Percy's volumes made upon him in his school-days: "I remember well the spot where I read these volumes for the first time. It was beneath a huge plantain tree in the ruins of what had been intended for an old-fashioned arbor in the garden I have mentioned. The summer day sped onward so fast that, notwithstanding the sharp appetite of thirteen, I forgot the hour of dinner, was sought for with anxiety, and was still found entranced in my intellectual banquet. To read and to remember was, in this instance, the same thing; and henceforth I overwhelmed my school-fellows, and all who would hearken to me, with tragical recitations from the ballads of Bishop Percy. The first time, too, I could scrape a few shillings together, I bought unto myself a copy of these beloved volumes; nor do I believe I ever read a book so frequently, or with half the enthusiasm."

The "Reliques" worked powerfully in Germany, too. It was received in Lessing's circle with universal enthusiasm,[50] and fell in with that newly aroused interest in "Volkslieder" which prompted Herder's "Stimmen der Voelker" (1778-79).[51] Gottfried August Buerger, in particular, was a poet who may be said to have been made by the English ballad literature, of which he was an ardent student. His poems were published in 1778, and included five translations from Percy: "The Child of Elle" ("Die Entfuehrung"), "The Friar of Orders Grey" ("Graurock"), "The Wanton Wife of Bath" ("Frau Schnips"), "King John and the Abbot of Canterbury" ("Der Kaiser und der Abt"), and "Child Waters" ("Graf Walter"). A. W. Schlegel says that Burger did not select the more ancient and genuine pieces in the "Reliques"; and, moreover, that he spoiled the simplicity of the originals in his translations. It was doubtless in part the success of the "Reliques" that is answerable for many collections of old English poetry put forth in the last years of the century. Tyrwhitt's "Chaucer" and Ritson's publications have been already mentioned. George Ellis, a friend and correspondent of Walter Scott, and a fellow of the Society of Antiquaries, who was sometimes called "the Sainte Palaye of England," issued his "Specimens of Early English Poets" in 1790; edited in 1796 G. L. Way's translations from French *fabliaux* of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries; and printed in 1805 three volumes of "Early English Metrical Romances."

It is pleasant to record that Percy's labors brought him public recognition and the patronage of those whom Dr. Johnson used to call "the great." He had dedicated the "Reliques" to Elizabeth Percy, Countess of Northumberland. Himself the son of a grocer, he liked to think that he was connected by blood with the great northern house whose exploits had been sung by the ancient minstrels that he loved. He became chaplain to the Duke of Northumberland, and to King George III.; and, in 1782, Bishop of Dromore in Ireland, in which see he died in 1811.

## Page 168

This may be as fit a place as any to introduce some mention of “The Minstrel, or the Progress of Genius,” by James Beattie; a poem once widely popular, in which several strands of romantic influence are seen twisted together. The first book was published in 1771, the second in 1774, and the work was never completed. It was in the Spenserian stanza, was tinged with the enthusiastic melancholy of the Wartons, followed the landscape manner of Thomson, had elegiac echoes of Gray, and was perhaps not unaffected, in its love of mountain scenery, by MacPherson’s “Ossian.” But it took its title and its theme from a hint in Percy’s “Essay on the Ancient Minstrels.”[52] Beattie was Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Aberdeen. He was an amiable, sensitive, deeply religious man. He was fond of music and of nature, and was easily moved to tears; had “a young girl’s nerves,” says Taine, “and an old maid’s hobbies.” Gray, who met him in 1765, when on a visit to the Earl of Strathmore at Glamis Castle, esteemed him highly. So did Dr. Johnson, partly because of his “Essay on Truth” (1770), a shallow invective against Hume, which gained its author an interview with George III. and a pension of two hundred pounds a year. Beattie visited London in 1771, and figured there as a champion of orthodoxy and a heaven-inspired bard. Mrs. Montagu patronized him extensively. Sir Joshua Reynolds painted his portrait, with his “Essay on Truth” under his arm, and Truth itself in the background, an allegoric angel holding the balances in one hand, and thrusting away with the other the figures of Prejudice, Skepticism, and Folly. Old Lord Lyttelton had the poet out to Hagley, and declared that he was Thomson come back to earth, to sing of virtue and of the beauties of nature. Oxford made him an LL.D.: he was urged to take orders in the Church of England; and Edinburgh offered him the chair of Moral Philosophy. Beattie’s head was slightly turned by all this success, and he became something of a tuft-hunter. But he stuck faithfully to Aberdeen, whose romantic neighborhood had first inspired his muse. The biographers tell a pretty story of his teaching his little boy to look for the hand of God in the universe, by sowing cress in a garden plot in the shape of the child’s initials and leading him by this gently persuasive analogy to read design in the works of nature.

The design of “The Minstrel” is to “trace the progress of a Poetical Genius, born in a rude age,” a youthful shepherd who “lived in Gothic days.” But nothing less truly Gothic or medieval could easily be imagined than the actual process of this young poet’s education. Instead of being taught to carve and ride and play the flute, like Chaucer’s squire who

“Cowde songes make and wel endite,  
Juste and eek daunce, and wel purtraye and write,”

## Page 169

Edwin wanders alone upon the mountains and in solitary places and is instructed in history, philosophy, and science—and even in Vergil—by an aged hermit, who sits on a mossy rock, with his harp beside him, and delivers lectures. The subject of the poem, indeed, is properly the education of nature; and in a way it anticipates Wordsworth's "Prelude," as this hoary sage does the "Solitary" of "The Excursion." Beattie justifies his use of Spenser's stanza on the ground that it "seems, from its Gothic structure and original, to bear some relation to the subject and spirit of the poem." He makes no attempt, however, to follow Spenser's "antique expressions." The following passage will illustrate as well as any the romantic character of the whole:

"When the long-sounding curfew from afar  
Loaded with loud lament the lonely gale,  
Young Edwin, lighted by the evening star,  
Lingering and listening, wandered down the vale.  
There would he dream of graves and corpses pale,  
And ghosts that to the charnel-dungeon throng,  
And drag a length of clanking chain, and wail,  
Till silenced by the owl's terrific song,  
Or blast that shrieks by fits the shuddering aisles along.

"Or when the settling moon, in crimson dyed,  
Hung o'er the dark and melancholy deep,  
To haunted stream, remote from man, he hied,  
Where fays of yore their revels wont to keep;  
And there let Fancy rove at large, till sleep  
A vision brought to his entranced sight.  
And first a wildly murmuring wind gan creep  
Shrill to his ringing ear; then tapers bright,  
With instantaneous gleam, illumed the vault of night.

"Anon in view a portal's blazing arch  
Arose; the trumpet bids the valves unfold;  
And forth a host of little warriors march,  
Grasping the diamond lance and targe of gold.  
Their look was gentle, their demeanor bold,  
And green their helms, and green their silk attire;  
And here and there, right venerably old,  
The long-robed minstrels wake the warbling wire,  
And some with mellow breath the martial pipe inspire." [53]

The influence of Thomson is clearly perceptible in these stanzas. "The Minstrel," like "The Seasons," abounds in insipid morality, the commonplaces of denunciation against luxury and ambition, and the praise of simplicity and innocence. The titles alone of Beattie's minor poems are enough to show in what school he was a scholar: "The

Hermit," "Ode to Peace," "The Triumph of Melancholy," "Retirement," *etc.*, *etc.* "The Minstrel" ran through four editions before the publication of its second book in 1774.

[1] Svend Grundtvig's great collection, "Danmarks Gamle Folkeviser," was published in five volumes in 1853-90.

[2] Francis James Child's "English and Scottish Popular Ballads," issued in ten parts in 1882-98 is one of the glories of American scholarship.

## Page 170

[3] *Cf.* The Tannhaeuser legend and the Venusberg.

[4] "The Wife of Usher's Well."

[5] It should never be forgotten that the ballad (derived from *ballare—to dance*) was originally not a written poem, but a song and dance. Many of the old tunes are preserved. A number are given in Chappell's "Popular Music of the Olden Time," and in the appendix to Motherwell's "Minstrelsy, Ancient and Modern" (1827).

[6] "A Ballad." One theory explains these meaningless refrains as remembered fragments of older ballads.

[7] Reproduced by Rossetti and other moderns. See them parodied in Robert Buchanan's "Fleshly School of Poets":

"When seas do roar and skies do pour,  
Hard is the lot of the sailor  
Who scarcely, as he reels, can tell  
The sidelights from the binnacle."

[8] "I never heard the old song of Percie and Douglas that I found not my heart moved more than with a trumpet; and yet it is sung but by some blind crouder, with no rougher voice than rude style; which being so evil apparelled in the dust and cobwebs of that uncivil age, what would it work, trimmed in the gorgeous eloquence of Pindar!"

[9] Empty: "Bonnie George Campbell."

[10] "Lord Randall."

[11] Turf: "The Twa Corbies."

[12] I use this phrase without any polemic purpose. The question of origins is not here under discussion. Of course at some stage in the history of any ballad the poet, the individual artist, is present, though the precise ration of his agency to the communal element in the work is obscure. For an acute and learned view of this topic, see the Introduction to "Old English Ballads," by Professor Francis B. Gummere (Atheneum Press Series), Boston, 1894.

[13] From "Jock o' Hazel Green." "Young Lochinvar" is derived from "Katherine Janfarie" in the "Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border."

[14] "Scott has given us nothing more complete and lovely than this little song, which unites simplicity and dramatic power to a wildwood music of the rarest quality. No moral is drawn, far less any conscious analysis of feeling attempted: the pathetic meaning is left to be suggested by the mere presentment of the situation. Inexperienced critics

have often named this, which may be called the Homeric manner, superficial from its apparent simple facility.”—*Palgrave: “Golden Treasury”* (Edition of 1866), p. 392.

[15] “Brown Robyn’s Confession.” Robin Hood risks his life to take the sacrament. “Robin Hood and the Monk.”

[16] “Sir Hugh.” Cf. Chaucer’s “Prioress Tale.”

[17] “The Gay Goshawk.”

[18] “Johnnie Cock.”

[19] “Young Hunting.”

[20] “The Twa Sisters.”

[21] “The Wife of Usher’s Well.”

[22] “Fair Margaret and Sweet William.”

[23] “Sweet William’s Ghost.”

[24] “Clerk Colven.”



## Page 171

[25] "Willie's Lady."

[26] "Kemp Owyne" and "Tam Lin."

[27] "King Estmere."

[28] "Johnnie Cock."

[29] "Mary Hamilton."

[30] "Sweet William's Ghost."

[31] "The Forsaken Bride." *Cf.* Chaucer:

"Love is noght old as when that it is newe."  
—*Clerkes Tale*.

[32] What character so popular as a wild prince—like Prince Hal—who breaks his own laws, and the heads of his own people, in a democratic way?

[33] "Robin Hood and the Monk."

[34] For a complete exposure of David Mallet's impudent claim to the authorship of this ballad, see Appendix II. to Professor Phelps' "English Romantic Movement."

[35] "Life of Addison."

[36] Preface to second edition of the "Lyrical Ballads."

[37] "Bishop Percy's Folio Manuscript" (1867), Vol. II. Introductory Essay by J. W. Hales on "The Revival of Ballad Poetry in the Eighteenth Century."

[38] *Ibid.*

[39] "Advertisement to the Fourth Edition."

[40] In four volumes, 1867-68.

[41] Spelling reform has been a favorite field for cranks to disport themselves upon. Ritson's particular vanity was the past participle of verbs ending in *e*; *e.g.*, *perceiveed*. *Cf.* Landor's notions of a similar kind.

[42] "The Hunting of the Cheviot."

[43] "Sweet William's Ghost."



[44] "Tam Lin."

[45] "Fair Annie."

[46] "Child Waters."

[47] See Phelps' "English Romantic Movement," pp. 33-35.

[48] Appendix to the Preface to the 2nd edition of "Lyrical Ballads."

[49] "Peter Bell."

[50] Scherer: "Geschichte der Deutschen Literatur," p. 445.

[51] In his third book Herder gave translations of over twenty pieces in the "Reliques," besides a number from Ramsay's and other collections. His selections from Percy included "Chevy Chase," "Edward," "The Boy and the Mantle," "King Estmere," "Waly, Waly," "Sir Patric Spens," "Young Waters," "The Bonny Earl of Murray," "Fair Margaret and Sweet William," "Sweet William's Ghost," "The Nut-Brown Maid," "The Jew's Daughter," etc., etc.; but none of the Robin Hood ballads. Herder's preface testifies that the "Reliques" was the starting-point and the kernel of his whole undertaking. "Der Anblick dieser Sammlung giebt offenbar dass ich eigentlich von *Englishchen* Volksliedern ausging und auf sie zurueckkomme. Als vor zehn und mehr Jahren die 'Reliques of Ancient Poetry' mir in die Haende fielen, freuten mich einzelne Stuecke so sehr, dass ich sie zu uebersetzen versuchte."—*Vorrede zu den Volksliedern*. Herder's *Saemmtliche Werke*, Achter Theil, s. 89 (Carlsruhe, 1821).

[52] Stanzas 44-46, book i. bring in references to ballad literature in general and to "The Nut-Brown Maid" and "The Children in the Wood" in particular.

## Page 172

[53] Book I. stanzas 32-34.

### CHAPTER IX.

Ossian

In 1760 appeared the first installment of MacPherson's "Ossian." [1] Among those who received it with the greatest curiosity and delight was Gray, who had recently been helping Mason with criticisms on his "Caractacus," published in 1759. From a letter to Walpole (June 1760) it would seem that the latter had sent Gray two manuscript bits of the as yet unprinted "Fragments," communicated to Walpole by Sir David Dalrymple, who furnished Scotch ballads to Percy. "I am so charmed," wrote Gray, "with the two specimens of Erse poetry, that I cannot help giving you the trouble to inquire a little farther about them; and should wish to see a few lines of the original, that I may form some slight idea of the language, the measures and the rhythm. Is there anything known of the author or authors; and of what antiquity are they supposed to be? Is there any more to be had of equal beauty, or at all approaching it?"

In a letter to Shonewher (June 29,) he writes: "I have received another Scotch packet with a third specimen . . . full of nature and noble wild imagination." [2] And in the month following he writes to Wharton: "If you have seen Stonehewer, he has probably told you of my old Scotch (rather Irish) poetry. I am gone mad about them. They are said to be translations (literal and in prose) from the *Erse* tongue, done by one MacPherson, a young clergyman in the Highlands. He means to publish a collection he has of these specimens of antiquity, if it be antiquity; but what plagues me, is, I cannot come at any certainty on that head. I was so struck, so *extasie* with their infinite beauty, that I writ into Scotland to make a thousand enquiries." This is strong language for a man of Gray's coolly critical temper; but all his correspondence of about this date is filled with references to Ossian which enable the modern reader to understand in part the excitement that the book created among Gray's contemporaries. The letters that he got from MacPherson were unconvincing, "ill-wrote, ill-reasoned, calculated to deceive, and yet not cunning enough to do it cleverly." The external evidence disposed him to believe the poems counterfeit; but the impression which they made was such that he was "resolved to believe them genuine, spite of the Devil and the Kirk. It is impossible to convince me that they were invented by the same man that writes me these letters. On the other hand, it is almost as hard to suppose, if they are original, that he should be able to translate them so admirably."

## Page 173

On August 7 he writes to Mason that the Erse fragments have been published five weeks ago in Scotland, though he had not received his copy till the last week. "I continue to think them genuine, though my reasons for believing the contrary are rather stronger than ever." David Hume, who afterward became skeptical as to their authenticity, wrote to Gray, assuring him that these poems were in everybody's mouth in the Highlands, and had been handed down from father to son, from an age beyond all memory and tradition. Gray's final conclusion is very much the same with that of the general public, to which the Ossianic question is even yet a puzzle. "I remain still in doubt about the authenticity of these poems, tho' inclining rather to believe them genuine in spite of the world. Whether they are the inventions of antiquity, or of a modern Scotchman, either case is to me alike unaccountable. *Je m'y perds.*"

We are more concerned here with the impression which MacPherson's books, taking them just as they stand, made upon their contemporary Europe, than with the history of the controversy to which they gave rise, and which is still unsettled after more than a century and a quarter of discussion. Nevertheless, as this controversy began immediately upon their publication, and had reference not only to the authenticity of the Ossianic poems, but also to their literary value; it cannot be altogether ignored in this account. The principal facts upon which it turned may be given in a nut-shell. In 1759 Mr. John Home, author of the tragedy of "Douglas," who had become interested in the subject of Gaelic poetry, met in Dumfriesshire a young Scotchman, named James MacPherson, who was traveling as private tutor to Mr. Graham of Balgowan. MacPherson had in his possession a number of manuscripts which, he said, were transcripts of Gaelic poems taken down from the recital of old people in the Highlands. He translated two of these for Home, who was so much struck with them that he sent or showed copies to Dr. Hugh Blair, Professor of Rhetoric in the University of Edinburgh. At the solicitation of Dr. Blair and Mr. Home, MacPherson was prevailed upon to make further translations from the materials in his hands; and these, to the number of sixteen, were published in the "Fragments" already mentioned, with a preface of eight pages by Blair. They attracted so much attention in Edinburgh that a subscription was started, to send the compiler through the Highlands in search of more Gaelic poetry.

The result of the researches was "Fingal, an Ancient Epic Poem in Six Books: Together with several other poems, composed by Ossian the son of Fingal. Translated from the Gaelic language by James MacPherson," London, 1762; together with "Temora, an Ancient Epic Poem in Eight Books," *etc., etc.*, London, 1763. MacPherson asserted that he had made his versions from Gaelic poems ascribed to Ossian or Oisín, the son of Fingal

## Page 174

or Finn MacCumhail, a chief renowned in Irish and Scottish song and popular legend. Fingal was the king of Morven, a district of the western Highlands, and head of the ancient warlike clan or race of the Feinne or Fenians. Tradition placed him in the third century and connected him with the battle of Gabhra, fought in 281. His son, Ossian, the warrior-bard, survived all his kindred. Blind and old, seated in his empty hall, or the cave of the rock; alone save for the white-armed Malvina, bride of his dead son, Oscar, he struck the harp and sang the memories of his youth: "a tale of the times of old."

MacPherson translated—or composed—his "Ossian" in an exclamatory, abrupt, rhapsodical prose, resembling somewhat the English of Isaiah and others of the books of the prophets. The manners described were heroic, the state of society primitive. The properties were few and simple; the cars of the heroes, their spears, helmets, and blue shields; the harp, the shells from which they drank in the hall, *etc.* Conventional compound epithets abound, as in Homer: the "dark-bosomed" ships, the "car-borne" heroes, the "white-armed" maids, the "long-bounding" dogs of the chase. The scenery is that of the western Highlands; and the solemn monotonous rhythm of MacPherson's style accorded well with the tone of his descriptions, filling the mind with images of vague sublimity and desolation: the mountain torrent, the dark rock in the ocean, the mist on the hills, the ghosts of heroes half seen by the setting moon, the thistle in the ruined courts of chieftains, the grass whistling on the windy heath, the blue stream of Lutha, and the cliffs of sea-surrounded Gormal. It was noticed that there was no mention of the wolf, common in ancient Caledonia; nor of the thrush or lark or any singing bird; nor of the salmon of the sealochs, so often referred to in modern Gaelic poetry. But the deer, the swan, the boar, eagle, and raven occur repeatedly.

But a passage or two will exhibit the language and imagery of the whole better than pages of description. "I have seen the walls of Balclutha, but they were desolate. The fire had resounded in the halls, and the voice of the people is heard no more. The stream of Clutha was removed from its place by the fall of the walls. The thistle shook there its lonely head; the moss whistled to the wind. The fox looked out from the windows, the rank grass of the wall waved round its head. Desolate is the dwelling of Moina, silence is in the house of her fathers. Raise the song of mourning, O bards, over the land of strangers. They have but fallen before us; for, one day, we must fall. Why dost thou build the hall, son of the winged days? Thou lookest from thy towers to-day; yet a few years, and the blast of the desert comes; it howls in thy empty court, and whistles round thy half-worn shield." [3] "They rose rustling like a flock of sea-fowl when the waves expel them from the shore. Their

## Page 175

sound was like a thousand streams that meet in Cona's vale, when, after a stormy night, they turn their dark eddies beneath the pale light of the morn. As the dark shades of autumn fly over hills of grass; so, gloomy, dark, successive came the chiefs of Lochlin's[4] echoing woods. Tall as the stag of Morven, moved stately before them the King.[5] His shining shield is on his side, like a flame on the heath at night; when the world is silent and dark, and the traveler sees some ghost sporting in the beam. Dimly gleam the hills around, and show indistinctly their oaks. A blast from the troubled ocean removed the settled mist. The sons of Erin appear, like a ridge of rocks on the coast; when mariners, on shores unknown are trembling at veering winds." [6]

The authenticity of the "Fragments" of 1760 had not passed without question; but MacPherson brought forward entire epics which, he asserted, were composed by a Highland bard of the third century, handed down through ages by oral tradition, and finally committed—at least in part—to writing and now extant in manuscripts in his possession, there ensued at once a very emphatic expression of incredulity. Among the most truculent of the disbelievers was Dr. Johnson. He had little liking for Scotland, still less for the poetry of barbarism. In his tour of the Western Islands with Boswell in 1773, he showed an insensibility, and even a kind of hostility, to the wild beauties of Highland scenery, which gradually affects the reader with a sense of the ludicrous as he watches his sturdy figure rolling along on a small Highland pony by sequestered Loch Ness, with its fringe of birch trees, or between the prodigious mountains that frown above Glensheal; or seated in a boat off the Mull of Cantyre, listening to the Erse songs of the rowers:

"Breaking the silence of the seas  
Among the farthest Hebrides."

"Dr. Johnson," says Boswell, "owned he was now in a scene of as wild nature as he could see; but he corrected me sometimes in my inaccurate observations. 'There,' said I, 'is a mountain like a cone.' Johnson: 'No, sir. It would be called so in a book, but when a man comes to look at it, he sees it is not so. It is indeed pointed at the top, but one side of it is larger than the other.' Another mountain I called immense. Johnson: 'No; it is no more than a considerable protuberance.'"

Johnson not only disputed the antiquity of MacPherson's "Ossian," but he denied it any poetic merit. Dr. Blair having asked him whether he thought any man of a modern age could have written such poems, he answered: "Yes, sir: many men, many women and many children." "Sir," he exclaimed to Reynolds, "a man might write such stuff forever, if he would *abandon* his mind to it." To Mr. MacQueen, one of his Highland hosts, he said: "I look upon MacPherson's 'Fingal' to be as gross an imposition as ever the world was troubled with." Johnson's arguments were mostly *a priori*. He asserted that the ancient Gael were a barbarous people, incapable of producing poetry of the kind. Long

epics, such as “Fingal” and “Temora,” could not be preserved in memory and handed down by word of mouth. As to ancient manuscripts which MacPherson pretended to have, there was not a Gaelic manuscript in existence a hundred years old.

## Page 176

It is now quite well established that Dr. Johnson was wrong on all these points. To say nothing of the Homeric poems, the ancient Finns, Scandinavians, and Germans were as barbarous as the Gael; yet they produced the Kalewala, the Edda, and the Nibelungen Lied. The Kalewala, a poem of 22, 793 lines—as long as the Iliad—was transmitted orally from a remote antiquity and first printed in 1849. As to Gaelic manuscripts, there are over sixty in the Advocates' Library at Edinburgh, varying in age from three hundred to five hundred years.[7] There is, *e.g.*, the "Glenmasan Manuscript" of the year 1238, containing the story of "Darthula,"[8] which is the groundwork of the same story in MacPherson's "Ossian." There is the important "Dean of Lismore's Book," a manuscript collection made by Dean MacGregory of Lismore, Argyleshire, between 1512 and 1529, containing 11,000 lines of Gaelic poetry, some of which is attributed to Ossian or Oisín. One of the poems is identical in substance with the first book of MacPherson's "Temora;" although Mr. Campbell says, "There is not one line in the Dean's book that I can identify with any line in MacPherson's Gaelic." [9]

Other objections to the authenticity of MacPherson's translations rested upon internal evidence, upon their characteristics of thought and style. It was alleged that the "peculiar tone of sentimental grandeur and melancholy" which distinguishes them, is false to the spirit of all known early poetry, and is a modern note. In particular, it was argued, MacPherson's heroes are too sensitive to the wild and sublime in nature. Professor William R. Sullivan, a high authority on Celtic literature, says that in the genuine and undoubted remains of old Irish poetry belonging to the Leinster or Finnian Cycle and ascribed to Oisín, there is much detail in descriptions of arms, accouterments, and articles of indoor use and ornament, but very little in descriptions of outward nature.[10] On the other hand, the late Principal Shairp regards this "sadness of tone in describing nature" as a strong proof of authenticity. "Two facts," he says, "are enough to convince me of the genuineness of the ancient Gaelic poetry. The truthfulness with which it reflects the melancholy aspects of Highland scenery, the equal truthfulness with which it expresses the prevailing sentiment of the Gael, and his sad sense of his people's destiny. I need no other proofs that the Ossianic poetry is a native formation, and comes from the primeval heart of the Gaelic race." [11] And he quotes, in support of his view, a well-known passage from Matthew Arnold's "Study of Celtic Literature": "The Celts are the prime authors of this vein of piercing regret and passion, of this Titanism in poetry. A famous book, MacPherson's 'Ossian,' carried, in the last century, this vein like a flood of lava through Europe. I am not going to criticise MacPherson's 'Ossian' here. Make the part of what is forged, modern, tawdry,



## Page 177

spurious in the book as large as you please; strip Scotland, if you like, of every feather of borrowed plumes which, on the strength of MacPherson's 'Ossian,' she may have stolen from that *vetus et major Scotia*—Ireland; I make no objection. But there will still be left in the book a residue with the very soul of the Celtic genius in it; and which has the proud distinction of having brought this soul of the Celtic genius into contact with the nations of modern Europe, and enriched all our poetry by it. Woody Morven, and echoing Lora, and Selma with its silent halls! We all owe them a debt of gratitude, and when we are unjust enough to forget it, may the Muse forget us! Choose any one of the better passages in MacPherson's 'Ossian,' and you can see, even at this time of day, what an apparition of newness and of power such a strain must have been in the eighteenth century."

But from this same kind of internal evidence, Wordsworth draws just the opposite conclusion. "The phantom was begotten by the snug embrace of an impudent Highlander upon a cloud of tradition. It traveled southward, where it was greeted with acclamation, and the thin consistence took its course through Europe upon the breath of popular applause.[12]. . . Open this far-famed book! I have done so at random, and the beginning of the epic poem 'Temora,' in eight books, presents itself. 'The blue waves of Ullin roll in light. The green hills are covered with day. Trees shake their dusky heads in the breeze. Gray torrents pour their noisy streams. Two green hills with aged oaks surround a narrow plain. The blue course of a stream is there. On its banks stood Cairbar of Atha. His spear supports the king: the red eyes of his fear are sad. Cormac rises on his soul with all his ghastly wounds. . . ' Having had the good fortune to be born and reared in a mountainous country, from my very childhood I have felt the falsehood that pervades the volumes imposed upon the world under the name of Ossian. From what I saw with my own eyes, I knew that the imagery was spurious. In nature everything is distinct, yet nothing defined into absolute, independent singleness. In MacPherson's work it is exactly the reverse: everything (that is not stolen) is in this manner defined, insulated, dislocated, deadened, yet nothing distinct. It will always be so when words are substituted for things. To say that the characters never could exist; that the manners are impossible; and that a dream has more substance than the whole state of society, as there depicted, is doing nothing more than pronouncing a censure which MacPherson defied. . . Yet, much as these pretended treasures of antiquity have been admired, they have been wholly uninfluential upon the literature of the country. No succeeding writer appears to have caught from them a ray of inspiration; no author in the least distinguished has ventured formally to imitate them, except the boy Chatterton, on their first appearance. . . This incapability to amalgamate with the literature of the Island is, in my estimation, a decisive proof that the book is essentially unnatural; nor should I require any other to demonstrate it to be a forgery, audacious as worthless. Contrast, in this respect, the effect of MacPherson's publication with the 'Reliques' of Percy, so unassuming, so modest in their pretensions."



## Page 178

Other critics have pointed out a similar indistinctness in the human actors, no less than in the landscape features of “Fingal” and “Temora.” They have no dramatic individuality, but are all alike, and all extremely shadowy. “Poor, moaning, monotonous MacPherson” is Carlyle’s alliterative description of the translator of “Ossian”; and it must be confessed that, in spite of the deep poetic feeling which pervades these writings, and the undeniable beauty of single passages, they have damnable iteration. The burden of their song is a burden in every sense. Mr. Malcolm Laing, one of MacPherson’s most persistent adversaries, who published “Notes and Illustrations to Ossian” in 1805, essayed to show, by a minute analysis of the language, that the whole thing was a fabrication, made up from Homer, Milton, the English Bible, and other sources. Thus he compared MacPherson’s “Like the darkened moon when she moves, a dim circle, through heaven, and dreadful change is expected by men,” with Milton’s

“Or from behind the moon,  
In dim eclipse, disastrous twilight sheds  
On half the nations, and with fear of change  
Perplexes monarchs.”

Laing’s method proves too much and might be applied with like results to almost any literary work. And, in general, it is hazardous to draw hard and fast conclusions from internal evidence of the sort just reviewed. Taken altogether, these objections do leave a strong bias upon the mind, and were one to pronounce upon the genuineness of MacPherson’s “Ossian,” as a whole, from impressions of tone and style, it might be guessed that whatever element of true ancient poetry it contains, it had been thoroughly steeped in modern sentiment before it was put before the public. But remembering Beowulf and the Norse mythology, one might hesitate to say that the songs of primitive, heroic ages are always insensible to the sublime in nature; or to admit that melancholy is a Celtic monopoly.

The most damaging feature of MacPherson’s case was his refusal or neglect to produce his originals. The testimony of those who helped him in collecting and translating leaves little doubt that he had materials of some kind; and that these consisted partly of old Gaelic manuscripts, and partly of transcriptions taken down in Gaelic from the recitation of aged persons in the Highlands. These testimonies may be read in the “Report of the Committee of the Highland Society,” Edinburgh, 1805.[13] It is too voluminous to examine here, and it leaves unsettled the point as to the precise use which MacPherson made of his materials, whether, *i.e.*, he gave literal renderings of them, as he professed to do; or whether he manipulated them—and to what extent—by piecing fragments together, lopping, dove-tailing, smoothing, interpolating, modernizing, as Percy did with his ballads. He was challenged to show his Gaelic manuscripts, and Mr. Clerk says that he accepted the challenge. “He deposited the manuscripts at his publishers’, Beckett and De Hondt, Strand, London. He advertised in the newspapers that he had done so; offered to publish them if a sufficient number of subscribers came

forward; and in the *Literary Journal* of the year 1784, Beckett certifies that the manuscripts had lain in his shop for the space of a whole year."<sup>[14]</sup>

## Page 179

But this was more than twenty years after. Mr. Clerk does not show that Johnson or Laing or Shaw or Pinkerton, or any of MacPherson's numerous critics, ever saw any such advertisement, or knew where the manuscripts were to be seen; or that—being ignorant of Gaelic—it would have helped them if they had known; and he admits that “MacPherson's subsequent conduct, in postponing from time to time the publication, when urged to it by friends who had liberally furnished him with means for the purpose . . . is indefensible.” In 1773 and 1775, *e.g.*, Dr. Johnson was calling loudly for the production of the manuscripts. “The state of the question,” he wrote to Boswell, February 7, 1775, “is this. He and Dr. Blair, whom I consider as deceived, say that he copied the poem from old manuscripts. His copies, if he had them—and I believe him to have none—are nothing. Where are thee manuscripts? They can be shown if they exist, but they were never shown. *De non existentibus et non apparentibus eadem est ratio.*” And during his Scotch trip in 1773, at a dinner at Sir Alexander Gordon's, Johnson said: “If the poems were really translated, they were certainly first written down. Let Mr. MacPherson deposit the manuscripts in one of the colleges at Aberdeen, where there are people who can judge; and if the professors certify their authenticity, then there will be an end of the controversy. If he does not take this obvious and easy method, he gives the best reason to doubt.”

Indeed the subsequent history of these alleged manuscripts casts the gravest suspicion on MacPherson's good faith. A thousand pounds were finally subscribed to pay for the publication of the Gaelic texts. But these MacPherson never published. He sent the manuscripts which were ultimately published in 1807 to his executor, Mr. John Mackenzie; and he left one thousand pounds by his will to defray the expense of printing them. After MacPherson's death in 1796, Mr. Mackenzie “delayed the publication from day to day, and at last handed over the manuscripts to the Highland Society,”<sup>[15]</sup> which had them printed in 1807, nearly a half century after the first appearance of the English Ossian.<sup>[16]</sup> These, however, were not the identical manuscripts which MacPherson had found, or said that he had found, in his tour of exploration through the Highlands. They were all in his own handwriting or in that of his amanuenses. Moreover the Rev. Thomas Ross was employed by the society to transcribe them and conform the spelling to that of the Gaelic Bible, which is modern. The printed text of 1807, therefore, does not represent accurately even MacPherson's Gaelic. Whether the transcriber took any further liberties than simply modernizing the spelling cannot be known, for the same mysterious fate that overtook MacPherson's original collections followed his own manuscript. This, after being at one time in the Advocates' Library, has now utterly disappeared. Mr. Campbell

## Page 180

thinks that under this double process of distillation—a copy by MacPherson and then a copy by Ross—“the ancient form of the language, if it was ancient, could hardly survive.”[17] “What would become of Chaucer,” he asks, “so maltreated and finally spelt according to modern rules of grammar and orthography? I have found by experience that an alteration in ‘spelling’ may mean an entire change of construction and meaning, and a substitution of whole words.”

But the Gaelic text of 1807 was attacked in more vital points than its spelling. It was freely charged with being an out-and-out fabrication, a translation of MacPherson’s English prose into modern Gaelic. This question is one which must be settled by Gaelic scholars, and these still disagree. In 1862 Mr. Campbell wrote: “When the Gaelic ‘Fingal,’ published in 1807, is compared with any one of the translations which purport to have been made from it, it seems to me incomparably superior. It is far simpler in diction. It has a peculiar rhythm and assonance which seem to repel the notion of a mere translation from English, as something almost absurd. It is impossible that it can be a translation from MacPherson’s English, unless there was some clever Gaelic poet[18] then alive, able and willing to write what Eton schoolboys call ‘full-sense verses.’” The general testimony is that MacPherson’s own knowledge of Gaelic was imperfect. Mr. Campbell’s summary of the whole matter—in 1862—is as follows: “My theory then is, that about the beginning of the eighteenth century, or the end of the seventeenth, or earlier, Highland bards may have fused floating popular traditions into more complete forms, engrafting their own ideas on what they found; and that MacPherson found their works, translated and altered them; published the translation in 1760;[19] made the Gaelic ready for the press; published some of it in 1763,[20] and made away with the evidence of what he had done, when he found that his conduct was blamed. I can see no other way out of the maze of testimony.” But by 1872 Mr. Campbell had come to a conclusion much less favorable to the claims of the Gaelic text. He now considers that the English was first composed by MacPherson and that “he and other translators afterward worked at it and made a Gaelic equivalent whose merit varies according to the translator’s skill and knowledge of Gaelic.”[21] On the other hand, Mr. W. F. Skene and Mr. Archibald Clerk, are confident that the Gaelic is the original and the English the translation. Mr. Clerk, who reprinted the Highland Society’s text in 1870,[22] with a literal translation of his own on alternate pages and MacPherson’s English at the foot of the page, believes implicitly in the antiquity and genuineness of the Gaelic originals. “MacPherson,” he writes, “got much from manuscripts and much from oral recitation. It is most probable that he has given the minor poems exactly as he found them. He may have made considerable changes in the larger ones in giving them their present form; although I do not believe that he, or any of his assistants, added much even in the way of connecting links between the various episodes.”

## Page 181

To a reader unacquainted with Gaelic, comparing MacPherson's English with Mr. Clerk's, it certainly looks unlikely that the Gaelic can be merely a translation from the former. The reflection in a mirror cannot be more distinct than the object it reflects; and if Mr. Clerk's version can be trusted (it appears to be more literal though less rhetorical than MacPherson's) the Gaelic is often concrete and sharp where MacPherson is general; often plain where he is figurative or ornate; and sometimes of a meaning quite different from his rendering. Take, *e.g.*, the closing passage of the second "Duan," or book, of "Fingal."

"An arrow found his manly breast. He sleeps with his loved Galbina at the noise of the sounding surge. Their green tombs are seen by the mariner, when he bounds on the waves of the north."—*MacPherson*.

"A ruthless arrow found his breast.  
His sleep is by thy side, Galbina,  
Where wrestles the wind with ocean.  
The sailor sees their graves as one,  
When rising on the ridge of the waves."

—*Clerk*

But again Mr. Archibald Sinclair, a Glasgow publisher, a letter from whom is given by Mr. Campbell in his "Tales of the West Highlands," has "no hesitation in affirming that a considerable portion of the Gaelic which is published as the original of his [MacPherson's] translation, is actually translated back from the English." And Professor Sullivan says: "The so-called originals are a very curious kind of mosaic, constructed evidently with great labor afterward, in which sentences or parts of sentences of genuine poems are cemented together in a very inferior word-paste of MacPherson's own." [23]

It is of course no longer possible to maintain what Mr. Campbell says is the commonest English opinion, *viz.*, that MacPherson invented the characters and incidents of his "Ossian," and that the poems had no previous existence in any shape. The evidence is overwhelming that there existed, both in Ireland and the Scottish Highlands traditions, tales, and poems popularly attributed to Oisín, the son of Finn MacCumhail. But no poem has been found which corresponds exactly to any single piece in MacPherson; and Sullivan cites, as one proof of the modern and spurious character of these versions, the fact that they mingle names from the ancient hero-cycle, like Darthula, Cuthullin, and Conlach, with names belonging to the Finnian cycle, as is never the case in the authentic and undoubted remains of Celtic poetry. Between 1760, the date of MacPherson's "Fragments," and 1807, the date of the Highland Society's text, there had been published independently nine hundred lines of Ossianic verse in Gaelic in Gillie's collection, 1786, and Stewart's, 1804. In 1780 Dr. Smith had published his "Ancient

Lays,” a free translation from Gaelic fragments, which he subsequently printed (1787) under the title “Sean Dana,” Smith frankly took liberties with his originals, such as we may suppose that MacPherson took with his; but he made no secret of this and, by giving the Gaelic on which his paraphrase rested, he enabled the public to see how far his “Ancient Lays,” were really ancient, and how far they were built up into poetic wholes by his own editorial labors.[24]

## Page 182

Wordsworth's assertion of the failure of MacPherson's "Ossian" to "amalgamate with the literature of this island" needs some qualifications. That it did not enter into English literature in a formative way, as Percy's ballads did, is true enough, and is easy of explanation. In the first place, it was professedly a prose translation from poetry in another tongue, and could hardly, therefore, influence the verse and diction of English poetry directly. It could not even work upon them as directly as many foreign literatures have worked; as the ancient classical literatures, *e.g.*, have always worked; or as Italian and French and German have at various times worked; for the Gaelic was practically inaccessible to all but a few special scholars. Whatever its beauty or expressiveness, it was in worse case than a dead language, for it was marked with the stigma of barbarism. In its palmiest days it had never been what the Germans called a *Kultursprache*; and now it was the idiom of a few thousand peasants and mountaineers, and was rapidly becoming extinct even in its native fastnesses.

Whatever effect was to be wrought by the Ossianic poems upon the English mind, was to be wrought in the dress which MacPherson had given them. And perhaps, after all, the tumid and rhetorical cast of MacPherson's prose had a great deal to do with producing the extraordinary enthusiasm with which his "wild paraphrases," as Mr. Campbell calls them, were received by the public. The age was tired of polish, of wit, of over-civilization; it was groping toward the rude, the primitive, the heroic; had begun to steep itself in melancholy sentiment and to feel a dawning admiration of mountain solitudes and the hoary past. Suddenly here was what it had been waiting for—"a tale of the times of old"; and the solemn, dirge-like chant of MacPherson's sentences, with the peculiar manner of his narrative, its repetitions, its want of transitions, suited well with his matter. "Men had been talking under their breath, and in a mincing dialect so long," says Leslie Stephen, "that they were easily gratified and easily imposed upon by an affectation of vigorous and natural sentiment."

The impression was temporary, but it was immediate and powerful. Wordsworth was wrong when he said that no author of distinction except Chatterton had ventured formally to imitate Ossian. A generation after the appearance of the "Fragments" we find the youthful Coleridge alluding to "Ossian" in the preface<sup>[25]</sup> to his first collection of poems (1793), which contains two verse imitations of the same, as *ecce signum*:

"How long will ye round me be swelling,  
O ye blue-tumbling waves of the sea?  
Not always in caves was my dwelling,  
Nor beneath the cold blast of the tree," *etc.*, *etc.*<sup>[26]</sup>

## Page 183

In Byron's "House of Idleness" (1807), published when he was a Cambridge undergraduate, is a piece of prose founded on the episode of Nisus and Euryalus in the "Aeneid" and entitled "The Death of Calmar and Orla—An Imitation of MacPherson's Ossian." "What form rises on the roar of clouds? Whose dark ghost gleams in the red stream of tempests? His voice rolls on the thunder. 'Tis Orla, the brown chief of Orthona. . . Lovely wast thou, son of blue-eyed Morla," etc. After reading several pages of such stuff, one comes to feel that Byron could do this sort of thing about as well as MacPherson himself; and indeed, that Johnson was not so very far wrong when he said that anyone could do it if he would abandon his mind to it. Chatterton applied the Ossianic verbiage in a number of pieces which he pretended to have translated from the Saxon: "Ethelgar," "Kenrick," "Cerdick," and "Gorthmund"; as well as in a composition which he called "Godred Crovan," from the Manx dialect, and one from the ancient British, which he entitled "The Heilas." He did not catch the trick quite so successfully as Byron, as a passage or two from "Kenrick" will show: "Awake, son of Eldulph! Thou that sleepest on the white mountain, with the fairest of women; no more pursue the dark brown wolf: arise from the mossy bank of the falling waters: let thy garments be stained in blood, and the streams of life discolor thy girdle. . . Cealwulf of the high mountain, who viewed the first rays of the morning star, swift as the flying deer, strong as a young oak, fiery as an evening wolf, drew his sword; glittering like the blue vapors in the valley of Horso; terrible as the red lightning bursting from the dark-brown clouds, his swift bark rode over the foaming waves like the wind in the tempest."

In a note on his Ossianic imitation, Byron said that Mr. Laing had proved Ossian an impostor, but that the merit of MacPherson's work remained, although in parts his diction was turgid and bombastic.[27] A poem in the "Hours of Idleness," upon the Scotch mountain "Lachin Y Gair," has two Ossianic lines in quotation points—

"Shades of the dead! have I not heard your voices  
Rise on the night-rolling breath of the gale?"

Byron attributed much importance to his early recollections of Highland scenery, which he said had prepared him to love the Alps and "blue Friuli's mountains," and "the Acroceraunian mountains of old name." But the influence of Ossian upon Byron and his older contemporaries was manifested in subtler ways than in formal imitations. It fell in with that current of feeling which Carlyle called "Wertherism," and helped to swell it. It chimed with the tone that sounds through the German *Sturm und Drang* period; that impatience of restraint, that longing to give full swing to the claims of the elementary passions, and that desperation when these are checked by the arrangements of modern society, which we encounter in Rousseau and the young Goethe. Hence the romantic gloom, the Byronic *Zerrissenheit*, to use Heine's word, which drove the poet from the rubs of social life to waste places of nature and sometimes to suicide. In such a mood the mind recurred to the language of Ossian, as the fit expression of its own indefinite and stormy griefs.



## Page 184

"Homer," writes Werther, "has been superseded in my heart by the divine Ossian. Through what a world does this angelic bard carry me! With him I wander over barren wastes and frightful wilds; surrounded by whirlwinds and hurricanes, trace by the feeble light of the moon the shades of our noble ancestors; hear from the mountainous heights, intermingled with the roaring of waves and cataracts, their plaintive tones stealing from cavernous recesses; while the pensive monody of some love-stricken maiden, who heaves her departing sighs over the moss-clad grave of the warrior by whom she was adored, makes up the inarticulate concert. I trace this bard, with his silver locks, as he wanders in the valley and explores the footsteps of his fathers. Alas! no vestige remains but their tombs. His thought then hangs on the silver moon, as her sinking beams play upon the rippling main; and the remembrance of deeds past and gone recurs to the hero's mind—deeds of times when he gloried in the approach of danger, and emulation nerved his whole frame; when the pale orb shone upon his bark, laden with the spoils of his enemy, and illuminated his triumphant return. When I see depicted on his countenance a bosom full of woe; when I behold his heroic greatness sinking into the grave, and he exclaims, as he throws a glance at the cold sod which is to lie upon him: 'Hither will the traveler who is sensible of my worth bend his weary steps, and seek the soul-enlivening bard, the illustrious son of Fingal; his foot will tread upon my tomb, but his eyes shall never behold me'; at this time it is, my dear friend, that, like some renowned and chivalrous knight, I could instantly draw my sword; rescue my prince from a long, irksome existence of languor and pain; and then finish by plunging the weapon into my own breast, that I might accompany the demi-god whom my hand had emancipated." [28]

In his last interview with Charlotte, Werther, who had already determined upon suicide, reads aloud to her, from "The Songs of Selma," "that tender passage wherein Armin deplores the loss of his beloved daughter. 'Alone on the sea-beat rocks, my daughter was heard to complain. Frequent and loud were her cries. What could her father do? All night I stood on the shore. I saw her by the faint beam of the moon,'" etc. The reading is interrupted by a mutual flood of tears. "They traced the similitude of their own misfortune in this unhappy tale. . . The pointed allusion of those words to the situation of Werther rushed with all the electric rapidity of lightning to the inmost recesses of his soul."

## Page 185

It is significant that one of Ossian's most fervent admirers was Chateaubriand, who has been called the inventor of modern melancholy and of the primeval forest. Here is a passage from his "Genie du Christianisme":[29] "Under a cloudy sky, on the coast of that sea whose tempests were sung by Ossian, their Gothic architecture has something grand and somber. Seated on a shattered altar in the Orkneys, the traveler is astonished at the dreariness of those places: sudden fogs, vales where rises the sepulchral stone, streams flowing through wild heaths, a few reddish pine trees, scattered over a naked desert studded with patches of snow; such are the only objects which present themselves to his view. The wind circulates among the ruins, and their innumerable crevices become so many tubes, which heave a thousand sighs. Long grasses wave in the apertures of the domes, and beyond these apertures you behold the flitting clouds and the soaring sea-eagle. . . Long will those four stones which mark the tombs of heroes on the moors of Caledonia, long will they continue to attract the contemplative traveler. Oscar and Malvina are gone, but nothing is changed in their solitary country. 'Tis no longer the hand of the bard himself that sweeps the harp; the tones we hear are the slight trembling of the strings, produced by the touch of a spirit, when announcing at night, in a lonely chamber, the death of a hero. . . So when he sits in the silence of noon in the valley of his breezes is the murmur of the mountain to Ossian's ear: the gale drowns it often in its course, but the pleasant sound returns again."

In Byron's passion for night and tempest, for the wilderness, the mountains, and the sea, it is of course impossible to say how large a share is attributable directly to MacPherson's "Ossian," or more remotely, through Chateaubriand and other inheritors of the Ossianic mood. The influence of any particular book becomes dispersed and blended with a hundred currents that are in the air. But I think one has often a consciousness of Ossian in reading such passages as the famous apostrophe to the ocean in "Childe Harold"—

"Roll on, thou deep and dark blue ocean, roll!"—

Which recalls the address to the sun in Carthage—"O thou that rollest above, round as the shield of my fathers,"—perhaps the most hackneyed *locus classicus* in the entire work; or as the lines beginning,

"O that the desert were my dwelling place;"[30]

or the description of the storm in the Jura:

"And this is in the night: Most glorious night!  
Thou wert not sent for slumber. Let me be  
A sharer in thy fierce and far delight  
A portion of the tempest and of thee."[30]

## Page 186

Walter Scott, while yet a lad, made acquaintance with Ossian through Dr. Blacklock, and was at first delighted; but “the tawdry repetitions of the Ossianic phraseology,” he confesses, “disgusted me rather sooner than might have been expected from my age.” He afterward contributed an essay on the authenticity of the poems to the proceedings of the Speculative Club of Edinburgh. In one sense of the word Scott was the most romantic of romanticists; but in another sense he was very little romantic, and there was not much in his sane, cheerful, and robust nature upon which such poetry as Ossian could fasten.[31] It is just at this point, indeed, that definitions diverge and the two streams of romantic tendency part company. These Carlyle has called “Wertherism” and “Goetzism”[32] *i.e.* sentimentalism and mediaevalism, though so mild a word as sentimentalism fails to express adequately the morbid despair to which “Werther” gave utterance, and has associations with works of a very different kind, such as the fictions of Richardson and Sterne. In England, Scott became the foremost representative of “Goetzism,” and Byron of “Wertherism.” The pessimistic, sardonic heroes of “Manfred,” “Childe Harold,” and “The Corsair” were the latest results of the “*Il Penseroso*” literature, and their melodramatic excesses already foretokened a reaction.

Among other testimonies to Ossian’s popularity in England are the numerous experiments at versifying MacPherson’s prose. These were not over-successful and only a few of them require mention here. The Rev. John Wodrow, a Scotch minister, “attempted” “Carthon,” “The Death of Cuthullin” and “Darthula” in heroic couplets, in 1769; and “Fingal” in 1771. In the preface to his “Fingal,” he maintained that there was no reasonable doubt of the antiquity and authenticity of MacPherson’s “Ossian.” “Fingal”—which seems to have been the favorite—was again turned into heroic couplets by Ewen Cameron, in 1776, prefaced by the attestations of a number of Highland gentlemen to the genuineness of the originals; and by an argumentative introduction, in which the author quotes Dr. Blair’s *dictum* that Ossian was the equal of Homer and Vergil “in strength of imagination, in grandeur of sentiment, and in native majesty of passion.” National pride enlisted most of the Scotch scholars on the affirmative side of the question, and made the authenticity of Ossian almost an article of belief. Wodrow’s heroics were merely respectable. The quality of Cameron’s may be guessed from a half dozen lines:

“When Moran, one commissioned to explore  
The distant seas, came running from the shore  
And thus exclaimed—’Cuthullin, rise! The ships  
Of snowy Lochlin hide the rolling deeps.  
Innumerable foes the land invade,  
And Swaran seems determined to succeed.’”

Whatever impressiveness belonged to MacPherson’s cadenced prose was lost in these metrical versions, which furnish a perfect *reductio ad absurdum* of the critical folly that compared Ossian with Homer. Homer could not be put in any dress through which the beauty and interest of the original would not appear. Still again, in 1786, “Fingal” was

done into heroics by a Mr. R. Hole, who varied his measures with occasional ballad stanzas, thus:

## Page 187

"But many a fair shall melt with woe  
At thy soft strain in future days,  
And many a manly bosom glow,  
Congenial to thy lofty lays."

These versions were all emitted in Scotland. But as late as 1814 "Fingal" appeared once more in verse, this time in London, and in a variety of meters by Mr. George Harvey; who, in his preface, expressed the hope that Walter Scott would feel moved to cast "Ossian" into the form of a metrical romance, like "Marmion" or "The Lay of the Last Minstrel." The best English poem constructed from MacPherson is "The Six Bards of Ossian Versified," by Sir Egerton Brydges (dated in 1784).[33] The passage selected was the one which Gray so greatly admired,[34] from a note to "Croma," in the original "Fragments." Six bards who have met at the hall of a chieftain, on an October night, go out one after another to observe the weather, and return to report their observations, each ending with the refrain "Receive me from the night, my friends." The whole episode is singularly arresting, and carries a conviction of reality too often wanting in the epic portions of MacPherson's collection.

Walpole, at first, was nearly as much charmed by the "Fragments" as Gray had been. He wrote to Dalrymple that they were real poetry, natural poetry, like the poetry of the East. He liked particularly the synonym for an echo—"son of the rock"; and in a later letter he said that all doubts which he might once have entertained as to their genuineness had disappeared. But Walpole's literary judgments were notoriously capricious. In his subsequent correspondence with Mason and others, he became very contemptuous of MacPherson's "cold skeleton of an epic poem, that is more insipid than 'Leonidas.'" "Ossian," he tells Mason, in a letter dated March, 1783, has become quite incredible to him; but Mrs. Montagu—the founder of the Blue Stocking Club—still "holds her feast of shells in her feather dressing-room."

The Celtic Homer met with an even warmer welcome abroad than at home. He was rendered into French,[35] German, Italian, Spanish, Dutch, Polish, and possibly other languages. Bonaparte was a great lover of Ossian, and carried about with him a copy of Cesarotti's Italian version. A resemblance has been fancied between MacPherson's manner and the grandiloquent style of Bonaparte's bulletins and dispatches.[36] In Germany Ossian naturally took most strongly. He was translated into hexameters by a Vienna Jesuit named Michael Denis[37] and produced many imitations. Herder gave three translations from "Ossian" in his "Stimmen der Voelker" (1778-79) and prefixed to the whole collection an essay "Ueber Ossian und die Lieder alter Voelker" written in 1773. Schiller was one of the converts; Klopstock and his circle called themselves "bards"; and an exclamatory and violent mannerism came into vogue, known in German literary history as *Bardengebruell*. MacPherson's personal history need not be followed

## Page 188

here in detail. In 1764 he went to Pensacola as secretary to Governor Johnston. He was afterward a government pamphleteer, writing against Junius and in favor of taxing the American colonies. He was appointed agent to the Nabob of Arcot; sat in Parliament for the borough of Camelford, and built a handsome Italian villa in his native parish; died in 1796, leaving a large fortune, and was buried in Westminster Abbey. In 1773 he was ill-advised enough to render the “Iliad” into Ossianic prose. The translation was overwhelmed with ridicule, and probably did much to increase the growing disbelief in the genuineness of “Fingal” and “Temora.”

[1] “Fragments of Ancient Poetry collected in the Highlands of Scotland, and translated from the Gaelic or Erse language.” Edinburgh, MDCCLX. 70 pp.

[2] This was sent him by MacPherson and was a passage not given in the “Fragments.”

[3] From “Carthon.”

[4] Scandinavia

[5] An unconscious hexameter.

[6] From “Fingal” book ii.

[7] See the dissertation by Rev. Archibald Clerk in his “Poems of Ossian in the Original Gaelic, with a literal translation into English.” 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1870.

[8] This story as been retold, from Irish sources, in Dr. R. D. Joyce’s poem of “Deirdre,” Boston, 1876.

[9] See “Leabhar na Feinne, Heroic Gaelic Ballads, Collected in Scotland, chiefly from 1512 to 1871. Arranged by J. F. Campbell,” London, 1872. Selections from “The Dean of Lismore’s Book” were edited and published at Edinburgh in 1862, by Rev. Thomas MacLauchlan, with a learned introduction by Mr. W. F. Skene.

[10] Article on “Celtic Literature” in the “Encyclopedia Britannica.”

[11] “Aspects of Poetry,” by J. C. Shairp, 1872, pp. 244-45 (American Edition).

[12] Appendix to the Preface to the Second Edition of “Lyrical Ballads.” Taine says that Ossian “with Oscar, Malvina, and his whole troop, made the tour of Europe; and, about 1830, ended by furnishing baptismal names for French *grisettes* and *perruquiers*.”—*English Literature*, Vol. II. p. 220 (American Edition).

[13] The Committee found that Gaelic poems, and fragments of poems, which they had been able to obtain, contained often the substance, and sometimes the “literal expression (the *ipsissima verba*)” of passages given by MacPherson. “But,” continues the “Report,” “the Committee has not been able to obtain any one poem the same in title and tenor with the poems published by him. It is inclined to believe that he was in use to supply chasms and to give connection, by inserting passages which he did not find; and to add what he conceived to be dignity and delicacy to the original composition, by striking out passages, by softening incidents, by refining the language: in short, by changing what he considered as too simple or too rude for a modern ear.”

[14] “Dissertation on the Authenticity of the Poems.” See *ante*, p. 313.

## Page 189

[15] Clerk.

[16] "The Poems of Ossian in the Original Gaelic, with a Literal Translation into Latin by the late Robert Macfarland, *etc.*, Published under the Sanction of the Highland Society of London," 3 vols., London, 1807. The work included dissertations on the authenticity of the poems by Sir Jno. Sinclair, and the Abbe Cesarotti (translated). Four hundred and twenty-three lines of Gaelic, being the alleged original of the seventh book of "Temora," had been published with that epic in 1763.

[17] "Popular Tales of the West Highlands," J. F. Campbell, Edinburgh, 1862. Vol. IV. P. 156.

[18] He suggests Lachlan MacPherson of Strathmashie, one of MacPherson's helpers. "Popular Tales of the West Highlands."

[19] "Fragments," *etc.*

[20] Seventh book of "Temora." See *ante*, p. 321.

[21] "Leabhar Na Feinne," p. xii.

[22] See *ante*, p. 313, note.

[23] "Encyclopaedia Britannica": "Celtic Literature."

[24] For a further account of the state of the "authenticity" question, see Archibald McNeil's "Notes on the Authenticity of Ossian's Poems," 1868; and an article on "Ossian" in *Macmillan's Magazine*, XXIV. 113-25.

[25] "The sweet voice of Cona never sounds so sweetly as when it speaks of itself."

[26] "The Complaint of Ninathoma."

[27] For some MS. Notes of Byron in a copy of "Ossian," see Phelps' "English Romantic Movement," pp. 153-54.

[28] "Sorrows of Werther," Letter lxviii.

[29] "Caledonia, or Ancient Scotland," book ii. chapter vii. part iv.

[30] "Childe Harold," canto iii.

[31] The same is true of Burns, though references to Cuthullin's dog Luath, in "The Twa Dogs"; to "Caric-thura" in "The Whistle"; and to "Cath-Loda" in the notes on "The Vision," show that Burns knew his Ossian.





[32] From Goethe's "Goetz von Berlichingen."

[33] See "Poems by Saml. Egerton Brydges," 4th ed., London, 1807. pp. 87-96.

[34] See *ante*, p. 117.

[35] There were French translations by Letourneur in 1777 and 1810: by Lacaussade in 1842; and an imitation by Baour-Lormian in 1801.

[36] See Perry's "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 417.

[37] One suspects this translator to have been of Irish descent. He was born at Schaerding, Bavaria, in 1729.

## CHAPTER X.

Thomas Chatterton.

The history of English romanticism has its tragedy: the life and death of Thomas Chatterton—

"The marvelous boy,  
The sleepless soul that perished in his pride." [1]

The story has been often told, but it may be told again here; for, aside from its dramatic interest, and leaving out of question the absolute value of the Rowley poems, it is most instructive as to the conditions which brought about the romantic revival. It shows by what process antiquarianism became poetry.

## Page 190

The scene of the story was the ancient city of Bristol—old Saxon *Bricgestowe*, “place of the bridge”—bridge, namely, over the Avon stream, not far above its confluence with the Severn. Here Chatterton was born in 1752, the posthumous son of a dissipated schoolmaster, whose ancestors for a hundred and fifty years had been, in unbroken succession, sextons to the church of St. Mary Redcliffe. Perhaps it may be more than an idle fancy to attribute to heredity the bent which Chatterton’s genius took spontaneously and almost from infancy; to guess that some mysterious ante-natal influence—“striking the electric chain wherewith we are darkly bound”—may have set vibrating links of unconscious association running back through the centuries. Be this as it may, Chatterton was the child of Redcliffe Church. St. Mary stood by his cradle and rocked it; and if he did not inherit with his blood, or draw in with his mother’s milk a veneration for her ancient pile; at least the waters of her baptismal font<sup>[2]</sup> seemed to have signed him with the token of her service. Just as truly as “The Castle of Otranto” was sprung from Strawberry Hill, the Rowley poems were born of St. Mary’s Church.

Chatterton’s father had not succeeded to the sextonship, but he was a sub-chanter in Bristol Cathedral, and his house and school in Pile Street were only a few yards from Redcliffe Church. In this house Chatterton was born, under the eaves almost of the sanctuary; and when his mother removed soon after to another house, where she maintained herself by keeping a little dame’s school and doing needle work, it was still on Redcliffe Hill and in close neighborhood to St. Mary’s. The church itself—“the pride of Bristowe and the western land”—is described as “one of the finest parish churches in England,”<sup>[3]</sup> a rich specimen of late Gothic or “decorated” style; its building or restoration dating from the middle of the fifteenth century. Chatterton’s uncle by marriage, Richard Phillips, had become sexton in 1748, and the boy had the run of the aisles and transepts. The stone effigies of knights, priests, magistrates, and other ancient civic worthies stirred into life under his intense and brooding imagination; his mind took color from the red and blue patterns thrown on the pavement by the stained glass of the windows; and he may well have spelled out much of the little Latin that he knew from “the knightly brasses of the tombs” and “cold *hic jacets* of the dead.”

It is curious how early his education was self-determined to its peculiar ends. A dreamy, silent, solitary child, given to fits of moodiness, he was accounted dull and even stupid. He would not, or could not, learn his letters until, in his seventh year, his eye was caught by the illuminated capitals in an old music folio. From these his mother taught him the alphabet, and a little later he learned to read from a black-letter Bible. “Paint me an angel with wings and a trumpet,” he answered,

## Page 191

when asked what device he would choose for the little earthenware bowl that had been promised him as a gift.[4] Colston's Hospital, where he was put to school, was built on the site of a demolished monastery of Carmelite Friars; the scholars wore blue coats, with metal plates on their breasts stamped with the image of a dolphin, the armorial crest of the founder, and had their hair cropped short in imitation of the monkish tonsure. As the boy grew into a youth, there were numbered among his near acquaintances, along with the vintners, sugar-bakers, pipe-makers, apothecaries, and other tradesmen of the Bristol *bourgeoisie*, two church organists, a miniature painter, and an engraver of coats-of-arms—figures quaintly suggestive of that mingling of municipal life and ecclesiastical-mediaeval art which is reproduced in the Rowley poems.

"Chatterton," testifies one of his early acquaintances, "was fond of walking in the fields, particularly in Redcliffe meadows, and of talking of his manuscripts, and sometimes reading them there. There was one spot in particular, full in view of the church, in which he seemed to take a peculiar delight. He would frequently lay himself down, fix his eyes upon the church, and seem as if he were in a kind of trance. Then on a sudden he would tell me: 'That steeple was burnt down by lightning: that was the place where they formerly acted plays.'" "Among his early studies," we are told, "antiquities, and especially the surroundings of medieval life, were the favorite subjects; heraldry seems especially to have had a fascination for him. He supplied himself with charcoal, black-lead, ochre, and other colors; and with these it was his delight to delineate, in rough and quaint figures, churches, castles, tombs of mailed warriors, heraldic emblazonments, and other like belongings of the old world." [5]

Is there not a breath of the cloister in all this, reminding one of the child martyr in Chaucer's "Prioress Tale," the "littel clergeon, seven yeer of age"?

"This litel child his litel book lerninge,  
As he sat in the scole at his prymer,  
He 'Alma redemptoris' herde singe,  
As children lerned hir antiphoner."

A choir boy bred in cathedral closes, catching his glimpses of the sky not through green boughs, but through the treetops of the Episcopal gardens discolored by the lancet windows of the clear-stories; dreaming in the organ loft in the pauses of the music, when

"The choristers, sitting with faces aslant,  
Feel the silence to consecrate more than the chant."

## Page 192

Thus Chatterton's sensitive genius was taking the impress of its environment. As he pored upon the antiquities of his native city, the idea of its life did sweetly creep into his study of imagination; and he gradually constructed for himself a picture of fifteenth-century Bristol, including a group of figures, partly historical and partly fabulous, all centering about Master William Canynge. Canynge was the rich Bristol merchant who founded or restored St. Mary Redcliffe's; was several times mayor of the city in the reigns of Henry VI. and Edward IV., and once represented the borough in Parliament. Chatterton found or fabled that he at length took holy orders and became dean of Westbury College. About Canynge Chatterton arranged a number of *dramatis personae*, some of whose names he discovered in old records and documents, such as Carpenter, Bishop of Worcester, and Sir Theobald Gorges, a knight of Wraxhall, near Bristol; together with others entirely of his own invention—as John a Iscam, whom he represents to have been a canon of St. Augustine's Abbey in Bristol; and especially one Thomas Rowley, parish priest of St. John's, employed by Canynge to collect manuscripts and antiquities. He was his poet laureate and father confessor, and to him Chatterton ascribed most of the verses which pass under the general name of the Rowley poems. But Iscam was also a poet and Master Canynge himself sometimes burst into song. Samples of the Iscam and the Canynge muse diversify the collection. The great Bristol merchant was a mediaeval Maecenas, and at his house, "nempned the Red Lodge," were played interludes—"Aella," "Goddwyn," and "The Parliament of Sprites"—composed by Rowley, or by Rowley and Iscam collaborating. Canynge sometimes wrote the prologues; and Rowley fed his patron with soft dedication and complimentary verses: "On Our Lady's Church," "Letter to the dygne Master Canynge," "The Account of W. Canynge's Feast," etc. The well-known fifteenth-century poet Lydgate is also introduced into this literary *cenacle*, as John Ladgate, and made to exchange verse epistles with Rowley in eighteenth-century fashion. Such is the remarkable fiction which the marvelous boy erected, as a scaffolding for the fabric of sham-antique poetry and prose, which he build up during the years 1767 to 1770, *i.e.*, from the fifteenth to the eighteenth year of his age.

There is a wide distance between the achievements of this untaught lad of humble birth and narrow opportunities, and the works of the great Sir Walter, with his matured powers and his stores of solid antiquarian lore. But the impulse that conducted them to their not dissimilar tasks was the same. In "Yarrow Revisited," Wordsworth uses, a *propos* of Scott, the expression "localized romance." It was, indeed, the absorbing local feeling of Scott, his patriotism, his family pride, his attachment to the soil, that brought passion and poetry into his historical pursuits.

## Page 193

With Chatterton, too, this absorption in the past derived its intensity from his love of place. Bristol was his world; in “The Battle of Hastings,” he did not forget to introduce a Bristowan contingent, led by a certain fabulous Alfwold, and performing prodigies of valor upon the Normans. The image of mediaeval life which he succeeded in creating was, of course, a poor, faint *simulacrum*, compared with Scott’s. He lacked knowledge, leisure, friends, long life—everything that was needed to give his work solidity. All that he had was a creative, though undisciplined imagination, together with an astonishing industry, persistence, and secretiveness. Yet with all his disadvantages, his work, with all its imperfections, is far more striking than the imitative verse of the Wartons, or the thin, diffused medievalism of Walpole and Clara Reeve. It is the product of a more original mind and a more intense conception.

In the muniment room over the north porch of St. Mary Redcliffe’s were several old chests filled with parchments: architectural memoranda, church-wardens’ accounts, inventories of vestments, and similar parish documents. One of these chests, known as Master Canynge’s coffer, had been broken open some years before, and whatever was of value among its contents removed to a place of safety. The remainder of the parchments had been left scattered about, and Chatterton’s father had carried a number of them home and used them to cover copy-books. The boy’s eye was attracted by these yellow sheep-skins, with their antique script; he appropriated them and kept them locked up in his room.

How early he conceived the idea of making this treasure-trove responsible for the Rowley myth, which was beginning to take shape in his mind, is uncertain. According to the testimony of a schoolfellow, by name Thistlethwaite, Chatterton told him in the summer of 1764 that he had a number of old manuscripts, found in a chest in Redcliffe Church, and that he had lent one of them to Thomas Philips, an usher in Colston’s Hospital. Thistlethwaite says that Philips showed him this manuscript, a piece of vellum pared close around the edge, on which was traced in pale and yellow writing, as if faded with age, a poem which he thinks identical with “Elinoure and Juga,” afterward published by Chatterton in the *Town and Country Magazine* for May, 1769. One is inclined to distrust this evidence. “The Castle of Otranto” was first published in December, 1764, and the “Reliques,” only in the year following. The latter was certainly known to Chatterton; many of the Rowley poems, “The Bristowe Tragedie,” e.g., and the minstrel songs in “Aella,” show ballad influence[6]; while it seems not unlikely that Chatterton was moved to take a hint from the disguise—slight as it was—assumed by Walpole in the preface to his romance.[7] But perhaps this was not needed to suggest to Chatterton that the surest way to win attention to his poems would be to ascribe them to

## Page 194

some fictitious bard of the Middle Ages. It was the day of literary forgery; the Ossian controversy was raging, and the tide of popular favor set strongly toward the antique. A series of avowed imitations of old English poetry, however clever, would have had small success. But the discovery of a hitherto unknown fifteen-century poet was an announcement sure to interest the learned and perhaps a large part of the reading public. Besides, instances are not rare where a writer has done his best work under a mask. The poems composed by Chatterton in the disguise of Rowley—a dramatically imagined *persona* behind which he lost his own identity—are full of a curious attractiveness; while his acknowledged pieces are naught. It is not worth while to bear down very heavily on the moral aspects of this kind of deception. The question is one of literary methods rather than of ethics. If the writer succeeds by the skill of his imitations, and the ingenuity of the evidence that he brings to support them, in actually imposing upon the public for a time, the success justifies the attempt. The artist's purpose is to create a certain impression, and the choice of means must be left to himself.

In the summer of 1764 Chatterton was barely twelve, and wonderful as his precocity was, it is doubtful whether he had got so far in the evolution of the Rowley legend as Thistlethwaite's story would imply. But it is certain that three years later, in the spring of 1767, Chatterton gave Mr. Henry Burgum, a worthy pewterer of Bristol, a parchment emblazoned with the "de Bergham," coat-of-arms, which he pretended to have found in St. Mary's Church, furnishing him also with two copy-books, in which were transcribed the "de Bergham," pedigree, together with three poems in pseudo-antique spelling. One of these, "The Tournament," described a joust in which figured one Sir Johan de Berghamme, a presumable ancestor of the gratified pewterer. Another of them, "The Romaunte of the Cnyghte," purported to be the work of this hero of the tilt-yard, "who spent his whole life in tilting," but notwithstanding found time to write several books and translate "some part of the Iliad under the title 'Romance of Troy.'"

All this stuff was greedily swallowed by Burgum, and the marvelous boy next proceeded to befool Mr. William Barrett, a surgeon and antiquary who was engaged in writing a history of Bristol. To him he supplied copies of supposed documents in the muniment room of Redcliffe Church: "Of the Auntiaunte Forme of Monies," and the like: deeds, bills, letters, inscriptions, proclamations, accounts of churches and other buildings, collected by Rowley for his patron, Canynge: many of which this singularly uncritical historian incorporated in his "History of Bristol," published some twenty years later. He also imparted to Barrett two Rowleian poems, "The Parliament of Sprites," and "The Battle of Hastings" (in two quite different versions).

## Page 195

In September, 1768, a new bridge was opened at Bristol over the Avon; and Chatterton, who had now been apprenticed to an attorney, took advantage of the occasion to send anonymously to the printer of *Farley's Bristol Journal* a description of the mayor's first passing over the old bridge in the reign of Henry II. This was composed in obsolete language and alleged to have been copied from a contemporary manuscript. It was the first published of Chatterton's fabrications. In the years 1768-69 he produced and gave to Mr. George Catcott the long tragical interlude "Aella," "The Bristowe Tragedie," and other shorter pieces, all of which he declared to be transcripts from manuscripts in Canynge's chest, and the work of Thomas Rowley, a secular priest of Bristol, who flourished about 1460. Catcott was a local book-collector and the partner of Mr. Burgum. He was subsequently nicknamed "Rowley's midwife."

In December, 1768, Chatterton opened a correspondence with James Dodsley, the London publisher, saying that several ancient poems had fallen into his hands, copies of which he offered to supply him, if he would send a guinea to cover expenses. He inclosed a specimen of "Aella." "The motive that actuates me to do this," he wrote, "is to convince the world that the monks (of whom some have so despicable an opinion) were not such blockheads as generally thought, and that good poetry might be wrote in the dark days of superstition, as well as in these more enlightened ages." Dodsley took no notice of the letters, and the owner of the Rowley manuscripts next turned to Horace Walpole, whose tastes as a virtuoso, a lover of Gothic, and a romancer might be counted on to enlist his curiosity in Chatterton's find. The document which he prepared for Walpole was a prose paper entitled "The Ryse of Peyncteynge yn Englande, wroten by T. Rowleie, 1469, for Mastre Canynge," and containing *inter alia*, the following extraordinary "anecdote of painting" about Afflem, an Anglo-Saxon glass-stainer of Edmond's reign who was taken prisoner by the Danes. "Inkarde, a soldyer of the Danes, was to slea hym; onne the Nete before the Feeste of Deathe hee founde Afflem to bee hys Broder Affrighte chanynde uppe hys soule. Gastnesse dwelled yn his Breaste. Oscarre, the greate Dane, gave hest hee shulde bee forslagene with the commeynge Sunne: no tears colde availe; the morne cladde yn robes of ghastrness was come, whan the Danique Kynge behested Oscarre to arraie hys Knyghtes eftsoones for Warre. Afflem was put yn theyre flyeynge Battailes, sawe his Countrie ensconced wyth Foemen, hadde hys Wyfe ande Chyldrenne brogten Capteeves to hys Shyppe, ande was deieyng wythe Soorowe, whanne the loude blautaunte Wynde hurled the battayle agaynste an Heck. Forfraughte wythe embolleyng waves, he sawe hys Broder, Wyfe and Chyldrenne synke to Deathe: himself was throwen onne a Banke ynne the Isle of Wyghte, to lyve hys lyfe forgard to all Emmoise: thus moche for Afflem." [8]



## Page 196

This paper was accompanied with notes explaining queer words and giving short biographical sketches of Canynge, Rowley, and other imaginary characters, such as John, second abbot of St. Austin's Minster, who was the first English painter in oils and also the greatest poet of his age. "Take a specimen of his poetry, 'On King Richard I.':

"Harte of Lyone! Shake thie Sworde,  
Bare this mortheynge steinede honde,' etc."

The whole was inclosed in a short note to Walpole, which ran thus:

"Sir, Being versed a little in antiquitys, I have met with several curious manuscripts, among which the following may be of Service to you, in any future Edition of your truly entertaining Anecdotes of Painting.[9] In correcting the mistakes (if any) in the Notes, you will greatly oblige

Your most humble Servant,  
Thomas Chatterton."

Walpole replied civilly, thanking his correspondent for what he had sent and for his offer of communicating his manuscripts, but disclaiming any ability to correct Chatterton's notes. "I have not the happiness of understanding the Saxon language, and, without your learned notes, should not have been able to comprehend Rowley's text." He asks where Rowley's poems are to be found, offers to print them, and pronounces the Abbot John's verses "wonderful for their harmony and spirit." This encouragement called out a second letter from Chatterton, with another and longer extract from the "Historie of Peyncteynge yn Englande," including translations into the Rowley dialect of passages from a pair of mythical Saxon poets: Ecça, Bishop of Hereford, and Elmar, Bishop of Selseie, "fetyve yn Workes of ghashtlienesse," as *ecce signum*:

"Nowe maie alle Helle open to golpe thee downe," etc.

But by this time Walpole had begun to suspect imposture. He had been lately bitten in the Ossian business and had grown wary in consequence. Moreover, Chatterton had been incautious enough to show his hand in his second letter (March 30). "He informed me," said Walpole, in his history of the affair, "that he was the son of a poor widow . . . that he was clerk or apprentice to an attorney, but had a taste and turn for more elegant studies; and hinted a wish that I would assist him with my interest in emerging out of so dull a profession, by procuring him someplace." Meanwhile, distrusting his own scholarship, Walpole had shown the manuscripts to his friends Gray and Mason, who promptly pronounced them modern fabrications and recommended him to return them without further notice. But Walpole, good-naturedly considering that it was no "grave crime in a young bard to have forged false notes of hand that were to pass current only in the parish of Parnassus," wrote his ingenious correspondent a letter of well-meant advice, counseling him to stick to his profession, and saying that he "had communicated his transcripts to much better judges, and that they were by no means satisfied with the



authenticity of his supposed manuscripts.” Chatterton then wrote for his manuscripts, and after some delay—Walpole having been absent in Parish for several months—they were returned to him.

## Page 197

In 1769 Chatterton had begun contributing miscellaneous articles, in prose and verse, to the *Town and Country Magazine*, a London periodical. Among these appeared the eclogue of “Elinoure and Juga,”[10] the only one of the Rowley poems printed during its author’s lifetime. He had now turned his pen to the service of politics, espousing the side of Wilkes and liberty. In April, 1770, he left Bristol for London, and cast himself upon the hazardous fortunes of a literary career. Most tragical is the story of the poor, unfriended lad’s struggle against fate for the next few months. He scribbled incessantly for the papers, receiving little or no pay. Starvation confronted him; he was too proud to ask help, and on August 24 he took poison and died, at the age of seventeen years and nine months.

With Chatterton’s acknowledged writings we have nothing here to do; they include satires in the manner of Churchill, political letters in the manner of Junius, squibs, lampoons, verse epistles, elegies, “African eclogues,” a comic burletta, “The Revenge”—played at Marylebone Gardens shortly after his death—with essays and sketches in the style that the *Spectator* and *Rambler* had made familiar: “The Adventures of a Star,” “The Memoirs of a Sad Dog,” and the like. They exhibit a precocious cleverness, but have no value and no interest today. One gets from Chatterton’s letters and miscellanies an unpleasant impression of his character. There is not only the hectic quality of too early ripeness which one detects in Keats’ correspondence; and the defiant swagger, the affectation of wickedness and knowingness that one encounters in the youthful Byron, and that is apt to attend the stormy burst of irregular genius upon the world; but there are things that imply a more radical unscrupulousness. But it would be harsh to urge any such impressions against one who was no more than a boy when he perished, and whose brief career had struggled through cold obstruction to its bitter end. The best traits in Chatterton’s character appear to have been his proud spirit of independence and his warm family affections.

The death of an obscure penny-a-liner, like young Chatterton, made little noise at first. But gradually it became rumored about in London literary coteries that manuscripts of an interesting kind existed at Bristol, purporting to be transcripts from old English poems; and that the finder, or fabricator, of the same was the unhappy lad who had taken arsenic the other day, to anticipate a slower death from hunger. It was in April, 1771, that Walpole first heard of the fate of his would-be *protege*. “Dining,” he says, “at the Royal Academy, Dr. Goldsmith drew the attention of the company with an account of a marvelous treasure of ancient poems lately discovered at Bristol, and expressed enthusiastic belief in them; for which he was laughed at by Dr. Johnson, who was present. I soon found this was the *trouvaille* of my friend Chatterton, and I told Dr. Goldsmith that this novelty was known to me, who might, if I had pleased, have had the honor of ushering the great discovery to the learned world. You may imagine, sir, we did not all agree in the measure of our faith; but though his credulity diverted me, my mirth was soon dashed; for, on asking about Chatterton, he told me he had been in London and had destroyed himself.”

## Page 198

With the exception of “Elinour and Juga,” already mentioned, the Rowley poems were still unprinted. The manuscripts, in Chatterton’s handwriting, were mostly in the possession of Barrett and Catcott. They purported to be copies of Rowley’s originals; but of these alleged originals, the only specimens brought forward by Chatterton were a few scraps of parchment containing, in one instance, the first thirty-four lines of the poem entitled “The Storie of William Canynge”; in another a prose account of one “Symonne de Byrtonne,” and, in still others, the whole of the short-verse pieces, “Songe to Aella” and “The Accounte of W. Canynge’s Feast.” These scraps of vellum are described as about six inches square, smeared with glue or brown varnish, or stained with ochre, to give them an appearance of age. Thomas Warton had seen one of them, and pronounced it a clumsy forgery; the script not of the fifteenth century, but unmistakably modern. Southey describes another as written, for the most part, in an attorney’s regular engrossing hand. Mr. Skeat “cannot find the slightest indication that Chatterton had ever seen a MS. of early date; on the contrary, he never uses the common contractions, and he was singularly addicted to the use of capitals, which in old MSS. are rather scarce.”

Boswell tells how he and Johnson went down to Bristol in April, 1776, “where I was entertained with seeing him inquire upon the spot into the authenticity of Rowley’s poetry, as I had seen him inquire upon the spot into the authenticity of Ossian’s poetry. Johnson said of Chatterton, ‘This is the most extraordinary young man that has encountered my knowledge. It is wonderful how the whelp has written such things.’”

In 1777, seven years after Chatterton’s death, his Rowley poems were first collected and published by Thomas Tyrwhitt, the Chaucerian editor, who gave, in an appendix, his reasons for believing that Chatterton was their real author, and Rowley a myth.[11] These reasons are convincing to any modern scholar. Tyrwhitt’s opinion was shared at the time by all competent authorities—Gray, Thomas Warton, and Malone, the editor of the *variorum* Shakspeare, among others. Nevertheless, a controversy sprang up over Rowley, only less lively than the dispute about Ossian, which had been going on since 1760. Rowley’s most prominent champions were the Rev. Dr. Symmes, who wrote in the *London Review*; the Rev. Dr. Sherwin, in the *Gentleman’s Magazine*; Dr. Jacob Bryant,[12] and Jeremiah Milles, D.D., Dean of Exeter, who published a sumptuous quarto edition of the poems in 1782.[13] These asserters of Rowley belonged to the class of amateur scholars whom Edgar Poe used to speak of as “cultivated old clergymen.” They had the usual classical training of Oxford and Cambridge graduates, but no precise knowledge of old English literature. They had the benevolent curiosity of Mr. Pickwick, and the gullibility—the large, easy swallow—which seems to go with the clerico-antiquarian habit of mind.

## Page 199

Nothing is so extinct as an extinct controversy; and, unlike the Ossian puzzle, which was a harder nut to crack, this Rowley controversy was really settled from the start. It is not essential to our purpose to give any extended history of it. The evidence relied upon by the supporters of Rowley was mainly of the external kind: personal testimony, and especially the antecedent unlikeliness that a boy of Chatterton's age and imperfect education could have reared such an elaborate structure of deceit; together with the inferiority of his acknowledged writings to the poems that he ascribed to Rowley. But Tyrwhitt was a scholar of unusual thoroughness and acuteness; and, having a special acquaintance with early English, he was able to bring to the decision of the question evidence of an internal nature which became more convincing in proportion as the knowledge necessary to understand his argument increased; *i.e.*, as the number of readers increased, who knew something about old English poetry. Indeed, it was nothing but the general ignorance of the spelling, flexions, vocabulary, and scansion of Middle English verse, that made the controversy possible.

Tyrwhitt pointed out that the Rowleian dialect was not English of the fifteenth century, nor of any century, but a grotesque jumble of archaic words of very different periods and dialects. The orthography and grammatical forms were such as occurred in no old English poet known to the student of literature. The fact that Rowley used constantly the possessive pronominal form *itts*, instead of *his*; or the other fact that he used the termination *en* in the singular of the verb, was alone enough to stamp the poems as spurious. Tyrwhitt also showed that the syntax, diction, idioms, and stanza forms were modern; that if modern words were substituted throughout for the antique, and the spelling modernized, the verse would read like eighteenth-century work. "If anyone," says Scott, in his review of the Southey and Cottle edition, "resists the internal evidence of the style of Rowley's poems, we make him welcome to the rest of the argument; to his belief that the Saxons imported heraldry and gave armorial bearings (which were not known till the time of the Crusades); that Mr. Robert [*sic*] Canynge, in the reign of Edward IV., encouraged drawing and had private theatricals." In this article Scott points out a curious blunder of Chatterton's which has become historic, though it is only one of a thousand. In the description of the cook in the General Prologue to the "Canterbury Tales," Chaucer had written:

"But gret harm was it, as it thoughte me,  
That on his schyne a mormal hadde he,  
For blankmanger he made with the beste."

## Page 200

*Mormal*, in this passage, means a cancerous sore, and *blankmanger* is a certain dish or confection—the modern *blancmange*. But a confused recollection of the whole was in Chatterton's mind, when among the fragments of paper and parchment which he covered with imitations of ancient script, and which are now in the British Museum,—“The Yellow Roll,” “The Purple Roll,” etc.,—he inserted the following title in “The Rolls of St. Bartholomew's Priory,” purporting to be old medical prescriptions; “The cure of mormalles and the waterie leprosie; the rolle of the blacke mainger”; turning Chaucer's innocent *blankmanger* into some kind of imaginary *black mange*.

Skeat believes that Chatterton had read very little of Chaucer, probably only a small portion of the Prologue to the “Canterbury Tales.” “If he had really taken pains,” he thinks, “To *read* and *study* Chaucer of Lydgate or any old author earlier than the age of Spenser, the Rowley poems would have been very different. They would then have borne some resemblance to the language of the fifteenth century, whereas they are rather less like the language of that period than of any other. The spelling of the words is frequently too late, or too bizarre, whilst many of the words themselves are too archaic or too uncommon.”[14] But this internal evidence, which was so satisfactory to Scott, was so little convincing to Chatterton's contemporaries that Tyrwhitt felt called upon to publish in 1782 a “Vindication” of his appendix; and Thomas Warton put forth in the same year an “Enquiry,” in which he reached practically the same conclusions with Tyrwhitt. And yet Warton had devoted the twenty-sixth section of the second volume of his “History of English Poetry” (1778,) to a review of the Rowley poems, on the ground that “as they are held to be real by many respectable critics, it was his duty to give them a place in this series”: a curious testimony to the uncertainty of the public mind on the question, and a half admission that the poems might possibly turn out to be genuine.[15]

Tyrwhitt proved clearly enough that Chatterton wrote the Rowley poems, but it was reserved for Mr. Skeat to show just *how* he wrote them. The *modus operandi* was about as follows: Chatterton first made, for his private use, a manuscript glossary, by copying out the words in the glossary to Speght's edition of Chaucer, and those marked as old in Bailey's and Kersey's English Dictionaries. Next he wrote his poem in modern English, and finally rewrote it, substituting the archaic words for their modern equivalents, and altering the spelling throughout into an exaggerated imitation of the antique spelling in Speght's Chaucer. The mistakes that he made are instructive, as showing how closely he followed his authorities, and how little independent knowledge he had of genuine old English. Thus, to give a few typical examples of the many in Mr. Skeat's notes: in Kersey's dictionary occurs the word *gare*, defined as “cause.” This is the verb *gar*, familiar to all readers of Burns,[16] and meaning to cause, to make; but Chatterton, taking it for the *noun*, cause, employs it with grotesque incorrectness in such connections as these:

## Page 201

“Perchance in Virtue’s gare rhyme might be then”:  
“If in this battle luck deserts our gare.”

Again the Middle English *howten* (Modern English, *hoot*) is defined by Speght as “hallow,” *i.e.*, halloo. But Kersey and Bailey misprint this “hollow”; and Chatterton, entering it so in his manuscript list of old words, evidently takes it to be the *adjective* “hollow” and uses it thus in the line:

“Houten are wordes for to telle his doe,” *i.e.*,  
Hollow are words to tell his doings.

Still again, in a passage already quoted,[17] it is told how the “Wynde hurled the Battayle”—Rowleian for a small boat—“agaynste an Heck.” *Heck* in this and other passages was a puzzle. From the context it obviously meant “rock,” but where did Chatterton get it? Mr. Skeat explains this. *Heck* is a provincial word signifying “rack,” *i.e.*, “hay-rack”; but Kersey misprinted it “rock,” and Chatterton followed him. A typical instance of the kind of error that Chatterton was perpetually committing was his understanding the “Listed, bounded,” *i.e.*, *edged* (as in the “list” or selvage of cloth) for “bounded” in the sense of *jumped*, and so coining from it the verb “to liss”=to jump:

“The headed javelin lisseth here and there.”

Every page in the Rowley poems abounds in forms which would have been as strange to an Englishman of the fifteenth as they are to one of the nineteenth century. Adjectives are used for nouns, nouns for verbs, past participles for present infinitives; and derivatives and variants are employed which never had any existence, such as *hopelen*=hopelessness, and *anere*=another. Skeat says, that “an analysis of the glossary in Milles’s edition shows that the genuine old English words correctly used, occurring in the Rowleian dialect, amount to only about *seven* per cent, of all the old words employed.” It is probable that, by constant use of his manuscript glossary, the words became fixed in Chatterton’s memory and he acquired some facility in composing at first hand in this odd jargon. Thus he uses the archaic words quite freely as rhyme words, which he would not have been likely to do unless he had formed the habit of thinking to some degree, in Rowleian.

The question now occurs, apart from the tragic interest of Chatterton’s career, from the mystery connected with the incubation and hatching of the Rowley poems, and from their value as records of a very unusual precocity—what independent worth have they as poetry, and what has been the extent of their literary influence? The dust of controversy has long since settled, and what has its subsidence made visible? My own belief is that the Rowley poems are interesting principally as literary curiosities—the work of an infant phenomenon—and that they have little importance in themselves, or as models and inspirations to later poets. I cannot help

## Page 202

thinking that, upon this subject, many critics have lost their heads. Malone, e.g., pronounced Chatterton the greatest genius that England had produced since Shakspeare. Professor Masson permits himself to say: "The antique poems of Chatterton are perhaps as worthy of being read consecutively as many portions of the poetry of Byron, Shelley, or Keats. There are passages in them, at least, quite equal to any to be found in these poets." [18] Mr. Gosse seems to me much nearer the truth: "Our estimate of the complete originality of the Rowley poems must be tempered by a recollection of the existence of 'The Castle of Otranto' and 'The Schoolmistress,' of the popularity of Percy's 'Reliques' and the 'Odes' of Gray, and of the revival of a taste for Gothic literature and art which dates from Chatterton's infancy. Hence the claim which has been made for Chatterton as the father of the romantic school, and as having influenced the actual style of Coleridge and Keats, though supported with great ability, appears to be overcharged. So also the positive praise given to the Rowley poems, as artistic productions full of rich color and romantic melody, may be deprecated without any refusal to recognize these qualities in measure. There are frequent flashes of brilliancy in Chatterton, and one or two very perfectly sustained pieces; but the main part of his work, if rigorously isolated from the melodramatic romance of his career, is surely found to be rather poor reading, the work of a child of exalted genius, no doubt, yet manifestly the work of a child all through." [19]

Let us get a little closer to the Rowley poems, as they stand in Mr. Skeat's edition, stripped of their sham-antique spelling and with their language modernized wherever possible; and we shall find, I think, that tried by an absolute standard, they are markedly inferior not only to true mediaeval work like Chaucer's poems and the English and Scottish ballads, but also to the best modern work conceived in the same spirit: to "Christabel" and "The Eve of St. Agnes," and "Jock o'Hazeldean" and "Sister Helen," and "The Haystack in the Flood." The longest of the Rowley poems is "Aella," "a tragycal enterlude or discoorseynge tragedie" in 147 stanzas, and generally regarded as Chatterton's masterpiece. [20] The scene of this tragedy is Bristol and the neighboring Watchet Mead; the period, during the Danish invasions. The hero is the warden of Bristol Castle. [21] While he is absent on a victorious campaign against the Danes, his bride, Bertha, is decoyed from home by his treacherous lieutenant, Celmond, who is about to ravish her in the forest, when he is surprised and killed by a band of marauders. Meanwhile Aella has returned home, and finding that his wife has fled, stabs himself mortally. Bertha arrives in time to hear his dying speech and make the necessary explanations, and then dies herself on the body of her lord. It will be seen that the plot is sufficiently melodramatic; the sentiments and dialogue



## Page 203

are entirely modern, when translated out of Rowleian into English. The verse is a modified form of the Spenserian, a ten-line stanza which Mr. Skeat says is an invention of Chatterton and a striking instance of his originality.[22] It answers very well in descriptive passages and soliloquies; not so well in the “discoorseynge” parts. As this is Chatterton’s favorite stanza, in which “The Battle of Hastings,” “Goddwyn,” “English Metamorphosis” and others of the Rowley series are written, an example of it may be cited here, from “Aella.”

*Scene, Bristol. Celmond, alone.*  
The world is dark with night; the winds are still,  
Faintly the moon her pallid light makes gleam;  
The risen sprites the silent churchyard fill,  
With elfin fairies joining in the dream;  
The forest shineth with the silver leme;  
Now may my love be sated in its treat;  
Upon the brink of some swift running stream,  
At the sweet banquet I will sweetly eat.  
This is the house; quickly, ye hinds, appear.

*Enter a servant.*

*Cel.* Go tell to Bertha straight, a stranger waiteth here.

The Rowley poems include, among other things, a number of dramatic or quasi-dramatic pieces, “Goddwyn,” “The Tournament,” “The Parliament of Sprites”; the narrative poem of “The Battle of Hastings,” and a collection of “eclogues.” These are all in long-stanza forms, mostly in the ten-lined stanza. “English Metamorphosis” is an imitation of a passage in “The Faerie Queene,” (book ii. canto x. stanzas 5-19). “The Parliament of Sprites” is an interlude played by Carmelite friars at William Canynge’s house on the occasion of the dedication of St. Mary Redcliffe’s. One after another the *antichi spiriti dolenti* rise up and salute the new edifice: Nimrod and the Assyrians, Anglo-Saxon ealdormen and Norman knights templars, and citizens of ancient Bristol. Among others, “Elle’s sprite speaks”:

“Were I once more cast in a mortal frame,  
To hear the chantry-song sound in mine ear,  
To hear the masses to our holy dame,  
To view the cross-aisles and the arches fair!  
Through the half-hidden silver-twinkling glare  
Of yon bright moon in foggy mantles dressed,  
I must content this building to aspere,[23]  
Whilst broken clouds the holy sight arrest;



Till, as the nights grow old, I fly the light.  
Oh! were I man again, to see the sight!"

Perhaps the most engaging of the Rowley poems are "An Excelente Balade of Charitie," written in the rhyme royal; and "The Bristowe Tragedie," in the common ballad stanza, and said by Tyrwhitt to be founded on an historical fact: the execution at Bristol, in 1461, of Sir Baldwin Fulford, who fought on the Lancastrian side in the Wars of the Roses. The best quality in Chatterton's verse is its unexpectedness,—sudden epithets or whole lines, of a wild and artless sweetness,—which goes far to explain the fascination that he exercised over Coleridge and Keats. I mean such touches as these:

## Page 204

"Once as I dozing in the witch-hour lay."

"Brown as the filbert dropping from the shell."

"My gorme emblanched with the comfreie plant."

"Where thou may'st here the sweete night-lark chant,  
Or with some mocking brooklet sweetly glide."

"Upon his bloody carnage-house he lay,  
Whilst his long shield did gleam with the sun's rising ray."

"The red y-painted oars from the black tide,  
Carved with devices rare, do shimmering rise."

"As elfin fairies, when the moon shines bright,  
In little circles dance upon the green;  
All living creatures fly far from their sight,  
Nor by the race of destiny be seen;  
For what he be that elfin fairies strike,  
Their souls will wander to King Offa's dyke."

The charming wildness of Chatterton's imagination—which attracted the notice of that strange, visionary genius William Blake<sup>[24]</sup>—is perhaps seen at its best in one of the minstrel songs in "Aella." This is obviously an echo of Ophelia's song in "Hamlet," but Chatterton gives it a weird turn of his own:

"Hark! the raven flaps his wing  
In the briared dell below;  
Hark! the death owl loud doth sing  
To the nightmares, as they go.  
My love is dead.  
Gone to his death-bed  
All under the willow tree.

"See the white moon shines on high,<sup>[25]</sup>  
Whiter is my true-love's shroud,  
Whiter than the morning sky,  
Whiter than the evening cloud.  
My love is dead," etc.

It remains to consider briefly the influence of Chatterton's life and writings upon his contemporaries and successors in the field of romantic poetry. The dramatic features of his personal career drew, naturally, quite as much if not more attention than his literary legacy to posterity. It was about nine years after his death that a clerical gentleman, Sir

Herbert Croft, went to Bristol to gather materials for a biography. He talked with Barrett and Catcott, and with many of the poet's schoolmates and fellow-townsmen, and visited his mother and sister, who told him anecdotes of the marvelous boy's childhood and gave him some of his letters. Croft also traced Chatterton's footsteps in London, where he interviewed, among others, the coroner who had presided at the inquest over the suicide's body. The result of these inquiries he gave to the world in a book entitled "Love and Madness" (1780).[26] Southey thought that Croft had treated Mrs. Chatterton shabbily, in making her no pecuniary return from the profits of his book; and arraigned him publicly for this in the edition of Chatterton's works which he and Joseph Cottle—both native Bristowans—published in three volumes in 1803. This was at first designed to be a subscription edition for the benefit of Chatterton's mother and sister, but, the subscriptions not being numerous enough, it was issued in the usual way, through "the trade."

## Page 205

It was in 1795, just a quarter of a century after Chatterton's death, that Southey and Coleridge were married in St. Mary Redcliffe's Church to the Misses Edith and Sara Fricker. Coleridge was greatly interested in Chatterton. In his "Lines on Observing a Blossom on the First of February, 1796," he compares the flower to

"Bristowa's bard, the wondrous boy,  
An amaranth which earth seemed scarce to own,  
Blooming 'mid poverty's drear wintry waste."

And a little earlier than this, when meditating his pantisocracy scheme with Southey and Lovell, he had addressed the dead poet in his indignant "Monody on the Death of Chatterton," associating him in imagination with the abortive community on the Susquehannah:

"O Chatterton, that thou wert yet alive!  
Sure thou would'st spread thy canvas to the gale,  
And love with us the tinkling team to drive  
O'er peaceful freedom's undivided dale;  
And we at sober eve would round thee throng,  
Hanging enraptured on thy stately song,  
And greet with smiles the young-eyed poesy  
All deftly masked as hoar antiquity. . .  
Yet will I love to follow the sweet dream  
Where Susquehannah pours his untamed stream;  
And on some hill, whose forest-frowning side  
Waves o'er the murmurs of his calmer tide,  
Will raise a solemn cenotaph to thee,  
Sweet harper of time-shrouded minstrelsy."

It might be hard to prove that the Rowley poems had very much to do with giving shape to Coleridge's own poetic output. Doubtless, without them, "Christabel," and "The Ancient Mariner," and "The Darke Ladye" would still have been; and yet it is possible that they might not have been just what they are. In "The Ancient Mariner" there is the ballad strain of the "Reliques," but *plus* something of Chatterton's. In such lines as these:

"The bride hath paced into the hall  
Red as a rose is she:  
Nodding their heads before her, goes  
The merry minstrelsy;"

or as these:

“The wedding guest here beat his breast  
For he heard the loud bassoon:”

one catches a far-away reverberation from certain stanzas of “The Bristowe Tragedie:”  
this, e.g.,

“Before him went the council-men  
In scarlet robes and gold,  
And tassels spangling in the sun,  
Much glorious to behold;”

and this:

“In different parts a godly psalm  
Most sweetly they did chant:  
Behind their backs six minstrels came,  
Who tuned the strung bataunt.”[27]

Among all the young poets of the generation that succeeded Chatterton, there was a tender feeling of comradeship with the proud and passionate boy, and a longing to admit him of their crew. Byron, indeed, said that he was insane; but Shelley, in “Adonais,” classes him with Keats among “the inheritors of unfulfilled renown.” Lord Houghton testifies that Keats had a prescient sympathy with Chatterton in his early death. He dedicated “Endymion” to his memory. In his epistle “To George Felton Mathew,” he asks him to help him find a place

## Page 206

"Where we may soft humanity put on,  
And sit, and rhyme, and think on Chatterton." [28]

Keats said that he always associated the season of autumn with the memory of Chatterton. He asserted, somewhat oddly, that he was the purest writer in the English language and used "no French idiom or particles, like Chaucer." In a letter from Jane Porter to Keats about the reviews of his "Endymion," she wrote: "Had Chatterton possessed sufficient manliness of mind to know the magnanimity of patience, and been aware that great talents have a commission from Heaven, he would not have deserted his post, and his name might have been paged with Milton."

Keats was the poetic child of Spenser, but some traits of manner—hard to define, though not to feel—he inherited from Chatterton. In his unfinished poem, "The Eve of St. Mark," there is a Rowleian accent in the passage imitative of early English, and in the loving description of the old volume of saints' legends whence it is taken, with its

"—pious poesies  
Written in smallest crow-quill size  
Beneath the text."

And we cannot but think of the shadow of St. Mary Redcliffe falling across another young life, as we read how

"Bertha was a maiden fair  
Dwelling in th' old Minster-square;  
From her fireside she could see,  
Sidelong, its rich antiquity,  
Far as the Bishop's garden-wall";

and of the footfalls that pass the echoing minster-gate, and of the clamorous daws that fall asleep in the ancient belfry to the sound of the drowsy chimes. Rossetti, in so many ways a continuator of Keats' artistry, devoted to Chatterton the first of his sonnet-group, "Five English Poets," [29] of which the sestet runs thus:

"Thy nested home-loves, noble Chatterton;  
The angel-trodden stair thy soul could trace  
Up Redcliffe's spire; and in the world's armed space  
Thy gallant sword-play:—these to many an one  
Are sweet for ever; as thy grave unknown  
And love-dream of thine unrecorded face."

The story of Chatterton's life found its way into fiction and upon the stage. Alfred de Vigny, one of the French romanticists, translator of "Othello" and "The Merchant of Venice," introduced it as an episode into his romance, "Stello ou les Diables Bleus,"

afterward dramatized as “Chatterton,” and first played at Paris on February 12, 1835, with great success. De Vigny made a love tragedy out of it, inventing a sweetheart for his hero, in the person of Kitty Bell, a role which became one of Madame Dorval’s chief triumphs. On the occasion of the revival of De Vigny’s drama in December, 1857, Theophile Gautier gave, in the *Moniteur*,<sup>[30]</sup> some reminiscences of its first performance, twenty-two years before.

## Page 207

"The parterre before which Chatterton declaimed was full of pale, long-haired youths, who firmly believed that there was no other worthy occupation on earth but the making of verses or of pictures—art, as they called it; and who looked upon the bourgeois with a disdain to which the disdain of the Heidelberg or Jena 'fox' for the 'philistine' hardly approaches. . . . As to money, no one thought of it. More than one, as in that assembly of impossible professions which Theodore de Banville describes with so resigned an irony, could have cried without falsehood 'I am a lyric poet and I live by my profession.' One who has not passed through that mad, ardent, over-excited but generous epoch, cannot imagine to what a forgetfulness of material existence the intoxication, or, if you prefer, infatuation of art pushed the obscure and fragile victims who would rather have died than renounce their dream. One actually heard in the night the crack of solitary pistols. Judge of the effect produced in such an environment by M. Alfred Vigny's 'Chatterton'; to which, if you would comprehend it, you must restore the contemporary atmosphere." [31]

[1] Wordsworth, "Resolution and Independence."

[2] January 1, 1753.

[3] "The Poetical Works of Thos. Chatterton. With an Essay on the Rowley Poems by the Rev. Walter W. Skeat and a Memoir by Edward Bell"; in two volumes. London, 1871, Vol. I. p. xv.

[4] Willcox's edition of "Chatterton's Poetical Works," Cambridge, 1842, Vol. I. p. xxi.

[5] "Memoir by Edward Bell," p. xxiv.

[6] Cf. ("Battle of Hastings," i. xx)

"The grey-goose pinion, that theron was set,  
Eftsoons with smoking crimson blood was wet"

With the lines from "Chevy Chase" (*ante*, p. 295). To be sure the ballad was widely current before the publication of the "Reliques."

[7] See *ante*, p. 237.

[8] Walter Scott quotes this passage in his review of Southey and Cottle's edition of Chatterton in the *Edinburgh Review* for April, 1804, and comments as follows: "While Chatterton wrote plain narrative, he imitated with considerable success the dry, concise style of an antique annalist; but when anything required a more dignified or sentimental style, he mounted the fatal and easily recognized car of the son of Fingal."

[9] Publication begun 1761: 2d edition 1768. Chatterton's letter was dated March 25 [1769].



[10] See *ante*, p. 346.

[11] "Poems supposed to have been written at Bristol by Thomas Rowley and others in the fifteenth century. The greatest part now first published from the most authentic copies, with engraved specimens of one of the MSS. To which are added a preface, an introductory account of the several pieces, and a glossary. London: Printed for T. Payne & Son at the Mews Gate. MDCCLXXVII."

[12] "Observations upon the Poems of Thomas Rowley," 2 vols. 1781.

## Page 208

[13] Poems supposed to have been written at Bristol in the fifteenth century by Thomas Rowley, Priest, *etc.* With a commentary in which the antiquity of them is considered and defended.

[14] "Essay on the Rowley Poems:" Skeat's edition of "Chatterton's Poetical Works," Vol. II. p. xxvii.

[15] For a bibliography of the Rowley controversy, consult the article on Chatterton in the "Dictionary of National Biography."

[16] "Ah, gentle dames! It gars me greet."  
—*Tam o'Shanter*

[17] *Ante*, p. 350.

[18] "Chatterton. A Story of the Year 1770," by David Masson London, 1874.

[19] "Eighteenth Century Literature," p. 334.

[20] A recent critic, the Hon. Roden Noel ("Essays on Poetry and Poets," London, 1886), thinks that "'Aella' is a drama worthy of the Elizabethans" (p. 44). "As to the Rowley series," as a whole, he does "not hesitate to say that they contain some of the finest poetry in our language" (p. 39). The Choric "Ode to Freedom" in "Goddwyn" appears to Mr. Noel to be the original of a much admired passage in "Childe Harold," in which war is personified, "and at any rate is finer"!

[21] See in Wm. Howitt's "Homes of the Poets," Vol. I. pp. 264-307, the description of a drawing of this building in 1138, done by Chatterton and inserted in Barrett's "History."

[22] For some remarks on Chatterton's metrical originality, see "Ward's English Poets," Vol. III, pp. 400-403.

[23] Look at.

[24] Blake was an early adherent of the "Gothic artists who built the Cathedrals in the so-called Dark Ages . . . of whom the world was not worthy." Mr. Rossetti has pointed out his obligations to Ossian and possibly to "The Castle of Otranto." See Blake's poems "Fair Eleanor" and "Gwin, King of Norway."

[25] Chatterton's sister testifies that he had the romantic habit of sitting up all night and writing by moonlight. Cambridge Ed. p. lxi.

[26] Other standard lives of Chatterton are those by Gregory, 1789, (reprinted and prefixed to the Southey and Cottle edition): Dix, 1837; and Wilson, 1869.

[27] Rowleian: there is no such instrument known unto men. The romantic love of *color* is observable in this poem, and is strong everywhere in Chatterton.

[28] See also the sonnet: "O Chatterton, how very sad thy fate"—Given in Lord Houghton's memoir. "Life and Letters of John Keats": By R. Monckton Milnes, p. 20 (American Edition, New York, 1848).

[29] Chatterton, Blake, Coleridge, Keats, Shelley. "The absolutely miraculous Chatterton," Rossetti elsewhere styles him.

[30] "Historie du Romantisme," pp. 153-54.

[31] "Chatterton," a drama by Jones and Herman, was played at the Princess' Theater, London, May 22, 1884.

## CHAPTER XI.

The German Tributary

## Page 209

Up to the last decade of the eighteenth century the romantic movement in Great Britain had been self-developed and independent of foreign influence, except for such stimulus as it had found, once and again, in the writings of continental scholars like Sainte Palaye and Mallet. But now the English literary current began to receive a tributary stream from abroad. A change had taken place in the attitude of the German mind which corresponds quite closely to that whose successive steps we have been following. In Germany, French classicism had got an even firmer hold than in England. It is well-known that Frederick the Great (1740-86) regarded his mother-tongue as a barbarous dialect, hardly fit for literary use. In his own writings, prose and verse, he invariably employed French; and he boasted to Gottsched that from his youth up he had not read a German book.[1]

But already before the middle of the century, and just about the time of the publication of Thomason's "Seasons," the so-called Swiss school, under the leadership of the Zuericher, Johann Jacob Bodmer, had begun a national movement and an attack upon Gallic influences. Bodmer fought under Milton's banner, and in the preface to his prose translation of "Paradise Lost" (1732), he praised Shakspeare as the English Sophocles. In his "Abhandlung von dem Wunderbaren" ("Treatise on the Marvelous," 1740) he asserted the claims of freedom, nature, and the inspired imagination against the rules of French critics, very much as the Wartons and Bishop Hurd did a few years later in England. *Deutsheit, Volkspoesie*, the German past, the old Teutonic hero-age, with the *Kaiserzeit* and the Middle Ages in general, soon came into fashion. "As early as 1748 Bodmer had published specimens from the Minnesingers, in 1757 he had brought out a part of the Nibelungenlied, in 1758 and 1759 a more complete collection of the Minnesingers, and till 1781, till just before his death, he continued to produce editions of the Middle High-German poems. Another Swiss writer, Christian Heinrich Myller, a pupil of Bodmer's . . . published in 1784 and 1785 the whole of the Nibelungenlied and the most important of the chivalrous epics. Lessing, in his preface to Gleim's 'War-songs,' called attention to the Middle High German poets, of whom he continued to be throughout his life an ardent admirer. Justus Moeser took great interest in the Minnesingers. About the time when 'Goetz' appeared, this enthusiasm for early German poetry was at its strongest, and Buerger, Voss, Miller, and Hoeltz wrote Minnesongs, in which they imitated the old German lyric poets. In 1773 Gleim published 'Poems after the Minnesingers,' and in 1779 'Poems after Walther von der Vogelweide.' Some enthusiasts had already hailed the Nibelungenlied as the German Iliad, and Buerger, who vied hard with the rest, but without much success, in turning Homer into German, insisted on dressing up the Greek heroes a little in the Nibelungen style. He and a few

## Page 210

other poets loved to give their ballads a chivalrous character. Fritz Stolberg wrote the beautiful song of a German boy, beginning, 'Mein Arm wird stark und gross mein Muth, gib, Vater, mir ein Schwert'; and the song of the old Swabian knight—'Sohn, da hast du meinen Speer; meinem Arm wird er zu schwer.' Lessing's 'Nathan,' too, appealed to this enthusiasm for the times of chivalry, and must have strengthened the feeling. An historian like the Swiss, Johannes Mueller, began to show the Middle Ages in a fairer light, and even to ascribe great merits to the Papacy. But in doing so, Johannes Mueller was only following in Herder's steps. Herder . . . had written against the self-conceit of his age, its pride in its enlightenment and achievements. He found in the Middle Ages the realization of his aesthetic ideas, namely, strong emotion, stirring life and action, everything guided by feeling and instinct, not by morbid thought: religious ardor and chivalrous honor, boldness in love and strong patriotic feeling."<sup>[2]</sup>

When the founders of a truly national literature in Germany cut loose from French moorings, they had an English pilot aboard; and in the translations from German romances, dramas, and ballads that were made by Scott, Coleridge, Taylor, Lewis, and others, English literature was merely taking back with usury what it had lent its younger sister. Mention has already been made of Buerger's and Herder's renderings from Percy's "Reliques,"<sup>[3]</sup> an edition of which was published at Goettingen in 1767; as well as of the strong excitement aroused in Germany by MacPherson's "Ossian."<sup>[4]</sup> This last found—besides the Viennese Denis—another translator in Fritz Stolberg, who carried his medievalism so far as to join the Roman Catholic Church in 1800. Klopstock's "Kriegslied," written as early as 1749, was in the meter of "Chevy Chase," which Klopstock knew through Addison's *Spectator* papers. Through Mallet, the Eddaic literature made an impression in Germany as in England; and Gerstenberg's "Gedicht eines Skalden" (1766), one of the first-fruits of the German translation of the "Historire de Dannemarc," preceded by two years the publication—though not the composition—of Gray's poems from the Norse.

But the spirit which wrought most mightily upon the new German literature was Shakspeare's. During the period of French culture there had been practically no knowledge of Shakspeare in Germany. In 1741 Christian von Borck, Prussian ambassador to London, had translated "Julius Caesar." This was followed, a few years later, by a version of "Romeo and Juliet." In 1762-66 Wieland translated, in whole or in part, twenty-two Shakspeare's plays. His translation was in prose and has been long superseded by the Tieck-Schlegel translation (1797-1801-1810). Goethe first made acquaintance with Shakspeare, when a student at Leipsic, through the detached passages given in "Dodd's Beauties of Shakspeare."<sup>[5]</sup> He afterward got hold of Wieland's translation, and when he went to Strassburg he fell under the influence of Herder, who inspired him with his own enthusiasm for "Ossian," and the *Volkslieder*, and led him to study Shakspeare in the original.

## Page 211

Young Germany fastened upon and appropriated the great English dramatist with passionate conviction. He became an object of worship, an article of faith. The Shakspeare *cultus* dominated the whole *Sturm- und Drangperiode*. The stage domesticated him: the poets imitated him: the critics exalted him into the type and representative (*Urbild*) of Germanic art, as opposed to and distinguished from the art of the Latin races, founded upon a false reproduction of the antique.[6] It was a recognition of the essential kinships between the two separated branches of the great Teutonic stock. The enthusiastic young patriots of the Goettinger *Hain*,—who hated everything French and called each other by the names of ancient bards,—accustomed themselves to the use of Shaksperian phrases in conversation; and on one occasion celebrated the dramatist's birthday so uproariously that they were pounced upon by the police and spent the night in the lockup. In Goethe's circle at Strassburg, which numbered, among others, Lenz, Klinger, and H. L. Wagner, this Shakspeare mania was *de rigueur*. Lenz, particularly, who translated "Love's Labour's Lost," excelled in whimsical imitations of "such conceits as clownage keeps in pay." [7] Upon his return to Frankfort, Goethe gave a feast in Shakspeare's honor at his father's house (October 14, 1771), in which healths were drunk to the "Will of all Wills," and the youthful host delivered an extravagant eulogy. "The first page of Shakspeare's that I read," runs a sentence of this oration, "made me his own for life, and when I was through with the first play, I stood like a man born blind, to whom sight has been given by an instant's miracle. I had a most living perception of the fact that my being had been expanded a whole infinitude. Everything was new and strange; my eyes ached with the unwonted light." [8]

Lessing, in his onslaught upon the French theater in his "Hamburgische Dramaturgie" (1767-69), maintained that there was a much closer agreement between Sophocles and Shakspeare in the essentials of dramatic art than between Sophocles and Racine or Voltaire in their mechanical copies of the antique. In their own plays, Lessing, Goethe, and Schiller all took Shakspeare as their model. But while beginning with imitation, they came in time to work freely in the spirit of Shakspeare rather than in his manner. Thus the first draught of Goethe's "Goetz von Berlichingen" conforms in all externals to the pattern of a Shaksperian "history." The unity of action went overboard along with those of time and place; the scene was shifted for a monologue of three lines or a dialogue of six; tragic and comic were interwoven; the stage was thronged with a motley variety of figures, humors, and conditions—knights, citizens, soldiers, horse-boys, peasants; there was a court-jester; songs and lyric passages were interspersed; there were puns, broad jokes, rant, Elizabethan metaphors, and swollen trunk-hose hyperboles, with innumerable Shakesperian reminiscences in detail. But the advice of Herder, to whom he sent his manuscript, and the example of Lessing, whose "Emilia Galotti" had just appeared, persuaded Goethe to recast the piece and give it a more independent form.

## Page 212

Scherer[9] says that the pronunciamento of the new national movement in German letters was the “small, badly printed anonymous book” entitled “Von Deutscher Art und Kunst, einige fliegende Blaetter” (“Some Loose Leaves about German Style and Art”), which appeared in 1773 and contained essays by Justus Moeser, who “upheld the liberty of the ancient Germans as a vanished ideal”; by Johann Gottfried Herder, who “celebrated the merits of popular song, advocated a collection of the German *Volkslieder*, extolled the greatness of Shakspeare, and prophesied the advent of a German Shakspeare”; and Johann Wolfgang Goethe, who praised the Strassburg Minster and Gothic architecture[10] in general, and “asserted that art, to be true, must be characteristic. The reform, or revolution, which this little volume announced was connected with hostility to France, and with a friendly attitude toward England. . . This great movement was, in fact, a revulsion from the spirit of Voltaire to that of Rousseau, from the artificiality of society to the simplicity of nature, from doubt and rationalism to feeling and faith, from *a priori* notions[11] to history, from hard and fast aesthetic rules to the freedom of genius. Goethe’s ‘Goetz’ was the first revolutionary symptom which really attracted much attention, but the ‘Fly-sheets on German Style and Art’ preceded the publication of ‘Goetz,’ as a kind of programme or manifesto.” Even Wieland, the mocking and French-minded, the man of consummate talent but shallow genius, the representative of the *Aufklaerung* (*Eclaircissement*, Illumination) was carried away by this new stream of tendency, and saddled his hoppogriff for a ride *ins alte romantische Land*. He availed himself of the new “Library of Romance” which Count Tressan began publishing in France in 1775, studied Hans Sachs and Hartmann von Aue, experiments with Old German meters, and enriched his vocabulary from Old German sources. He poetized popular fairy tales, chivalry stories, and motives from the Arthurian epos, such as “Gandalin” and “Geron der Adelige” (“Gyron le Courteois”). But his best and best-known work in this temper was “Oberon” (1780) a rich composite of materials from Chaucer, “A Midsummer Night’s Dream,” and the French romance of “Huon of Bordeaux.”[12]

From this outline—necessarily very imperfect and largely at second hand—of the course of the German romantic movement in the eighteenth century, it will nevertheless appear that it ran parallel to the English most of the way. In both countries the reaction was against the *Aufklaerung*, *i.e.*, against the rationalistic, prosaic, skeptical, common-sense spirit of the age, represented in England by deistical writers like Shaftesbury, Mandeville, Bolingbroke, and Tindal in the department of religious and moral philosophy; and by writers like Addison, Swift, Prior, and Pope in polite letters; and represented most brilliantly in the literatures of Europe by Voltaire. In opposition to this spirit, an effort was now made to hark back to the ages of faith; to recover the point of view which created mythology, fairy lore, and popular superstitions; to *believe*, at all hazards, not only in God and the immortal soul of man, but in the old-time corollaries of these beliefs, in ghosts, elves, demons, and witches.



## Page 213

In both countries, too, the revolution, as it concerned form, was a break with French classicism and with that part of the native literature which had followed academic traditions. Here the insurrection was far more violent in Germany than in England,[13] partly because Gallic influence had tyrannized there more completely and almost to the supplanting of the vernacular by the foreign idiom, for literary uses; and partly because Germany had nothing to compare with the shining and solid achievements of the Queen Anne classics in England. It was easy for the new school of German poets and critics to brush aside *perruques* like Opitz, Gottsched, and Gellert—authors of the fourth and fifth class. But Swift and Congreve, and Pope and Fielding, were not thus to be disposed of. We have noted the cautious, respectful manner in which such innovators as Warton and Percy ventured to question Pope's supremacy and to recommend older English poets to the attention of a polite age; and we have seen that Horace Walpole's Gothic enthusiasms were not inconsistent with literary prejudices more conservative than radical, upon the whole. In England, again, the movement began with imitations of Spenser and Milton, and, gradually only, arrived at the resuscitation of Chaucer and medieval poetry and the translation of Bardic and Scaldic remains. But in Germany there was no Elizabethan literature to mediate between the modern mind and the Middle Age, and so the Germans resorted to England and Shakspeare for this.

In Germany, as in England, though for different reasons, the romantic revival did not culminate until the nineteenth century, until the appearance of the *Romantische Schule* in the stricter sense—of Tieck, Novalis, the Schlegel brothers, Wackenroder, Fouque, Von Arnim, Brentano, and Uhland. In England this was owing less to arrested development than to the absence of genius. There the forerunners of Scott, Coleridge, and Keats were writers of a distinctly inferior order: Akenside, Shenstone, Dyer, the Wartons, Percy, Walpole, Mrs. Radcliffe, "Monk" Lewis, the boy Chatterton. If a few rise above this level, like Thomason, Collins, and Gray, the slenderness of their performance, and the somewhat casual nature of their participation in the movement, diminish their relative importance. Gray's purely romantic work belongs to the last years of his life. Collins' derangement and early death stopped the unfolding of many buds of promise in this rarely endowed lyrist. Thomson, perhaps, came too early to reach any more advanced stage of evolution than Spenserism. In Germany, on the contrary, the pioneers were men of the highest intellectual stature, Lessing, Herder, Goethe, Schiller. But there the movement was checked for a time by counter-currents, or lost in broader tides of literary life. English romanticism was but one among many contemporary tendencies: sentimentalism, naturalism, realism. German romanticism was simply an incident of the *sturm-*



## Page 214

*und Drangperiode*, which was itself but a temporary phase of the swift and many-sided unfolding of the German mind in the latter half of the last century; one element in the great intellectual ferment which threw off, among other products, the Kantian philosophy, the "Laocöen," "Faust," and "Wilhelm Meister"; Winckelmann's "Geschichte der Kunst des Alterthums" and Schiller's "Wallenstein" and "Wilhelm Tell." Men like Goethe and Schiller were too broad in their culture, too versatile in their talents, too multifarious in their mental activities and sympathies to be classified with a school. The temper which engendered "Goetz" and "Die Räuber" was only a moment in the history of their *Entwicklung*; they passed on presently into other regions of thought and art.

In Goethe especially there ensued, after the time of his *Italienische Reise*, a reversion to the classic; not the exploded pseudo-classic of the eighteenth-century brand, but the true Hellenic spirit which expressed itself in such work as "Iphigenie auf Tauris," "Hermann und Dorothea," and the "Schoene Helena" and "Classische Walpurgis-Nacht" episodes in the second part of "Faust." "In his youth," says Scherer, "a love for the historical past of Germany had seized on the minds of many. Imaginative writers filled the old Teutonic forests with Bards and Druids and cherished an enthusiastic admiration for Gothic cathedrals and for the knights of the Middle Ages and of the sixteenth century. . . In Goethe's mature years, on the contrary, the interest in classical antiquity dwarfed all other aesthetic interests, and Germany and Europe were flooded by the classical fashion for which Winckelmann had given the first strong impulse. The churches became ancient temples, the mechanical arts strove after classical forms, and ladies affected the dress and manners of Greek women. The leaders of German poetry, Goethe and Schiller, both attained the summit of their art in the imitation of classical models." [14] Still the ground recovered from the Middle Age was never again entirely lost; and in spite of this classical prepossession, Goethe and Schiller, even in the last years of the century, vied with one another in the composition of romantic ballads, like the former's "Der Erlkönig," "Der Fischer," "Der Todtentanz," and "Der Zauberlehrling," and the latter's "Ritter Toggenburg," "Der Kampf mit dem Drachen," and "Der Gang nach dem Eisenhammer."

On comparing the works of a romantic temper produced in England and in Germany during the last century, one soon becomes aware that, though the original impulse was communicated from England, the continental movement had greater momentum. The *Gruendlichkeit*, the depth and thoroughness of the German mind, impels it to base itself in the fine arts, as in politics and religion, on foundation principles; to construct for its practice a *theoria*, an *aesthetik*. In the later history of German romanticism, the medieval

## Page 215

revival in letters and art was carried out with a philosophic consistency into other domains of thought and made accessory to reactionary statecraft and theology, to Junkerism and Catholicism. Meanwhile, though the literary movement in Germany in the eighteenth century did not quite come to a head, it was more critical, learned, and conscious of its own purposes and methods than the kindred movement in England. The English mind, in the act of creation, works practically and instinctively. It seldom seeks to bring questions of taste or art under the domain of scientific laws. During the classical period it had accepted its standards of taste from France, and when it broke away from these, it did so upon impulse and gave either no reasons, or very superficial ones, for its new departure. The elegant dissertations of Hurd and Percy, and the Wartons, seem very dilettantish when set beside the imposing systems of aesthetics propounded by Kant, Fichte, and Schelling; or beside thorough-going *Abhandlungen* like the "Laocöen," the "Hamburgische Dramaturgie," Schiller's treatise "Ueber naive and sentimentalische Dichtung," or the analysis of Hamlet's character in "Wilhelm Meister." There was no criticism of this kind in England before Coleridge; no Shakspeare criticism, in particular, to compare with the papers on that subject by Lessing, Herder, Gerstenberg, Lenz, Goethe, and many other Germans. The only eighteenth-century Englishman who would have been capable of such was Gray. He had the requisite taste and scholarship, but even he wanted the philosophic breadth and depth for a fundamental and *eingehend* treatment of underlying principles.

Yet even in this critical department, German literary historians credit England with the initiative. Hettner[15] mentions three English critics, in particular, as predecessors of Herder in awakening interest in popular poetry. These were Edward Young, the author of "Night Thoughts," whose "Conjectures on Original Composition" was published in 1759; Robert Wood, whose "Essay on the Original Genius and Writings of Homer" (1768) was translated into German, French, Spanish, and Italian; and Robert Lowth, Bishop of Oxford, who was Professor of Poetry at Oxford delivered there in 1753 his "Praelectiones de Sacra Poesi Hebraeorum," translated into English and German in 1793. The significance of Young's brilliant little essay, which was in form a letter addressed to the author of "Sir Charles Grandison," lay in its assertion of the superiority of genius to learning and of the right of genius to be free from rules and authorities. It was a sort of literary declaration of independence; and it asked, in substance, the question asked in Emerson's "Nature": "Why should not we also enjoy an original relation to the universe?" Pope had said, in his "Essay on Criticism,"[16] "follow Nature," and in order to follow Nature, learn the rules and study the ancients, particularly Homer. "Nature

## Page 216

and Homer were the same.” Contrariwise, Young says: “The less we copy the renowned ancients, we shall resemble them the more. . . . Learning . . . is a great lover of rules and boaster of famed examples . . . and sets rigid bounds to that liberty to which genius often owes its supreme glory. . . . Born *originals*, how comes it to pass that we die *copies*? . . . Let not great examples or authorities browbeat thy reason into too great a diffidence of thyself. . . . While the true genius is crossing all public roads into fresh untrodden ground; he [the imitative writer], up to the knees in antiquity, is treading the sacred footsteps of great examples with the blind veneration of a bigot saluting the sacred toe.” Young asserts that Shakspeare is equal in greatness to the ancients: regrets that Pope did not employ blank verse in his translation of Homer, and calls Addison’s “Cato” “a piece of statuary.”

Robert Wood, who visited and described the ruins of Balbec and Palmrya, took his Iliad to the Troad and read it on the spot. He sailed in the track of Menelaus and the wandering Ulysses; and his acquaintance with Eastern scenery and life helped to substitute a fresher apprehension of Homer for the somewhat conventional conception that had prevailed through the classical period. What most forcibly struck Herder and Goethe in Wood’s essay was the emphasis laid upon the simple, unlettered, and even barbaric state of society in the heroic age: and upon the primitive and popular character (*Urspruenglichkeit, Volksthuemlichkeit*) of the Homeric poems.[17] This view of Homer, as essentially a minstrel or ballad-maker, has been carried so far in Professor Newman’s translations as to provoke remonstrance from Matthew Arnold, who insists upon Homer’s “nobility” and “grand style.”[18] But with whatever exaggeration it may have latterly been held, it was wholesomely corrective and stimulating when propounded in 1768.

Though the final arrival of German romanticism, in its fullness, was postponed too late to modify the English movement, before the latter had spent its first strength, yet the prelude was heard in England and found an echo there. In 1792 Walter Scott was a young lawyer at Edinburgh and had just attained his majority.

“Romance who loves to nod and sing  
With drowsy head and folded wing,  
To *him* a painted paroquet  
Had been—a most familiar bird—  
Taught *him* his alphabet to say,  
To lisp his very earliest word.”[19]

He had lain from infancy “in the lap of legends old,” and was already learned in the antiquities of the Border. For years he had been making his collection of *memorabilia*; claymores, suits of mail, Jedburgh axes, border horns, etc. He had begun his annual raids into Liddesdale, in search of ballads and folk lore, and was filling notebooks with

passages from the Edda, records of old Scotch law-cases, copies of early English poems, notes on

## Page 217

the “Morte Darthur,” on the second sight, on fairies and witches; extracts from Scottish chronicles, from the Books of Adjournal, from Aubrey, and old Glanvil of superstitious memory; tables of the Moeso-Gothic, Anglo-Saxon, and Runic alphabets and transcripts relating to the history of the Stuarts. In the autumn or early winter of that year, a class of six or seven young men was formed at Edinburgh for the study of German, and Scott joined it. In his own account of the matter he says that interest in German literature was first aroused in Scotland by a paper read before the Royal Society of Edinburgh in April, 1788, by Henry Mackenzie, the “Addison of the North,” and author of that most sentimental fictions, “The Man of Feeling.” “The literary persons of Edinburgh were then first made aware of the existence of works of genius in a language cognate with the English, and possessed of the same manly force of expressions; they learned at the same time that the taste which dictated the German compositions was of a kind as nearly allied to the English as their language; those who were from their youth accustomed to admire Shakspeare and Milton became acquainted for the first time with a race of poets who had the same lofty ambition to spurn the flaming boundaries of the universe and investigate the realms of Chaos and old Night; and of dramatists who, disclaiming the pedantry of the unities, sought, at the expense of occasional improbabilities and extravagance, to present life on the stage in its scenes of wildest contrast, and in all its boundless variety of character. . . . Their fictitious narratives, their ballad poetry, and other branches of their literature which are particularly apt to bear the stamp of the extravagant and the supernatural, began also to occupy the attention of the British literati.” Scott’s German studies were much assisted by Alexander Frazer Tytler, whose version of Schiller’s “Robbers” was one of the earliest English translations from the German theater.[20]

In the autumn of 1794 Miss Aikin, afterward Mrs. Barbauld, entertained a party at Dugald Stewart’s by reading a translation of Buerger’s ghastly ballad “Lenore.” The translation was by William Taylor of Norwich; it had not yet been published, and Miss Aikin read it from a manuscript copy. Scott was not present, but his friend Mr. Cranstoun described the performance to him; and he was so much impressed by his description that he borrowed a volume of Burger’s poems from his young kinswoman by marriage, Mrs. Scott of Harden, a daughter of Count Bruehl of Martkirchen, formerly Saxon ambassador at London, who had a Scotchwoman for his second wife, the dowager Countess of Egremont. Scott set to work in 1795 to make a translation of the ballad for himself, and succeeded so well in pleasing his friends that he had a few copies struck off for private circulation in the spring of 1796. In the autumn of the same year he published his version under the title “William and Helen,” together

## Page 218

with “The Chase,” a translation of Buerger’s “Der Wilde Jaeger.” The two poems made a thin quarto volume. It was printed at Edinburgh, was anonymous, and was Walter Scott’s first published book. Meanwhile Taylor had given his rendering to the public in the March number of the *Monthly Magazine*, introducing it with a notice of Burger’s poems; and the very same year witnessed the appearance of three other translations, one by J. T. Stanley (with copperplate engravings), one by Henry James Pye, the poet laureate, and one by the Hon. William Robert Spencer,—author of “Beth Gelert.” “Too Late I Stayed,” etc.,—with designs by Lady Diana Beauclerc. (A copy of this last, says Allibone, in folio, on vellum, sold at Christie’s in 1804 for L25 4s.) A sixth translation, by the Rev. James Beresford, who had lived some time in Berlin, came out about 1800; and Schlegel and Brandl unite in pronouncing this the most faithful, if not the best, English version of the ballad.[21]

The poem of which England had taken such manifold possession, under the varied titles “Lenore,” “Leonore,” “Leonora,” “Lenora,” “Ellenore,” “Helen,” etc., was indeed a noteworthy one. In the original, it remains Buerger’s masterpiece, and in its various English dresses it gained perhaps as many graces as it lost. It was first printed at Goettingen in Boie’s “Musen Almanach” in 1773. It was an uncanny tale of a soldier of Frederick the Great, who had perished in the Seven Years’ War, and who came at midnight on a spectral steed to claim his ladylove and carry her off a thousand miles to the bridal bed. She mounts behind him and they ride through the phantasms of the night till, at cock-crow, they come to a churchyard. The charger vanishes in smoke, the lover’s armor drops from him, green with the damps of the grave, revealing a skeleton within, and the maiden finds that her nuptial chamber is the charnel vault, and her bridegroom is Death. “This poem,” says Scherer, “leaves on us, to some degree, the impression of an unsolved mystery; all the details are clear, but at the end we have to ask ourselves what has really happened; was it a dream of the girl, a dream in which she died, or did the ghost really appear and carry her away?”[22] The story is managed, indeed, with much of that subtle art which Coleridge used in “The Ancient Mariner” and “Christabel”; so that the boundary between the earthly and the unearthly becomes indefinite, and the doubt continually occurs whether we are listening to a veritable ghost-story, or to some finer form of allegory. “Lenore” drew for its materials upon ballad motives common to many literatures. It will be sufficient to mention “Sweet William’s Ghost,” as an English example of the class.

Scott’s friends assured him that his translation was superior to Taylor’s, and Taylor himself wrote to him: “The ghost nowhere makes his appearance so well as with you, or his exit so well as with Mr. Spencer.” But Lewis was right in preferring Taylor’s version, which has a wildness and quaintness not found in Scott’s more literal and more polished rendering, and is wonderfully successful in catching the *Grobheit*, the rude, rough manner of popular poetry. A few stanzas from each will illustrate the difference:

## Page 219

[From Scott's "William and Helen."]

"Dost fear? dost fear? The moon shines clear:—  
Dost fear to ride with me?  
Hurrah! Hurrah! the dead can ride"—  
"O William, let them be!"

"See there! see there! What yonder swings  
And creaks 'mid whistling rain?"  
"Gibbet and steel, the accursed wheel;  
A murd'rer in his chain.

"Halloa! Thou felon, follow here:  
To bridal bed we ride;  
And thou shalt prance a fetter dance  
Before me and my bride."

And hurry! hurry! clash, clash, clash!  
The wasted form descends,[23]  
And fleet as wind through hazel bush  
The wild career attends.[23]

Tramp, tramp! along the land they rode,  
Splash, splash! along the sea:  
The scourge is red, the spur drops blood,  
The flashing pebbles flee.

[From Taylor's "Lenora."]

Look up, look up, an airy crewe  
In roundel dances reele.  
The moone is bryghte and blue the night,  
May'st dimly see them wheel.[24]

"Come to, come to, ye ghostlie crewe,  
Come to and follow me.  
And daunce for us the wedding daunce  
When we in bed shall be."

And brush, brush, brush, the ghostlie crew  
Come wheeling o'er their heads,  
All rustling like the withered leaves  
That wyde the whirlwind spreads.



Halloo! halloo! Away they goe  
Unheeding wet or drye,  
And horse and rider snort and blowe,  
And sparkling pebbles flye.

And all that in the moonshine lay  
Behynde them fled afar;  
And backward scudded overhead  
The skye and every star.

Tramp, tramp across the land they speede,  
Splash, splash across the sea:  
“Hurrah! the dead can ride apace,  
Dost fear to ride with me?”

It was this stanza which fascinated Scott, as repeated from memory by Mr. Cranstoun; and he retained it without much change in his version. There is no mention of the sea in Buerger, whose hero is killed in the battle of Prague and travels only by land. But Taylor nationalized and individualized the theme by making his William a knight of Richard the Lion Heart's, who had fallen in Holy Land. Scott followed him and made his a crusader in the army of Frederic Barbarossa. Buerger's poem was written in an eight-lined stanza, but Taylor and Scott both chose the common English ballad verse, with its folkloreish associations, as the best vehicle for reproducing the grewsome substance of the story; and Taylor gave an archaic cast to his diction, still further to heighten the effect. Lewis considered his version a masterpiece of translation, and, indeed, “far superior, both in spirit and in harmony, to the German.” Taylor showed almost equal skill in his rendering of Buerger's next most popular ballad, “Des Pfarrer's Tochter von Taubenhain,” first printed in the *Monthly Magazine* for April, 1796, under the somewhat odd title of “The Lass of Fair Wone.”



## Page 220

Taylor of Norwich did more than any man of his generation, by his translations and critical papers in the *Monthly Magazine* and *Monthly Review*, to spread a knowledge of the new German literature in England. When a lad of sixteen he had been sent to study at Detmold, Westphalia, and had spent more than a year (1781-82) in Germany, calling upon Goethe at Weimar, with a letter of introduction, on his way home to England. "When his acquaintance with this literature began," wrote Lucy Aikin, "there was probably no English translation of any German author but through the medium of the French, and he is very likely to have been the first Englishman of letters to read Goethe, Wieland, Lessing, and Buerger in the originals." [25] Some years before the publication of his "Lenora" he had printed for private distribution translations of Lessing's "Nathan der Weise" (1791) and Goethe's "Iphigenie auf Tauris" (1793). In 1829-30 he gathered up his numerous contributions to periodicals and put them together in a three-volume "Historic Survey of German Poetry," which was rather roughly, though not disrespectfully, handled by Carlyle in the *Edinburgh Review*. Taylor's tastes were one-sided, not to say eccentric; he had not kept up with the later movement of German thought; his critical opinions were out of date, and his book was sadly wanting in unity and a proper perspective. Carlyle was especially scandalized by the slight space accorded to Goethe. [26] But Taylor's really brilliant talent in translation, and his important service as an introducer and interpreter of German poetry to his own countrymen, deserve always to be gratefully remembered. "You have made me hunger and thirst after German poetry," wrote Southey to him, February 24, 1799. [27]

The year 1796, then, marks the confluence of the English and German romantic movements. It seems a little strange that so healthy a genius as Walter Scott should have made his *debut* in an exhibition of the horrible. Lockhart reports him, on the authority of Sir Alexander Wood, as reading his "William and Helen" over to that gentleman "in a very slow and solemn tone," and then looking at the fire in silence and presently exclaiming. "I wish to Heaven I could get a skull and two crossbones." Whereupon Sir Alexander accompanied him to the house of John Bell, surgeon, where the desired articles were obtained and mounted upon the poet's bookcase. During the next few years, Scott continued to make translations of German ballads, romances, and chivalry dramas. These remained for the present in manuscript; and some of them, indeed, such as his versions of Babo's "Otto von Wittelsbach" (1796-97) and Meier's "Wolfrid von Dromberg" (1797) were never permitted to see the light. His second publication (February, 1799) was a free translation of Goethe's tragedy, "Goetz von Berlichingen mit der Eisernen Hand." The original was a most influential work in Germany. It had been already twenty-six years before the public

## Page 221

and had produced countless imitations, with some of which Scott had been busy before he encountered this, the fountain head of the whole flood of *Ritterschauspiele*.<sup>[28]</sup> Goetz was an historical character, a robber knight of Franconia in the fifteenth century, who had championed the rights of the free knights to carry on private warfare and had been put under the ban of the empire for engaging in feuds. "It would be difficult," wrote Carlyle, "to name two books which have exercised a deeper influence on the subsequent literature of Europe"—than "The Sorrows of Werther" and "Gotz." "The fortune of 'Berlichingen with the Iron Hand,' though less sudden"—than Werther's—"was by no means less exalted. In his own country 'Goetz,' though he now stands solitary and childless, became the parent of an innumerable progeny of chivalry plays, feudal delineations, and poetico-antiquarian performances; which, though long ago deceased, made noise enough in their day and generation; and with ourselves his influence has been perhaps still more remarkable. Sir Walter Scott's first literary enterprise was a translation of 'Goetz von Berlichingen'; and if genius could be communicated, like instruction, we might call this work of Goethe's the prime cause of 'Marmion' and 'The Lady of the Lake,' with all that has since followed from the same creative hand. . . . How far 'Goetz von Berlichingen' actually affected Scott's literary destination, and whether without it the rhymed romances, and then the prose romances of the author of Waverly, would not have followed as they did, must remain a very obscure question; obscure and not important. Of the fact, however, there is no doubt, that these two tendencies, which may be named Goetzism and Wertherism, of the former of which Scott was representative with us, have made and are still in some quarters making the tour of all Europe. In Germany, too, there was this affectionate, half-regretful looking-back into the past: Germany had its buff-belted, watch-tower period in literature, and had even got done with it before Scott began."<sup>[29]</sup>

Elsewhere Carlyle protests against the common English notion that German literature dwells "with peculiar complacency among wizards and ruined towers, with mailed knights, secret tribunals, monks, specters, and banditti. . . . If any man will insist on taking Heinse's 'Ardinghello' and Miller's 'Siegwart,' the works of Veit Weber the Younger, and above all the everlasting Kotzebue,<sup>[30]</sup> as his specimens of German literature, he may establish many things. Black Forests and the glories of Lubberland, sensuality and horror, the specter nun and the charmed moonshine shall not be wanting. Boisterous outlaws also, with huge whiskers and the most cat-o'-mountain aspect; tear-stained sentimentalists, the grimmest man-haters, ghosts and the like suspicious characters will be found in abundance. We are little read in this bowl-and-dagger department; but we do understand it

## Page 222

to have been at one time rather diligently cultivated; though at present it seems to be mostly relinquished. . . . What should we think of a German critic that selected his specimens of British literature from 'The Castle Specter,' Mr. Lewis' 'Monk,' or the 'Mysteries of Udolpho,' and 'Frankenstein, or the Modern Prometheus'? . . . 'Faust,' for instance, passes with many of us for a mere tale of sorcery and art magic. It would scarcely be more unwise to consider 'Hamlet' as depending for its main interest on the ghost that walks in it." [31]

Now for the works here named, as for the whole class of melodramas and melodramatic romances which swarmed in Germany during the last quarter of the century and made their way into English theaters and circulating libraries, in the shape of translations, adaptations, imitations, two plays were remotely responsible: Goethe's "Goetz" (1773), with its robber knights, secret tribunal, imperialist troopers, gypsies, and insurgent peasants; and Schiller's "Die Raeuber" (1781), with its still more violent situations and more formidable *dramatis personae*. True, this spawn of the *Sturm- und Drangzeit*, with its dealings in banditti, monks, inquisitors, confessionals, torture and poison, dungeon and rack, the haunted tower, the yelling ghost, and the solitary cell, had been anticipated in England by Walpole's "Castle of Otranto" and "Mysterious Mother"; but this slender native stream was now quite overwhelmed in the turbid flood of sensational matter from the Black Forest and the Rhine. Mrs. Radcliffe herself had drunk from foreign sources. In 1794 she made the tour of the Rhine and published a narrative of her journey in the year following. The knightly river had not yet become hackneyed; Brentano had not invented nor Heine sung the seductive charms of the Luerlei; nor Byron mused upon "the castled crag of Drachenfels." The French armies were not far off, and there were alarms and excursions all along the border. But the fair traveler paused upon many a spot already sacred to legend and song: the Mouse Tower and Rolandseck and the Seven Mountains. She noted the peasants, in their picturesque costumes, carrying baskets of soil to the steep vineyard terraces: the ruined keeps of robber barons on the heights, and the dark sweep of the romantic valleys, bringing in their tributary streams from north and south.

Lockhart says that Scott's translations of "Goetz" should have been published ten years sooner to have had its full effect. For the English public had already become sated with the melodramas and romances of Kotzebue and the other German *Kraftmaenner*; and the clever parody of "The Robbers," under the title of "The Rovers," which Canning and Ellis had published in the *Anti-Jacobin*, had covered the entire species with ridicule. The vogue of this class of fiction, the chivalry romance, the feudal drama, the robber play and robber novel, the monkish tale and the ghost story (*Ritterstueck*,

## Page 223

*Ritterroman, Raeuberstuck, Raeuberroman, Klostergeschichte, Gespensterlied*) both in Germany and England, satisfied, however crudely, the longing of the time for freedom, adventure, strong action, and emotion. As Lowell said of the transcendental movement in New England, it was a breaking of windows to get at the fresh air. Laughable as many of them seem today, with their improbable plots and exaggerated characters, they met a need which had not been met either by the rationalizing wits of the Augustan age or by the romanticizing poets who followed them with their elegiac refinement, and their unimpassioned strain of reflection and description. They appeared, for the moment, to be the new avatar of the tragic muse whereof Akenside and Collins and Warton had prophesied, the answer to their demand for something wild and primitive, for the return into poetry of the *Naturton*, and the long-absent power of exciting the tragic emotions, pity and terror. This spirit infected not merely the department of the chivalry play and the Gothic romance, but prose fiction in general. It is responsible for morbid and fantastic creations like Beckford's "Vathek," Godwin's "St. Leon" and "Caleb Williams," Mrs. Shelley's "Frankenstein," Shelley's "Zastrozzi" and "St. Irvine the Rosicrucian," and the American Charles Brockden Brown's "Ormond" and "Wieland," forerunners of Hawthorne and Poe; tales of sleep-walkers and ventriloquists, of persons who are in pursuit of the *elixir vitae*, or who have committed the unpardonable sin, or who manufacture monsters in their laboratories, or who walk about in the Halls of Eblis, carrying their burning hearts in their hands.

Lockhart, however, denies that "Goetz von Berlichingen" had anything in common with the absurdities which Canning made fun of in the *Anti-Jacobin*. He says that it was a "broad, bold, free, and most picturesque delineation of real characters, manners, and events." He thinks that in the robber barons of the Rhine, with "their forays upon each other's domains, the besieged castles, the plundered herds, the captive knights, the brow-beaten bishop and the baffled liege-lord," Scott found a likeness to the old life of the Scotch border, with its moss-troopers, cattle raids, and private warfare; and that, as Percy's "Reliques" prompted the "Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border," so "Goetz" prompted the "Lay of the Last Minstrel" and "Marmion." He quotes the passage from "Goetz" where Selbiss is borne in, wounded, by two troopers who ascend a watch-tower and describe to their leader the further progress of the battle; and he asks "who does not recognize in Goethe's drama the true original of the death scene in 'Marmion' and the storm in 'Ivanhoe'?"

## Page 224

A singular figure now comes upon our stage, Matthew Gregory Lewis, commonly nicknamed “Monk” Lewis, from the title of his famous romance. It is a part of the irony of things that so robust a muse as Walter Scott’s should have been nursed in infancy by a little creature like Lewis. His “Monk” had been published in 1795, when the author was only twenty. In 1798 Scott’s friend William Erskine met Lewis in London. The latter was collecting materials for his “Tales of Wonder,” and when Erskine showed him Scott’s “William and Helen” and “The Wild Huntsman,” and told him that he had other things of the kind in manuscript, Lewis begged that Scott would contribute to his collection. Erskine accordingly put him in communication with Scott, who felt highly flattered by the Monk’s request, and wrote to him that his ballads were quite at his service. Lewis replied, thanking him for the offer. “A ghost or a witch,” he wrote, “is a *sine qua non* ingredient in all the dishes of which I mean to compose my hobgoblin repast.” Later in the same year Lewis came to Edinburgh and was introduced to Scott, who found him an odd contrast to the grewsome horrors of his books, being a cheerful, foppish, round-faced little man, a follower of fashion and an assiduous tuft-hunter. “Mat had queerish eyes,” writes his *protege*: “they projected like those of some insects, and were flattish on the orbit. His person was extremely small and boyish—he was indeed the least man I ever saw, to be strictly well and neatly made. . . This boyishness went through life with him. He was a child and a spoiled child, but a child of high imagination; and so he wasted himself on ghost stories and German romances. He had the finest ear for rhythm I ever met with—finer than Byron’s.”

Byron, by the way, had always a kindly feeling for Lewis, though he laughed at him in “English Bards and Scotch Reviewers”:

“O wonder-working Lewis, Monk or Bard,  
Who fain would’st make Parnassus a churchyard;  
Lo! wreaths of yew, not laurel, bind thy brow;  
Thy muse a sprite, Apollo’s sexton thou;  
Whether on ancient tombs thou tak’st thy stand,  
By gibbering specters hailed, thy kindred band,  
Or tracest chaste descriptions on thy page,  
To please the females of our modest age—  
All hail, M. P., [32] from whose infernal brain  
Thin-sheeted phantoms glide, a grisly train;  
At whose command grim women thron in crowds,  
And kings of fire, of water and of clouds,  
With ‘small gray men,’ wild yagers and what not,  
To crown with honor thee and Walter Scott!”

In 1816, while on his way to Italy, Lewis sojourned for a space with Byron and Shelley in their Swiss retreat and set the whole company composing goblin stories. The most remarkable outcome of this queer symposium was Mrs. Shelley’s abnormal romance, “Frankenstein.” The signatures of Byron and Shelley are affixed, as witnesses, to a

codicil to Lewis' will, which he drew at this time and dated at Maison Diodati, Geneva; a somewhat rhetorical document in which he provided for the protection of the slaves on his Jamaica plantations. It was two years after this, and on his return voyage from a visit to these West Indian estates, that Lewis died of yellow fever and was buried at sea. Byron made this note of it in his diary:

## Page 225

"I'd give the lands of Deloraine  
Dark Musgrave were alive again,"

that is,

"I would give many a sugar cane  
Monk Lewis were alive again."

Scott's modesty led him to depreciate his own verses as compared with Lewis', some of which he recited to Ballantyne, in 1799, speaking of their author, says Lockhart, "with rapture." But however fine an ear for rhythm Lewis may have had, his verse is for the most part execrable; and his jaunty, jiggling anapaests and pragmatic manner are ludicrously out of keeping with the horrors of his tale, increasing the air of bathos which distinguishes his poetry:

"A toad still alive in the liquor she threw,  
And loud shrieked the toad as in pieces it flew:  
And ever, the cauldron as over she bent,  
She muttered strange words of mysterious intent:"

or this from the same ballad:[33]

"Wild laughing, the Fiend caught the hand from the floor,  
Releasing the babe, kissed the wound, drank the gore;  
A little jet ring from her finger then drew,  
Thrice shrieked a loud shriek and was borne from their view."

Lewis would appear to have inherited his romantic turn from his mother, a sentimental little dame whose youthful looks caused her often to be taken for Mat's sister, and whose reading was chiefly confined to novels. The poor lady was something of a blue-stocking and aspired, herself, to literary honors. Lewis' devotion to her is very charming, and the elder-brotherly tone of his letters to her highly amusing. But he had a dislike of "female authorship": and the rumor having reached his ear that his mother had written a novel and a tragedy and was preparing to print them, he wrote to her in alarm, begging her to stay her hand. "I hold that a woman has no business to be a public character, and that, in proportion as she acquires notoriety, she loses delicacy. I always consider a female author as a sort of half-man." He was also, quite properly, shocked at some gossip which attributed "The Monk," to his mother instead of to his mother's son.

We read in the "Life and Correspondence of Matthew Gregory Lewis" (2 vols., London, 1839), that one of Mrs. Lewis' favorite books was "Glanvil on Witches." Glanvil was the seventeenth-century writer whose "Vanity of Dogmatizing,"[34] and "Sadduceismus Triumphatus" rebuked the doubter and furnished arguments for Cotton Mather's



“Wonders of the Invisible World” (1693), an apology for his share in the Salem witchcraft trials; and whose description of a ghostly drum, that was heard to beat every night in a Wiltshire country house, gave Addison the hint for his comedy of “The Drummer.” Young Lewis gloated with a pleasing horror over Glanvil’s pages and the wonderful copperplates which embellished them; particularly the one which represents the devil beating his airy tympanum over Mr. Mompesson’s house. In



## Page 226

the ancient mansion of Stanstead Hall, belonging to a kinsman of his father, where the boy spent a part of his childhood, there was a haunted chamber known as the cedar room. "In maturer years," says his biographer, "Lewis has frequently been heard to declare that at night, when he was conducted past that gloomy chamber, on the way to his dormitory, he would cast a glance of terror over his shoulder, expecting to see the huge and strangely carved folding doors fly open and disclose some of those fearful shapes that afterward resolved themselves into the ghastly machinery of his works."

Lewis' first and most celebrated publication was "Ambrosio, or the Monk" (1795), a three-volume romance of the Gothic type, and a lineal descendant of Walpole and Mrs. Radcliffe. He began it at Oxford in 1792, describing it in a letter to his mother as "a romance in the style of 'The Castle of Otranto.'" But in the summer of the same year he went to Germany and took up his residence at Weimar, where he was introduced to Goethe and made eager acquaintance with the bizarre productions of the *Sturm- und Drangperiode*. For years Lewis was one of the most active intermediaries between the German purveyors of the terrible and the English literary market. He fed the stage with melodramas and operas, and stuffed the closet reader with ballads and prose romances.[35] Meanwhile, being at The Hague in the summer of 1794, he resumed and finished his "Monk," in ten weeks. "I was induced to go on with it," he wrote to his mother, "by reading the 'Mysteries of Udolpho,' which is, in my opinion, one of the most interesting books that has ever been published. . . . When you read it, tell me whether you think there is any resemblance between the character given of Montoni . . . and my own. I confess that it struck me." This innocent vanity of fancying a likeness between Anne Radcliffe's dark-browed villain and his own cherubic personality recalls Scott's story about the picture of Lewis, by Saunders, which was handed round at Dalkeith House. "The artist had ingeniously flung a dark folding-mantle around the form, under which was half-hid a dagger, a dark lantern, or some cut-throat appurtenance; with all this, the features were preserved and ennobled. It passed from hand to hand into that of Henry, Duke of Buccleuch, who, hearing the general voice affirm that it was very like, said aloud, 'Like Mat Lewis! Why, that picture's like a man.'" "The Monk" used, and abused, the now familiar apparatus of Gothic romance. It had Spanish grandees, heroines of dazzling beauty, bravoos and forest banditti, foolish duennas and gabbling domestics, monks, nuns, inquisitors, magic mirrors, enchanted wands, midnight incantations, sorcerers, ghosts, demons; haunted chambers, wainscoated in dark oak; moonlit castles with ruined towers and ivied battlements, whose galleries rang with the shrieks and blasphemies of guilty spirits, and from whose portals issued, when the castle clock tolled one,

## Page 227

the specter of a bleeding nun, with dagger and lamp in hand. There were poisonings, stabbings, and ministrations of sleeping potions; beauties who masqueraded as pages, and pages who masqueraded as wandering harpers; secret springs that gave admittance to winding stairs leading down into the charnel vaults of convents, where erring sisters were immured by cruel prioresses and fed on bread and water among the loathsome relics of the dead.

With all this, “The Monk” is a not wholly contemptible work. There is a certain narrative power about it which puts it much above the level of “The Castle of Otranto.” And though it partakes of the stilted dialogue and false conception of character that abound in Mrs. Radcliffe’s romances, it has neither the excess of scenery nor of sentiment which distinguishes that very prolix narrator. There is nothing strictly mediaeval about it. The knight in armor cuts no figure and the historical period is not precisely indicated. But the ecclesiastical features lend it a semblance of mediaevalism; and one is reminded, though but faintly, by the imprisonment of the offending sister in the sepulcher of the convent, of the scene in “Marmion” where Constance is immured in the vaults of Lindisfarne—a frank anachronism, of course, on Scott’s part, since Lindisfarne had been in ruins centuries before the battle of Flodden. The motto from Horace on the title page of “The Monk” sums up its contents, and indeed the contents of most of its author’s writings, prose and verse—

“Somnia, terrores magicos, miracula, sagas,  
Nocturnos lemures portentaque.”

The hero Ambrosio is the abbot of St. Francis’ Capuchin monastery in Madrid; a man of rigid austerity, whose spiritual pride makes him an easy prey to the temptations of a female demon, who leads him by degrees through a series of crimes, including incest and parricide, until he finally sells his soul to the devil to escape from the dungeons of the Inquisition and the *auto da fe*, subscribing the agreement, in approved fashion, upon a parchment scroll with an iron pen dipped in blood from his own veins. The fiend, who enters with thunder and lightning, over whose shoulders “waved two enormous sable wings,” and whose hair “was supplied by living snakes,” then snatches up his victim and soars with him to a peak of the Sierra Morena, where in a Salvator Rosa landscape of torrents, cliffs, caverns, and pine forests, by the light of an opera moon, and to the sound of the night wind sighing hoarsely and “the shrill cry of mountain eagles,” he drops him over a precipice and makes an end of him.

## Page 228

A passage from the episode of Agnes de Medina, the incarcerated nun, will illustrate Lewis' wonder-working arts: "A faint glimmering of light which strained through the bars permitted me to distinguish the surrounding horrors. I was oppressed by a noisome, suffocating smell; and perceiving that the grated door was unfastened, I thought that I might possibly effect my escape. As I raised myself with this design, my hand rested upon something soft. I grasped it and advanced it toward the light. Almighty God! what was my disgust! my consternation! In spite of its putridity and the worms which preyed upon it, I perceived a corrupted human head, and recognized the features of a nun who had died some months before. . . A sepulchral lamp was suspended from the roof by an iron chain and shed a gloomy light through the dungeon. Emblems of death were seen on every side; skulls, shoulder-blades, thigh-bones and other relics of mortality were scattered upon the dewy ground. . . As I shrunk from the cutting wind which howled through my subterraneous dwelling, the change seemed so striking, so abrupt, that I doubted its reality. . . Sometimes I felt the bloated toad, hideous and pampered with the poisonous vapors of the dungeon, dragging his loathsome length along my bosom; sometimes the quick, cold lizard roused me, leaving his slimy track upon my face, and entangling itself in the tresses of my wild and matted hair. Often have I, at waking, found my fingers ringed with the long worms which bred in the corrupted flesh of my infant."

"The Monk" won for its author an immediate and wide celebrity, assisted no doubt by the outcry against its immorality. Lewis tried to defend himself by pleading that the outline and moral of his story were borrowed from "The History of Santon Barsisa" in the *Guardian* (No. 148). But the voluptuous nature of some of the descriptions induced the Attorney General to enjoin the sale of the book, and Lewis bowed to public opinion so far as to suppress the objectionable passages in later editions. Lewis' melodrama "The Castle Specter" was first performed December 14, 1797, at Drury Lane, ran sixty nights and "continued popular as an acting play," says the biographer, "up to a very recent period." [36] This is strong testimony to the contemporary appetite for nightmare, for the play is a trumpery affair. Sheridan, who had a poor opinion of it, advised the dramatist to keep the specter out of the last scene. "It had been said," explains Lewis in his preface, "that if Mr. Sheridan had not advised me to content myself with a single specter, I meant to have exhibited a whole regiment of ghosts." The prologue, spoken by Mr. Wroughton, invokes "the fair enchantress, Romance":

"The moonstruck child of genius and of woe,"

who

## Page 229

“—Loathes the sun or blazing taper’s light: The moonbeamed landscape and tempestuous night Alone she loves; and oft with glimmering lamp Near graves new opened, or midst dungeons damp, Drear forests, ruined aisles and haunted towers, Forlorn she roves and raves away the hours.”

The scene of the drama is Conway Castle in Wales, where abides Earl Osmond, a feudal tyrant of the “Otranto” type, who is planning an incestuous marriage with his own niece, concerning which he thus soliloquizes: “What though she prefer a basilisk’s kiss to mine? Because my short-lived joy may cause her eternal sorrow, shall I reject those pleasures sought so long, desired so earnestly? That will I not, by Heaven! Mine she is, and mine she shall be, though Reginald’s bleeding ghost flit before me and thunder in my ear ‘Hold! Hold!’—Peace, stormy heart, she comes.” Reginald’s ghost does not flit, because Reginald is still in the flesh, though not in very much flesh. He is Osmond’s brother and Angela’s father, and the wicked Earl thought that he had murdered him. It turns out, however, that, though left for dead, he had recovered of his hurts and has been kept unbeknown in solitary confinement, in a dungeon vault under the castle, for the somewhat long period of sixteen years. He is discovered in Act V., “emaciated, in coarse garments, his hair hanging wildly about his face, and a chain bound round his body.”

Reginald’s ghost does not flit, but Evelina’s does. Evelina is Reginald’s murdered wife, and her specter in “white and flowing garments, spotted with blood,” appears to Angela in the oratory communicating with the cedar room, which is furnished with an antique bedstead and the portrait of a lady on a sliding panel. In truth, the castle is uncommonly well supplied with apparitions. Earl Herbert rides around it every night on a white horse; Lady Bertha haunts the west pinnacle of the chapel tower; and Lord Hildebrand may be seen any midnight in the great hall, playing football with his own head. So says Motley the jester, who affords the comedy element of the play, with the help of a fat friar who guzzles sack and stuffs venison pasties, and a soubrette after the “Otranto” pattern.

A few poems were scattered through the pages of “The Monk,” including a ballad from the Danish, and another from the Spanish. But the most famous of these was “Alonzo the Brave and the Fair Imogene,” original with Lewis, though evidently suggested by “Lenore.” It tells how a lover who had gone to Palestine presented himself at the bridal feast of his faithless fair one, just as the clock struck one and the lights burned blue. At the request of the company, the strange knight raises his visor and discloses a skeleton head:

“All present then uttered a terrified shout;  
All turned with disgust from the scene;  
The worms they crept in and the worms they crept out,  
And sported his eyes and his temples about  
While the spectre addressed Imogene.”

## Page 230

He winds his arms about her and sinks with his prey through the yawning ground; and

“At midnight four times in each year does her sprite,  
When mortals in slumber are bound.  
Arrayed in her bridal apparel of white,  
Appear in the hall with a skeleton knight  
And shriek as he whirls her around.

“While they drink out of skulls newly torn from the grave,  
Dancing round them pale spectres are seen.  
Their liquor is blood, and this horrible stave  
They how: ‘To the health of Alonzo the Brave  
And his consort, the Fair Imogene!’”

Lewis’ own contributions to his “Tales of Terror” and “Tales of Wonder,” were of his same raw-head and bloody-bones variety. His imagination rioted in physical horrors. There are demons who gnash with iron fangs and brandish gore-fed scorpions; maidens are carried off by the Winter King, the Water King, the Cloud King, and the Sprite of the Glen; they are poisoned or otherwise done to death, and their wraiths revisit their guilty lovers in their shrouds at midnight’s dark hour and imprint clammy kisses upon them with livid lips; gray friars and black canons abound; requiem and death knell sound through the gloom of the cloisters; echo roars through high Gothic arches; the anchorite mutters in his mossy cell; tapers burn dim, torches cast a red glare on vaulted roofs; the night wind blows through dark aisles; the owl hoots in the turret, and dying groans are heard in the lonely house upon the heath, where the black and tattered arras molders on the wall.

The “Tales of Wonder” included translations by Lewis from Goethe’s “Fisher” and “Erl-King,” and from German versions of Runic ballads in Herder’s “Stimmen der Voelker.” Scott’s “Wild Huntsman,” from Buerger, was here reprinted, and he contributed, in addition, “Frederick and Alice,” paraphrased from a romance-fragment in Goethe’s opera “Claudina von Villa Bella”; and three striking ballads of his own, “The Fire King,” a story of the Crusades, and “Glenfinlas” and “The Eve of St. John,” Scottish tales of “gramarye.” There were two or three old English ballads in the collection, such as “Clerk Colvin” and “Tam Lin”; a contribution from George Colman, Jr., the dramatist, and one from Scott’s eccentric friend Leyden; and the volume concluded with Taylor’s “Lenora.”[37]

It is comical to read that the Monk gave Scott lectures in the art of versification and corrected the Scotticisms and false rhymes in his translations from Buerger; and that Scott respectfully deferred to his advice. For nothing can be in finer contrast with Lewis’ penny dreadful, than the martial ring of the verse and the manly vigor of the style in Scott’s part of the book. This is how Lewis writes anapaests, e.g.:

“All shrouded she was in the garb of the tomb,  
Her lips they were livid, her face it was wan;  
A death the most horrid had rifled her bloom  
And each charm of beauty was faded and gone.”

## Page 231

And this is how Scott writes them:

“He clenched his set teeth and his gauntleted hand,  
He stretched with one buffet that page on the sand. . .  
For down came the Templars like Cedron in flood,  
And dyed their long lances in Saracen blood.”

It is no more possible to take Monk Lewis seriously than to take Horace Walpole seriously. They are both like children telling ghost-stories in the dark and trying to make themselves shudder. Lewis was even frivolous enough to compose parodies on his own ballads. A number of these *facetiae*—“The Mud King,” “Giles Jollup the Grave and Brown Sally Green,” *etc.*—diversify his “Tales of Wonder.”

Scott soon found better work for his hands to do than translating German ballads and melodramas; but in later years he occasionally went back to these early sources of romantic inspiration. Thus his poem “The Noble Moringer” was taken from a “Sammlung Deutscher Volkslieder” published at Berlin in 1807 by Busching and Von der Hagen. In 1799 he had made a *rifacimento* of a melodrama entitled “Der Heilige Vehme” in Veit Weber’s “Sagen der Vorzeit.” This he found among his papers thirty years after (1829) and printed in “The Keepsake,” under the title of “The House of Aspen.” Its most telling feature is the description of the Vehm-Gericht or Secret Tribunal, but it has little importance. In his “Historic Survey,” Taylor said that “Goetz von Berlichingen” was “translated into English in 1799 at Edinburgh, by Wm. Scott, Advocate; no doubt the same person who, under the poetical but assumed name of Walter, had since become the most extensively popular of the British writers”! This amazing statement is explained by a blunder on the title-page of Scott’s “Goetz,” where the translator’s name is given as *William* Scott. But it led to a slightly acrimonious correspondence between Sir Walter and the Norwich reviewer.[38]

The tide of German romance had begun to ebb before the close of the century. It rose again a few years later, and left perhaps more lasting tokens this second time; but the ripple-marks of its first invasion are still discernible in English poetry and prose. Southey was clearly in error when he wrote to Taylor, September 5, 1798: “Coleridge’s ballad, ‘The Ancient Mariner’ is, I think, the clumsiest attempt at German sublimity I ever saw.”[39] The “Mariner” is not in the least German, and when he wrote it, Coleridge had not been in Germany and did not know the language. He had read “Die Rauber,” to be sure, some years before in Tytler’s translation. He was at Cambridge at the time, and one night in winter, on leaving the room of a college friend, carelessly picked up and took away with him a copy of the tragedy, the very name of which he had never heard before. “A winter midnight, the wind high and ‘The Robbers’ for the first time. The readers of Schiller will conceive what I felt.” He recorded, in the sonnet “To Schiller” (written December, 1794, or January, 1795), the terrific impression left upon his imagination by



## Page 232

—“The famished father’s cry  
From the dark dungeon of the tower time-rent,”

and wish that he might behold the bard himself, wandering at eve—

“Beneath some vast old tempest-swinging wood.”

Coleridge was destined to make the standard translation of “Wallenstein”; and there are motives borrowed from “The Robbers” and “The Ghost-Seer” in his own very rubbishy dramas, “Zapolya”—of which Scott made some use in “Peveril of the Peak”—and “Osorio” (1797). The latter was rewritten as “Remorse,” put on at Drury Lane January 23, 1813, and ran twenty nights. It had been rejected by Sheridan, who expressed a very proper contempt for it as an acting play. The Rev. W. L. Bowles and Byron, who had read it in manuscript and strangely overvalued it, both made interest with the manager to have it tried on the stage. “Remorse” also took some hints from Lewis’ “Monk.”

But Coleridge came in time to hold in low esteem, if not precisely “The Robbers” itself, yet that school of German melodrama of which it was the grand exemplar. In the twenty-third chapter of the “Biographia Literaria” (1817) he reviewed with severity the Rev. Charles Robert Maturin’s tragedy “Bertram, or the Castle of St. Aldobrand,”[40] and incidentally gave the genesis of that whole theatric species “which it has been the fashion, of late years, at once to abuse and to enjoy under the name of the German Drama. Of this latter Schiller’s ‘Robbers’ was the earliest specimen, the first-fruits of his youth. . . Only as *such* did the maturer judgment of the author tolerate the play.” Coleridge avows that “The Robbers” and its countless imitations were due to the popularity in Germany of the translations of Young’s “Night Thoughts,” Hervey’s “Meditations,” and Richardson’s “Clarissa Harlowe.” “Add the ruined castles, the dungeons, the trap-doors, the skeletons, the flesh-and-blood ghosts, and the perpetual moonshine of a modern author[41] (themselves the literary brood of the ‘Castle of Otranto,’ the translations of which, with the imitations and improvements aforesaid, were about that time beginning to make as much noise in Germany as their originals were making in England), and, as the compound of these ingredients duly mixed, you will recognize the so-called *German Drama*,” which “is English in its origin, English in its materials, and English by readoption; and till we can prove that Kotzebue, or any of the whole breed of Kotzebues, whether dramatists or romantic writers or writers of romantic dramas, were ever admitted to any other shelf in the libraries of well-educated Germans than were occupied by their originals . . . in their mother country, we should submit to carry our own brat on our own shoulders.”



## Page 233

Germany, rather than Italy or Spain, became under these influences for a time the favored country of romance. English tale-writers chose its forests and dismantled castles as the scenes of their stories of brigandage and assassination. One of the best of a bad class of fictions, e.g., was Harriet Lee's "The German's Tale: Kruitznier," in the series of "Canterbury Tales" written in conjunction with her sister Sophia (1797-1805). Byron read it when he was fourteen, was profoundly impressed by it, and made it the basis of "Werner," the only drama of his which had any stage success. "Kruitznier" is conceived with some power, but monotonously and ponderously written. The historic period is the close of the Thirty Years' War. It does not depend mainly for its effect upon the time-honored "Gothic" machinery, though it makes a moderate use of the sliding panel and secret passage once again.

We are come to the gate of the new century, to the date of the "Lyrical Ballads" (1798) and within sight of the Waverly novels. Looking back over the years elapsed since Thomson put forth his "Winter," in 1726, we ask ourselves what the romantic movement in England had done for literature; if indeed that deserves to be called a "movement" which had no leader, no programme, no organ, no theory of art, and very little coherence. True, as we have learned from the critical writings of the time, the movement, such as it was, was not all unconscious of its own aims and directions. The phrase "School of Warton" implies a certain solidarity, and there was much interchange of views and some personal contact between men who were in literary sympathy; some skirmishing, too, between opposing camps. Gray, Walpole, and Mason constitute a group, encouraging each other's studies in their correspondence and occasional meetings. Shenstone was interested in Percy's ballad collections, and Gray in Warton's "History of English Poetry." Akenside read Dyer's "Fleece," and Gray read Beattie's "Minstrel" in MS. The Wartons were friends of Collins; Collins a friend and neighbor of Thomson; and Thomson a frequent visitor at Hagley and the Leasowes. Chatterton sought to put Rowley under Walpole's protection, and had his verses examined by Mason and Gray. Still, upon the whole, the English romanticists had little community; they worked individually and were scattered and isolated as to their residence, occupations, and social affiliation. It does not appear that Gray ever met Collins, or the Wartons, or Shenstone or Akenside; nor that MacPherson, Clara Reeve, Mrs. Radcliffe, and Chatterton ever saw each other or any of those first mentioned. There was none of that united purpose and that eager partisanship which distinguished the Parisian *cenacle Romantische Schule* whose members have been so brilliantly sketched by Heine.

## Page 234

But call it a movement, or simply a drift, a trend; what had it done for literature? In the way of stimulus and preparation, a good deal. It had relaxed the classical bandages, widened the range of sympathy, roused a curiosity as to novel and diverse forms of art, and brought the literary mind into a receptive, expectant attitude favorable to original creative activity. There never was a generation more romantic in temper than that which stepped upon the stage at the close of the eighteenth century: a generation fed upon "Ossian" and Rousseau and "The Sorrows of Werther" and Percy's "Reliques" and Mrs. Radcliffe's romances. Again, in the department of literary and antiquarian scholarship much had been accomplished. Books like Tyrwhitt's "Chaucer" and Warton's "History of English Poetry" had a real importance, while the collection and preservation of old English poetry, before it was too late, by scholars like Percy, Ritson, Ellis, and others was a pious labor.

But if we inquire what positive additions had been made to the modern literature of England, the reply is disappointing. No one will maintain that the Rowley poems, "Caractacus," "The Monk," "The Grave of King Arthur," "The Friar of Orders Gray," "The Castle of Otranto," and "The Mysteries of Udolpho" are things of permanent value: or even that "The Bard," "The Castle of Indolence," and the "Poems of Ossian" take rank with the work done in the same spirit by Coleridge, Scott, Keats, Rossetti, and William Morris. The two leading British poets of the *fin du siècle*, Cowper and Burns, were not among the romanticists. It was left for the nineteenth century to perform the work of which the eighteenth only prophesied.

[1] Scherer's "History of German Literature," Conybeare's Translation, Vol. II, p. 26.

[2] Scherer, Vol. II. pp. 123-24.

[3] See *ante*, pp. 300-301.

[4] See *ante*, pp. 337-38.

[5] "The Beauties of Shakspeare. Regularly selected from each Play. With a general index. Digesting them under proper heads." By the Rev. Wm. Dodd, 1752.

[6] "Es war nicht blos die Tiefe der Poesie, welche sie zu Shakespeare zog, es war ebenso sehr das sichere Gefuehl, das hier germanische Art und Kunst sei."—*Hettner's Geschichte der deutschen Literatur*, 3.3.1. s. 51. "Ist zu sagen, dass die Abwendung von den Franzosen zu den stammverwandten Englaendern . . . in ihrem geschichtlichen Ursprung und Wachsthum wesentlich die Auflehnung des erstarkten germanischen Volksnaturells gegen die erdrueckende Uebermacht der romanischen Formenwelt war," *etc.*—*Ibid.* s. 47. See also, ss. 389-95, for a review of the interpretation of the great Shaksperian roles by German actors like Schroeder and Fleck.

[7] “Wir hoeren einen Nachklang jener froehlichen Unterhaltungen, in denen die Freunde sich ganz und gar in Shakepear’schen Wendungen und Wortwitzten ergingen, in seiner Uebersetzung von Shakespeare’s ‘Love’s Labour’s Lost’”—*Hettner*, s. 244.

## Page 235

[8] See the whole oration (in Hettner, s. 120,) which gives a most vivid expression of the impact of Shakspeare upon the newly aroused mind of Germany.

[9] "German Literature," Vol. II. pp. 82-83

[10] "Unter allen Menschen des Achtzehnten Jahrhunderts war Goethe wieder der Erste, welcher die lang verachtete Herrlichkeit der gothischen Baukunst empfand und erfasste."—*Hettner*, 3.3.1., s. 120.

[11] *Construirtes Ideal*.

[12] Scherer, II. 129-31. "Oberon" was englished by William Sotheby in 1798.

[13] "Vor den classischen Dichtarten faengt mich bald an zu ekeln," wrote Buerger in 1775. "Charakteristiken": von Erich Schmidt (Berlin, 1886) s. 205. "O, das verwuenschte Wort: Klassisch!" exclaims Herder. "Dieses Wort war es, das alle wahre Bildung nach den Alten als noch lebenden Mustern verdrangte. . . Dies Wort hat manches Genie unter einen Schutt von Worten vergraben. . . Es hat dem Vaterland bluehende Fruchtbaeume entzogen!"—*Hettner* 3.3.1. s. 50.

[14] "German Literature," Vol. II. p. 230.

[15] "Literaturegeschichte," 3.3.1. s. 30-31.

[16] See *ante*, p. 48.

[17] "Our polite neighbors the French seem to be most offended at certain pictures of primitive simplicity, so unlike those refined modes of modern life in which they have taken the lead; and to this we may partly impute the rough treatment which our poet received from them"—*Essay on Homer* (Dublin Edition, 1776), p. 127.

[18] See Francis W. Newman's "Iliad" (1856) and Arnold's "Lectures on Translating Homer" (1861).

[19] "Romance," Edgar Poe.

[20] "Lockhart's Life of Scott," Vol. I. p. 163.

[21] For full titles and descriptions of these translations, as well as for the influence of Buerger's poems in England, see Alois Brandl: "Lenore in England," in "Charakteristiken," by Erich Schmidt (Berlin, 1886) ss. 244-48. Taylor said in 1830 that no German poem had been so often translated: "eight different versions are lying on my table and I have read others." He claimed his to be the earliest, as written in 1790, though not printed till 1796. "Lenore" won at once the honors of parody—surest proof of popularity. Brandl mentions two—"Miss Kitty," Edinburgh, 1797, and "The Hussar of

Magdeburg, or the Midnight Phaeton," Edinburgh, 1800, and quotes Mathias' satirical description of the piece ("Pursuits of Literature," 1794-97) as "diablerie tudesque" and a "'Blue Beard' story for the nursery." The bibliographies mention a new translation in 1846 by Julia M. Cameron, with illustrations by Maclise; and I find a notice in Allibone of "The Ballad of Lenore: a Variorum Monograph," 4to, containing thirty metrical versions in English, announced as about to be published at Philadelphia in 1866 by Charles Lukens. *Quaere* whether this be the same as Henry Clay Lukens ("Erratic Enrico"), who published "Lean 'Nora" (Philadelphia, 1870; New York, 1878), a title suggestive of a humorous intention, but a book which I have not seen.

## Page 236

[22] "History of German Literature," Vol. II. p. 123.

[23] These are book phrases, not true ballad diction.

[24] Cf. The "Ancient Mariner":

"The feast is set, the guests are met,  
May'st hear the merry din."

[25] "Memoir of Wm. Taylor of Norwich," by J. W. Robberds (1843), Vol. II. p. 573.

[26] For Taylor's opinion of Carlyle's papers on Goethe in the *Foreign Review*, see "Historic Survey," Vol. III. pp. 378-79.

[27] "Memoir of Taylor," Vol. I. p. 255.

[28] Among the most notable of these was "Maler" (Friedrich) Mueller's "Golo und Genoveva" (written 1781; published 1811); Count Toerring's "Agnes Bernauerin" (1780); and Jacob Meyer's "Sturm von Borberg" (1778), and "Fust von Stromberg" (1782). Several of these were very successful on the stage.

[29] "Essay on Walter Scott."

[30] Kotzebue's "The Stranger" ("Menschenhass und Reue") still keeps the English stage. Sheridan's "Pizarro"—a version of Katzebue's "Spaniards in Peru"—was long a favorite; and "Monk" Lewis made another translation of the same in 1799, entitled "Rolla," which, however, was never acted.

[31] "State of German Literature."

[32] Lewis sat in Parliament for Hindon, Wilts, succeeding Beckford of "Vathek" and Fonthill Abbey fame.

[33] "The Grim White Woman," in "Tales of Wonder."

[34] Matthew Arnold's lovely "Scholar Gypsy" was suggested by a passage in this.

[35] The following is a list of his principal translations: "The Minister" (1797), from Schiller's "Kabale and Liebe"; played at Covent Garden in 1803, as "The Harper's Daughter." "Rolla" (1799), from Kotzebue's "Spaniards in Peru." "Adelmorn, or the Outlaw" (1800), played at Drury Lane, 1801. "Tales of Terror" (1801) and "Tales of Wonder" (1801). (There seems to be some doubt as to the existence of the alleged Kelso editions of these in 1799 and 1800, respectively. See article on Lewis in the "Dict. Nat. Biog.") "The Bravo of Venice" (1804), a prose romance, dramatized and

played at Covent Garden, as “Rugantino,” in 1805. “Feudal Tyrants” (1807), a four-volume romance. “Romantic Tales” (1808), 4 vols. From German and French.

[36] The printed play had reached its eleventh edition in 1803.

[37] The “Tales of Terror,” and “Tales of Wonder” are reprinted in a single volume of “Morley’s Universal Library,” 1887.

[38] See “Memoir of Wm. Taylor,” Vol. II. Pp. 533-38.

[39] “Memoir of Taylor,” Vol. I. p. 223.

[40] This was one of the latest successes of the kind. It was played at Drury Lane in 1816 for twenty-two nights, bringing the author 1000 pounds, and the printed play reached the seventh edition within the year. Among Maturin’s other works were “The Fatal Revenge” (1807), “Manuel” (Drury Lane, 1817) “Fredolfo” (Covent Garden, 1817), and his once famous romance, “Melmoth the Wanderer” (1820), see *ante*, p. 249.

## Page 237

[41] Mrs. Radcliffe.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

[This bibliography is intended to give practical aid to any reader who may wish to follow up the history of the subject for himself. It by no means includes all the books and authors referred to in the text; still less, all that have been read or consulted in the preparation of the work.]

Addison, Joseph. Works. New York, 1856. 6 vols.  
Akenside, Mark. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1837.  
Amherst, Alicia. "History of Gardening in England." London, 1896.  
Arnold, Matthew. "The Study of Celtic Literature," and "Lectures on Translating Homer." London, 1893.  
Austen, Jane. "Northanger Abbey," London, 1857.

Bagehot, Walter. "Literary Studies." London, 1879. 2 vols.  
Beattie, James. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1854.  
Beckford, William. "History of the Caliph Vathek." New York, 1869.  
Bell, John. "Classical Arrangement of Fugitive Poetry." London, 1790-97. 18 vols.  
Blair, Robert. Poetical works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1854.  
Boswell, James. "Life of Samuel Johnson." Fitzgerald's ed. London, 1874. 3 vols.  
Boswell, James. "Life of Samuel Johnson." Abridged ed. New York, 1878.  
Boyesen, H.H. "Essays on German Literature." New York, 1892.  
Brandl, Alois. "Lenore in England," in "Characteristiken," by Erich Schmidt. Berlin, 1886.  
Brunetiere, Ferdinand. "Etudes Critiques." Troisieme Serie. Tome III. Paris, 1890.  
Bryant, Jacob. "Observations upon the Poems of Thomas Rowley." London, 1781. 2 vols.  
Brydges, Samuel Egerton. Poems. 4th ed. London, 1807.  
Buerger, Gottfried August. "Saemmtliche Werke." Gottingen, 1844. 4 vols.  
Byron, Geo. Gordon Noel. Works. London, 1832-33. 15 vols.

Cambridge, Richard Owen. Works. London, 1803.  
Cameron, Ewen. "The Fingal of Ossian, rendered into Heroic Verse," Warrington, 1776.  
Campbell, J. F. "Leabhar na Feinne." London, 1872.  
Campbell, J. F. "Popular Tales of the West Highlands." Edinburgh, 1862. 4 vols.



- Canning, George, Ellis, and Frere. "The Poetry of the Anti-Jacobin."  
London, 1890. (Carisbrooke Library, Vol. VI.)
- Carlyle, Thomas. Works. London, 1869-72. 31 vols.
- Chateaubriand, F. A. R. de. "The Beauties of Christianity." Translation  
of F. Shoberl. Philadelphia, 1815.
- Chatterton, Thomas. Poetical Works. Skeat's ed. London, 1871. 2 vols.
- Chatterton, Thomas. "The Rowley Poems." Tyrwhitt's ed. London, 1777.
- Chatterton, Thomas. "The Rowley Poems." Mille's ed. London, 1782.
- Chatterton, Thomas. "A Story of the Year 1770." By David Masson.  
London, 1874.

## Page 238

- Chatterton, Thomas. Article in "Dictionary of National Biography."  
Chatterton, Thomas. "A Biographical Study." By D. Wilson. London, 1869.  
Chaucer, Geoffrey. "The Canterbury Tales." Tyrwhitt's ed. Oxford, 1798. 2 vols. 2d. ed.  
Child, F. J. "The English and Scottish Popular Ballads." Boston and New York, 1882-98. 5 vols.  
Coleridge, S. T. Works. New York, 1884. 7 vols.  
Collins, William. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1854.  
Colvin, Sidney. "Preface to Selections from Landor." London, 1882.  
Croft, Sir Herbert. "Love and Madness." London, 1786. New ed.  
Cumberland, Richard. Memoirs. Philadelphia, 1856.
- Dennis, John. "Essay on Shakspeare." London, 1712.  
Dodsley, Robert. "A Collection of Poems by Several Hands." London, 1766-68. 6 vols.  
Dodsley, Robert. "A Select Collection of Old Plays." Hazlitt's 4th ed. London, 1874-76. 15 vols.  
Dryden, John. Works. Saintsbury-Scott ed. Edinburgh, 1882-93. 18 vols.  
Dyer, John. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1858.
- Eastlake, Sir Charles L. "A History of the Gothic Revival." London, 1872.  
Edwards, Thomas. Sonnets in "Canons of Criticism." London, 1765.  
Ellis, George. "Specimens of Early English Metrical Romances." London, 1811. 2d ed. 3 vols.  
Ellis, George. "Specimens of the Early English Poets." London, 1803. 3d ed. 3 vols.  
Evans, Evan. "Some Specimens of the Poetry of the Ancient Welsh Bards." London, 1764.
- Fergusson, James. "History of Architecture." London, 1865-76. 4 vols.
- Gates, Lewis E. "Introduction to Selections from Newman." New York, 1895.  
Gautier, Theophile. "Historie due Romantisme." Paris, 1884.  
Gildon, Charles. "The Complete Art of Poetry." London, 1718. 2 vols.  
Gilpin, William. "The Highlands of Scotland." London, 1808. 3d ed. 2 vols.  
Gilpin, William. "The Mountains and Lakes of Cumberland and



- Westmoreland." London, 1808. 3d ed. 2 vols.
- Gilpin, William. "Remarks on Forest Scenery." London, 1808. 3d ed. 2 vols.
- Goethe, J. W. von. "Sorrows of Werter." (Trans.) London, 1784. 2 vols.
- Goethe, J. W. von. "Goetz von Berlichingen" (trans.) in Walter Scott's Poetical Works. Vol. IX. Boston, 1871.
- Goldsmith, Oliver. Miscellaneous Works. Globe ed. London, 1869.
- Goldsmith, Oliver. Poetical Works. Dobson-Mitford ed. London, 1869.
- Gosse, Edmund. "From Shakspeare to Pope." London, 1885.
- Gosse, Edmund. "History of Eighteenth Century Literature," London, 1889
- Graves, Richard. "Recollections of Shenstone." London, 1788.
- Gray, Thomas. Works. Gosse's ed. New York, 1885. 4 vols.
- Grundtvig, Svend. "Danmark's Gamle Folkeviser." Kjoebenhavn, 1853-90. 5 vols.

## Page 239

- Harvey, George. "Ossian's Fingal Rendered into English Verse." London, 1814.
- Hedge, F. H. "Classic and Romantic." *Atlantic Monthly*, Vol. LVII.
- Heine, Heinrich. "The Romanic School." (Trans.) New York, 1882.
- Herder, J. G. von. "Stimmen der Voelker," in Vol. II. Werke. Stuttgart, 1894. ("Deutsche National Litteratur").
- Hettner, Hermann J. T. "Litteraturgeschichte." Theil III. Braunschweig, 1872.
- Hickes, George. "Thesaurus Linguarum Vett. Septentrionalium." Oxford, 1703-05. 3 vols. Folio.
- Highland Society. "Report on the Poems of Ossian." Edinburgh, 1805.
- Hole, R. "Fingal Rendered into Verse." London, 1786.
- Howitt, William. "Homes of the Poets." New York, 1846. 2 vols.
- Hugo, Victor Marie. "Preface to Cromwell" in Vol. I., "Oeuvres Completes." Paris, 1863.
- Hurd, Richard. Works. London, 1811. 8 vols.
- Johnson, Samuel. "Lives of the Poets." Hale's ed. London, 1890. 3 vols.
- Johnson, Samuel. "Lives of Milton, Dryden, Swift, Addison, Pope, and Gray." Arnold's ed. New York, 1878.
- Johnson, Samuel. "Preface to Shakspeare," in vol. II., Works. Murphy's ed. London, 1816.
- Kavanagh, Julia. "English Women of Letters." London, 1863. 2 vols.
- Keats, John. "Life and Letters." By R. Monckton Milnes. New York, 1848.
- Keats, John. "Poetical Works." Rossetti's ed. London. 1876.
- Knight, Charles. "Pictorial Shakspeare." London, 1867. 2d ed. 8 vols.
- Knox, V. "Essays." London, 1803. 15th ed. 3 vols.
- Kotzebue, A. F. F. von. "The Stranger," in "Sargent's Modern Standard Drama." New York, 1847. 2 vols.
- Laing, Malcolm. "Dissertation on Ossian's Poems." Appendix to "History of Scotland." London, 1804. 2d ed. 4 vols.
- Langbaine, Gerard. "An Account of the English Dramatic Poets." Oxford, 1691.
- Lee, Harriet. "Canterbury Tales." New York, 1857. 2 vols.
- Leland, Thomas. "Longsword, Earl of Salisbury." London, 1762. 2 vols.
- Lennox, Charlotte. "Shakspeare Illustrated." London, 1753-54. 3 vols.
- Lessing, G. E. "Saemmtliche Schriften." Berlin, 1838-44. 13 vols.
- Lewis, M. G. Poems. London, 1812.
- Lewis, M. G. "Tales of Terror and Wonder." Morley's Universal Library.



London, 1887.

Lewis, M. G. "The Monk." London, 1796. 3 vols.

Lockhart, J. G. "Life of Scott." Boston and Philadelphia, 1837-38.  
7 vols.

Lowell, J. R. "My Study Windows." Boston, 1871.

Lowth, Robert. "De Sacra Poesi Hebraeorum." Oxford, 1775. 3d ed.

Lyttelton, George. Works. London, 1776. 3d ed. 3 vols.

## Page 240

McClintock, W. D. "The Romantic and Classic in English Literature."  
*Chautauquan*, Vol. XIV.

MacPherson, James. "Poems of Ossian." Clerk's ed. Edinburgh, 1870.  
2 vols.

Mallet, P. H. "Northern Antiquities." (Percey's trans.) London, 1770.  
2 vols.

Mason, William. Works. London, 1811. 4 vols.

Masson, David. "Chatterton." London, 1874.

Maturin, Chas. R. "Bertram," in "Sargent's Standard Drama." New York,  
1847.

Mendez, Moses. "A Collection of the Most Esteemed Pieces of Poetry."  
London, 1767.

Mickle, Wm. J. Poetical Works, in "Chalmer's Poets," Vol. XVII.  
London, 1810.

Miller, Hugh. "First Impressions of England." Boston, 1851.

Milton, John. "Poems upon Several Occasions." Warton's ed. London,  
1785.

Musset, L. C. A. de. "Lettres de Dupuis et Cotonet," in Vol. IX.,  
"Oeuvres Completes." Paris, 1881.

Neol, Roden. "Essays on Poetry and Poets." London, 1886.

Ossian in the Original Gaelic. Clerk's ed. (See MacPherson.)

Ossian in the Original Gaelic. Highland Society's ed. London, 1807.  
3 vols.

Ossian. Article in *Macmillan's Magazines*, Vol. XXIV.

Pater, Walter. "Romanticism." *Macmillan's Magazine*. Vol. XXXV.

Pearch, George. "A Collection of Poems by Several Hands." London, 1783.  
New ed. 4 vols.

Peck, Francis. "New Memoirs of the Life and Poetical Works of Milton."  
London, 1740.

Pellissier, Georges. "The Literary Movement in France." (Brinton's  
trans.) New York, 1897.

Percy, Thomas. "Reliques of Ancient English Poetry." Gilfillan's ed.  
Edinburgh, 1858. 3 vols.

Percy, Thos. S. "English Literature in the Eighteenth Century."  
New York, 1883.

Phelps, W. L. "English Romantic Movement." Boston, 1893.

Philips, Edward. "Theatrum Poetarum." London, 1675. 2 vols.

Phillips, John. Poems in "Johnson's Poets."

Phillimore, Robert. "Memoirs and Correspondence of Geo. Lord Lyttelton."  
London, 1845. 2 vols.

Pope, Alexander. Works. Courthope-Elwin ed. London, 1871-86. 10 vols.  
Prior, Matthew. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1858.

Radcliffe, Anne. Novels in Vol. X. of Ballantyne's "Novelists' Library."  
London, 1824.

Radcliffe, Anne. "Journey through Holland." London, 1795.

Radcliffe, Anne. Poetical Works—"St Alban's Abbey," etc. London, 1834.  
2 vols.

Reeve, Clara. "The Old English Baron." London, 1778.

Reeve, Clara. "The Progress of Romance." London, 1785. 2 vols.

Ritson, Joseph. "Ancient English Metrical Romances." London, 1802.  
3 vols.

Ritson, Joseph. "Ancient Songs." London, 1792.

Ritson, Joseph. "English Anthology."

## Page 241

London, 1792-94. 3 vols.

Ritson, Joseph. "Pieces of Ancient Popular Poetry." London, 1833.  
2d ed.

Ritson, Joseph. "Robin Hood." London, 1832. 2d ed. 2 vols.

Robberds, J. W. "Memoir of Wm. Taylor of Norwich." London, 1843.  
2 vols.

Ruskin, John. "Modern Painters." New York, 1857-60. 5 vols.

Rymer, Thomas. "The Tragedies of the Last Age Considered and Examined."  
London, 1692. 2d ed.

Sainte Palaye, J. B. de la Curne de. "Memoires sur 'Ancienne  
Chevalerie." Paris, 1759. 3 vols.

Scherer, Wilhelm. "History of German Literature." (Conybeare's trans.)  
New York, 1886. 2 vols.

Schiller, Friedrich. "Die Raeuber," in Vol. II., Saemmtliche Werke.  
Stuttgart and Taebingen, 1838.

Schiller, Friedrich. "Uber naive und sentimentale Dichtung," Vol. XII.,  
Saemmtliche Werke.

Schlegel, A. W. von. "Lectures on Dramatic Art and Literature."  
(Black's trans.) London, 1846.

Scott, Walter. "Critical and Miscellaneous Essays." Philadelphia, 1841.  
3 vols.

Scott, Walter. Poetical Works. Dennis' ed. London, 1892. 5 vols.

Shairp, J. C. "Aspects of Poetry." Boston, 1882.

Shelley, Mary. "Frankenstein." Philadelphia, 1833.

Sheridan, R. B. "Pizarro." Works. London, 1873.

Shenstone, William. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1854.

Stendhal, de (Marie Henri Beyle). "Racine et Shakespere." Paris, 1854.  
New ed.

Stephen, Leslie. "History of English Thought in the Eighteenth Century."  
New York, 1876. 2 vols.

Stephen, Leslie. "Hours in a Library." 2d Series. London, 1876.

Stillingfleet, Benjamin. "Literary Life and Select Works." London,  
1811. 2 vols.

Sullivan, Wm. R. Article on Celtic Literature in "Encyclopedia  
Britannica."

Taylor, William. "Historical Survey of German Poetry." London, 1830.  
3 vols.

Thompson, William. "Poems on Several Occasions." Oxford, 1757.

Thomson, James. Poetical Works. Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1853.



Vigny, Alfred de. "Stello," Vol. IV. Oeuvres. Paris, 1836. 3d ed.

Walpole, Horace. "The Castle of Otranto." Philadelphia, 1840.

Walpole, Horace. Works. London, 1798. 5 vols.

Ward, T. H. "The English Poets." London, 1880-81. 4 vols.

Warton, Joseph. "Essay on Pope." London, 1806. 5th ed. 2 vols.

Warton, Joseph. Poems, in "Chalmers' Poets," Vol. XVIII. 1810

Warton, Thomas, Sr. "Poems on Several Occasions." London, 1748.

Warton, Thomas, Jr. "History of English Poetry." Ed. Hazlitt.

London, 1871. 4 vols.

Warton, Thomas. "Observations on the Faery Queene." London, 1870.

2 vols. New ed.

Weber, H. W. "English Metrical Romances." Edinburgh, 1810. 3 vols.

## Page 242

West, Gilbert. Poetical Works in "Chalmers' Poets," Vol. XIII. 1810.  
Wilkie, William. Poetical Works in "Chalmers' Poets," Vol. XVI., 1810.  
Winstanley, William. "Lives of the English Poets." London, 1687.  
Wodrow, John. "Carthon, etc. Attempted in English Verse." Edinburgh, 1769.  
Wodrow, John. "Fingal Translated into English Heroic Rhyme." Edinburgh, 1771. 2 vols.  
Wood, Robert. "Essay on Homer." Dublin, 1776.  
Wordsworth, William. Poetical Words. Centenary ed. London, 1870. 6 vols.

Young, Edward. "The Complaint; or, Night Thoughts." Gilfillan's ed. Edinburgh, 1853.  
Young, Edward. Works in Prose. London, 1765.

### INDEX.

Abhandlung von dem Wunderbaren, 374  
Abuse of Traveling, The, 84, 89  
Account of the English Dramatic Poets, An, 69  
Account of the Greatest English Poets, An, 80  
Account of Wm. Canynge's Feast, 344, 355  
Adams, Jean, 95  
Addison, Joseph, 35, 37, 40-42, 45, 46, 49-52, 55-57, 80, 120, 126, 139, 141, 148, 152, 178, 179, 181, 210, 218, 219, 223, 226-28, 283-85, 377, 382, 388, 408  
Adelmorn, 409  
Adonais, 98, 370  
Adventurer, The, 207  
Adventures of a Star, 353  
Aella, 344, 346, 349, 363-65, 367  
Aeneid, The, 56, 328  
Aesop's Fables, 84  
Agamemnon, 75  
Agnes Bernauerin, 399  
Aiken, Lucy, 391, 397  
Akenside, Mark, 52, 75, 84, 85, 91, 102, 106, 124, 136, 139-42, 145, 157, 159, 168, 215, 228, 235, 403, 422, 423  
Albion's Triumph, 85  
Alfieri, Vittorio, 3



Alley, The, 80  
Allibone's Dictionary of Authors, 392, 393  
Alonzo the Brave, 415  
Alps, The, 182  
Ambrosio, see the Monk.  
Amherst, Alicia, 119, 123  
Amis et Amile, 64  
Ancient Armor, 189  
Ancient Lays, 326  
Ancient Mariner, The, 18, 262, 269, 299, 369, 394, 419  
Ancient Songs, 293  
Anecdotes of Painting, 230, 351  
Annus Mirabilis, 137  
Another Original Canto, 84  
Anti-Jacobin, The, 402, 403  
Antiquities of Scotland, 187  
Apology for Smectymnuus, 146  
Apuleius, Lucius, 16, 220  
Arcadia, The Countess of Pembroke's, 239  
Archimage, 84  
Architectura Gothica, 181  
Ardinghello, 400  
Argenis, 241, 242  
Argument against Abolishing Christianity, 42  
Ariosto, Lodovico, 25, 100, 219, 222, 225, 226  
Aristotle, 19, 38, 51, 55, 274, 276  
Arme Heinrich, Der, 64  
Armstrong, Jno., 106, 124  
Arnold's Chronicle, 274  
Arnold, Matthew, 71, 173, 315, 389, 408  
Ars Poetica, 47  
Art of Preserving Health, 124  
Art Poetique, L', 47  
Aspects of Poetry, 315  
Atalanta in Calydon, 35  
Athalie, 217  
Atlantic Monthly, The, 11  
Aucassin et Nicolette, 64, 189, 221  
Austen, Jane, 263  
Aytoun, Wm. E., 269



## Page 243

Babes in the Wood, see Children in the Wood.  
Babo, Joseph M., 398  
Bacon, Francis, 8, 120  
Bagehot, Walter, 17  
Bailey's Dictionary, 360  
Ballads that Illustrate Shakspeare, 284  
Ballantyne's Novelist's Library, 249  
Balzac, Honore de, 249  
Banks of Yarrow, The, 274  
Bannatyne, Geo., 284  
Banville, Theodore F. de, 373  
Baour-Lormian, P. M. F. L., 337  
Barbault, Anna L., 391  
Barclay, Jno., 241  
Bard, The, 173, 193, 194, 196, 424  
Barrett, Wm., 348, 354, 364, 367  
Bartholin, Thos., 191, 196  
Battle of Hastings, The, 345, 346, 348, 364, 365  
Battle of Otterburn, The, 278  
Bayly, T. H., 254  
Beattie, Jas., 85, 97, 166, 186, 242, 245-47, 251, 302-05, 422  
Beaumont and Fletcher, 284  
Beauties of Shakspeare, The, 377  
Beckford, Wm., 403, 405  
Bedingfield, Thos., 85, 97, 215  
Bell, Edward, 340, 342  
Bell of Arragon, The, 172  
Belle Dame sans Merci, La, 299  
Bell's Fugitive Poetry, 159, 161  
Bentham, Jas, 180  
Beowulf, 25, 318  
Beresford, Jas., 391  
Berkeley, Geo., 31  
Bernart de Ventadour, 64  
Bertram, 420  
Both Gelert, 391  
Biographia Literaria, 59, 420  
Black-eyed Susan, 57, 273  
Blacklock, Thos., 85, 333  
Blair, Hugh, 309, 313, 320, 335  
Blair, Robert, 163, 164, 251  
Blake, Wm., 28, 164, 365, 366, 372  
Blenheim, 104



Boccaccio, Giovanni, 28, 29, 49  
Bodmer, J. J., 374, 375  
Boiardo, M. M., 25, 100  
Boileau-Despreaux, N., 35, 38, 47, 49, 65, 212, 214, 226, 227  
Bolingbroke, Henry St. John, Viscount, 41, 135, 382  
Bonny Earl of Murray, The, 300  
Bonny George Campbell, 275  
Borck, C. von, 377  
Bossuet, J. B., 38  
Boswell, Jas., 94, 105, 139, 150, 174, 288, 312, 320, 355  
Botanic Garden, The, 99  
Bouhours, Dominique, 49, 227  
Bowles, W. L., 420  
Boy and the Mantle, The, 300  
Boyesen, H. H., 23  
Braes of Yarrow, The, 61, 297  
Brandl, Alois, 391-93  
Bravo of Venice, The, 409  
Brentano, Clemens, 384, 402  
Bristowe Tragedy, The, 346, 349, 366, 370  
Brockes, B. H., 106  
Brown, "Capability," 124, 130  
Brown, Chas. B., 403  
Brown Robyn's Confession, 278  
Browne, Sir Thos., 40, 66  
Browne, Wm., 79  
Browning, Robert, 43  
Brunetiere, Ferdinand, 2, 5, 11, 14  
Bryant, Jacob, 356  
Brydges, Saml. Egerton, 336  
Buchanan, Robt., 272  
Buerger, G. A., 279, 289, 301, 375, 376, 382, 389-97, 416, 417  
Burney, Francis, 252  
Burning Babe, The, 41  
Burns, Robt., 57, 95, 112, 187, 334, 360, 424  
Burton, J. H., 178  
Burton, Robt., 162  
Byron, Geo. Gordon, Lord, 5, 16, 24, 36, 49, 78, 98, 107, 135, 181, 222, 229, 238, 250, 255, 262, 328-30, 333, 353, 362, 370, 402, 405, 406, 420, 421



## Page 244

Calderon de la Barca, Pedro, 25  
Caleb Williams, 403  
Calverley. C. S., 270  
Cambridge, R. O., 84, 89, 92, 98, 151, 228, 229  
Cameron, Ewen, 335  
Cameron, Julia M., 393  
Campbell, Thos., 142, 143  
Campbell, J. F., 314, 322, 323, 325, 327  
Canning, Geo., 402, 403  
Canterbury Tales (Chaucer), 27, 63, 358, 359  
Canterbury Tales (Lee), 421  
Caractacus, 190, 194, 195, 306, 424  
Caradoc, 195  
Carew, Thos., 66  
Carey, Henry, 57  
Caric-thura, 334  
Carle of Carlisle, The, 293  
Carlyle, Thos., 317, 330, 334, 397-400  
Carmen Seculare, 35  
Carter, Jno., 189  
Carthon, 311, 333, 335  
Castle of Indolence, the, 75, 85, 92-94, 97, 104, 114, 165, 219, 424  
Castle of Otranto, The, 188, 211, 215, 223, 129, 231, 236-43, 247, 249, 253, 255, 340, 346, 362, 367, 401, 409, 411, 414, 415, 421, 424  
Castle Spectre, The, 401, 413-15  
Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne, The, 250, 258, 261  
Cath-Loda, 334  
Catalogue of Royal and Noble Authors, 230  
Cato, 51, 218, 388  
Celtic Literature (Sullivan), 315, 325  
Celtic Literature, on the Study of (Arnold), 315  
Cerdick, 329  
Cervantes Saavedra, Miguel de, 244  
Cesarotti, M., 321, 337  
Champion of Virtue, The, 241-43  
Chanson de Roland, The, 27, 64  
Chappell, Wm., 270  
Charakteristiken, 382, 391  
Chase, The (Scott), 391  
Chase, The (Somerville), 124  
Chateaubriand, F. A. de., 255, 332, 333



Chatterton (Jones and Herman), 373  
Chatterton (Masson), 362  
Chatterton (Vigny), 372, 373  
Chatterton, Thos., 152, 188, 211, 235, 245, 294, 317, 328,  
339-73, 384, 422, 423  
Chaucer, Geoffrey, 27, 28, 30, 63, 66, 69, 108, 154, 188, 199,  
212, 213, 244, 266, 272, 279, 280, 294, 301, 304, 322, 342,  
358-60, 363, 371, 382, 383, 433  
Chesterfield, Philip Dormer Stanhope, Earl of, 40, 50, 137  
Chevy Chase, 274, 283-86, 300, 346, 377  
Child, F. J., 267, 284  
Child Maurice, 292  
Child of Elle, The, 289, 290, 301  
Child Waters, 281, 295, 298, 301  
Childe Harold, 98, 250, 333, 334, 364  
Children in the Wood, The, 273, 283, 285, 288, 302  
Choice of Hercules, The, 85  
Chrestien de Troyes, 27  
Christabel, 363, 369, 394  
Christian Ballads, 165  
Christ's Kirk o' the Green, 66  
Churchill, Chas., 353  
Cibber, Colley, 74, 176  
Cid, The, 298  
City of Dreadful Night, The, 162  
Clarissa Harlowe, 352, 421  
Classic and Romantic, 11  
Classiques et Romantiques, 2  
Classische Walpurgisnacht, 385  
Claudina von Villa Bella, 417  
Clerk, Archibald, 313, 320, 321, 323, 324  
Clerk Colvin, 279, 417  
Clerkes Tale, The, 280, 281  
Coleridge, S. T., 59, 66, 73, 108, 110, 161, 188, 262, 265,  
269, 299, 328, 363, 366, 368, 369, 372, 376, 387, 388, 394,  
419-21, 424  
Colin's Mistakes, 84



## Page 245

Collins, Wm., 25, 75, 104, 110, 112, 114, 118, 129, 136, 142, 151, 155, 156, 158, 163, 165, 166, 168-72, 175, 184, 186, 193, 197, 215, 251, 279, 281, 384, 403, 422, 423

Collection of Old Ballads, A., 284

Colman, Geo., Jr., 176, 254, 417

Colvin, Sidney, 16-18

Companion to the Oxford Guide Book, 202

Complaint of Ninathoma, The, 328

Complete Art of Poetry, The, 69, 72

Comus, 16, 144, 149, 150, 215

Conan, 195

Concubine, The, 85, 95

Conjectures on Original Composition, 387

Conquest of Granada, The, 44

Contemplation, 297

Cooper's Hill, 39

Coriolanus, 72, 74

Corneille, Pierre, 38, 65, 67

Corsair, The, 334

Cottle, Joseph, 350, 358, 368

Count of Narbonne, The, 240

Country Walk, The, 142

Cowley, Abraham, 37, 38, 53, 66, 79, 120, 228

Cowper, Wm., 53, 57, 103, 108, 110, 112, 115, 424

Coxe, A. C., 165

Crabbe, Geo., 103

Crashaw, Richard, 41

Croft. Herbert, 367, 368

Croma, 336

Cromwell, 19, 35

Croxall, Saml., 84

Crusade, The, 199

Cumberland, Richard, 74, 177

Cumnor Hall, 94

Cyder, 104, 124

Dacier, Anne L., 49

Dalrymple, Sir David, 291, 306, 336

Danmarks Gamie Folkeviser, 266

Dante Alighieri, 22, 28, 29, 64, 235





Darke Ladye, The, 369  
Darthula, 314, 335  
Darwin, Erasmus, 99  
Davenant, Wm., 67, 74, 137, 226  
David Balfour, 258  
Davies, John, 137  
De Anglorum Gentis Origine, 192  
De Causis Contemnendae Mortis, 191  
De Imitatione Christi, 64  
Dean of Lismore's Book, The, 314  
Death of Calmar and Orla, The, 328  
Death of Cuthullen, The, 335  
Death of Hoel, The, 195  
Death of Mr. Pope, 85  
Defence of Poesy, 72, 274  
Defence of the Epilogue to the Conquest of Granada, 71  
De Foe, Daniel, 40  
Demonology and Witchcraft, 42, 189  
Demosthenes, 3  
Deirdre, 314  
Denham, Sir Jno., 39  
Denis, Michael, 337, 377  
Dennis, Jno., 49, 62, 69, 72, 74, 285  
Descent of Odin, The, 191, 192, 220  
Deschanel, Emile, 2  
Description of the Leasowes, 133, 139  
Descriptive Poem, A, 185  
Deserted Farm-house, The, 177  
Deserted Village, The, 91, 207  
Deutscher Art und Kunst, Einige Fliegende Blaetter, von, 380, 381  
Dictionary of French Antiquities, 221  
Dictionary of National Biography, 359  
Dies Irae, 64  
Dirge in Cymbeline, The, 75, 163  
Dissertatio de Bardis, 195  
Dissertation on Fable and Romance, 242, 245-47  
Dissertation on the Authenticity of Ossian, 320  
Divine Comedy, The, 27  
Divine Emblems, 164  
Dobson, Austin, 272  
Dobson, Susannah, 221  
Dodd, Wm., 377  
Doddington, Geo. Bubb, 111  
Dodsley, Jas., 349  
Dodsley, Robert, 84, 85, 132, 133, 135, 139, 209  
Dodsley's Miscellany, 137, 159, 165

Don Juan, 5, 49

Donne, Jno., 28, 37, 66



## Page 246

Dorset, Chas. Sackville, Earl of, 283  
Douglas, 170, 276, 308  
Dream, A, 85  
Dream of Gerontius, The, 41  
Drummer, The, 408  
Dryden, Jno., 27, 41, 44, 49, 50-53, 62, 63, 66-68, 70, 71, 74,  
79, 80, 104, 137, 148, 149, 177, 192, 209, 210, 212, 213, 216,  
265, 283  
Dugdale, Wm., 198  
Dunciad, The, 34, 56  
Duerer, Albrecht, 162  
D'Urfey, Thos., 74  
Dyer, Jno., 75, 102, 103, 106, 119, 124, 142-45, 168, 215, 422

Early English Metrical Romances, 301  
Eastlake, Sir Chas., 54, 55, 199, 231-33  
Ecclesiastical Sonnets, 145  
Edda, The, 64, 190, 196, 220, 313, 390  
Edinburgh Review, The, 350, 397  
Education, 85, 89, 90, 126  
Education of Achilles, The, 85, 97  
Edward, 274, 300  
Edwards, Thos., 53, 89, 161  
Effusions of Sensibility, 250  
Eighteenth Century Literature (Gosse), 84, 104, 106, 163,  
169, 362  
Elegant Extracts, 211  
Elegies (Shenstone's), 137, 138  
Elegy on the Death of Prince Frederick, 85  
Elegy to Thyrsa, 135  
Elegy Written in a Churchyard in South Wales, 176  
Elegy Written in a Country Churchyard, 103, 137, 157,  
163, 167, 173-77, 204  
Elinoure and Juga, 346, 352, 354  
Ellis, Geo., 188, 301, 402, 423  
Elstob, Elizabeth, 192  
Emerson, R. W., 66, 388  
Emilia Galotti, 380  
Endymion, 370  
English and Scottish Popular Ballads, The, 267



English Bards and Scotch Reviewers, 405  
English Garden, The, 123-27, 151  
English Literature in the Eighteenth Century (Perry), 7, 163, 307, 211, 337  
English Metamorphosis, 364, 365  
English Romantic Movement, The (Phelps), 84, 85, 197, 283, 297, 329  
English Women of Letters, 249, 262  
Enid, 281  
Enquiry into the Authenticity of the Rowley Poems, 359  
Enquiry into the Present State of Polite Learning, 208  
Enthusiast, The, 151-53, 160  
Epigoniad, the, 89  
Epistle of Eloisa to Abelard, 56, 157, 163, 218, 220  
Epistle to Augustus, 66, 69, 72, 115  
Epistle to Mathew, 370  
Epistle to Sacheverel, 80  
Epistle to the Earl of Burlington, 120, 129  
Epitaphium Damonis, 146  
Epithalamium, 84  
Erl-King, The, 386, 416  
Erskine, Wm., 203, 404  
Essay of Dramatic Poesy, 68, 70  
Essay on Ancient and Modern Learning, 69  
Essay on Criticism, 47, 50, 388  
Essay on Gothic Architecture, 180  
Essay on Gray (Lowell), 209  
Essay on Homer, 387, 389  
Essay on Man, 34, 41, 113, 175  
Essay on Poetry, 47  
Essay on Pope (Lowell), 60, 169, 173  
Essay on Pope (Warton), 97, 118, 149, 160, 163, 185, 193, 206, 212-20, 224  
Essay on Satire, 47, 80  
Essay on Scott, 400  
Essay on Shakspeare, 69, 72  
Essay on the Ancient Minstrels, 245, 293, 302  
Essay on the Rowley Poems, 359  
Essay on Truth, 303  
Essays on German Literature, 23  
Essays on Men and Manners, 127

## Page 247

Essays on Poetry and Poets, 363  
Ethelgar, 328  
Etherege, Geo., 38  
Evans, Evan, 195  
Eve of St. Agnes, The, 98, 257, 363  
Eve of St. John, The, 417  
Eve of St. Mark, The, 177, 371  
Evelina, 243, 252  
Evelyn, Jno., 7  
Evergreen, The, 284, 286  
Excellente Ballade of Charitie, An, 366  
Excursion, The (Mallet), 134  
Excursion, The (Wordsworth), 304

Fables, (Aesop), 84  
Fables (Dryden), 63  
Faerie Queene, The, 16, 37, 66, 77-101, 154, 215, 225, 365  
Fair Annie, 281, 295  
Fair Circassian, The, 84  
Fair Eleanor, 367  
Fair Janet, 268  
Fair Margaret and Sweet William, 268, 279, 283, 286, 300  
Farewell Hymn to the Country, A, 85  
Fatal Revenge, The, 249, 420  
Fatal Sisters, The, 191  
Faust, 27, 141, 384, 385, 401  
Fergusson, Jas., 233  
Feudal Tyrants, 409  
Fichte, J. G., 387  
Fielding, Henry, 26, 40, 76, 383  
Filicaja, Vincenzo, 49  
Fingal, 309, 311, 313, 317, 322, 324, 335, 336, 338  
Fire King, The, 417  
First Impressions of England, 109, 133  
Fischer, Der, 386  
Fisher, The, 416  
Five English Poets, 372  
Five Pieces of Runic Poetry, 190  
Flaming Heart, The, 41  
Fleece, The, 124, 144, 145, 422



Fleshly School of Poets, The, 272  
Fletcher, Giles, 78  
Fletcher, Jno., 25, 51, 79, 117, 162, 210  
Fletcher, Phineas, 78  
Ford, Jno., 241  
Foreign Review, The, 398  
Forsaken Bride, The, 280  
Fouque, F. de la M., 4, 26, 384  
Fragments of Ancient Poetry, 306, 307, 309, 311, 323, 326, 328, 336  
Frankenstein, 401, 403, 406  
Frederick and Alice, 416  
Frederick, Prince of Wales, 84, 137  
Fredolfo, 420  
Freneau, Philip, 177  
Friar of Orders Grey, The, 298, 301, 424  
Froissart, Jean, 27, 64, 236  
From Shakspeare to Pope, 39, 60  
Fruehling, Der, 106  
Fuller, Thos., 28  
Furnivall, F. J., 292  
Fust von Stromberg, 399  
  
Gammer Gurton's Needle, 293  
Gandalin, 381  
Gang nach dem Eisenhammer, Der, 386  
"Garlands," The, 284  
Garrick, David, 162, 209, 287  
Gaston de Blondville, 250, 259-62  
Gates, L. E., 41, 44  
Gautier, Theophile, 372, 423  
Gay Goshawk, The, 279  
Gay, Jno., 35, 57, 273  
Gebir, 18, 245  
Gedicht eines Skalden, 190, 377  
Genie du Christianisme, Le, 332  
Gentle Shepherd, The, 79  
Georgics, The, 111  
German's Tale, The, 421  
Geron der Adeliche, 381  
Gerstenberg, H. W. von, 190, 377, 387  
Geschichte der Deutschen Literatur (Hettner) 300, 378, 387  
Geschichte der Kunst des Alterthums, 384  
Ghost-Seer, The, 419  
Gierusalemme Liberata, 214, 225  
Gilderoy, 283  
Gildon, Chas., 49, 62, 69, 72

Giles Jollop, 418  
Gil Maurice, 276  
Gilpin, Wm., 185  
Glanvil, Joseph, 390, 408  
Gleim, J. W. L., 375  
Glenfinlas, 417



## Page 248

Goddwyn, 344, 363-65  
Godred Crovan, 329  
Godwin, Wm., 403  
Goethe, Johann Wolfgang, 3, 4, 11, 31, 141, 252, 255, 275, 330, 334, 377-81, 384-87, 389, 397-99, 404, 409, 416, 417  
"Goettinger Hain," The, 378  
Gotz von Berlichingen, 334, 375, 380, 381, 385, 398-404, 418  
Golden Ass, The, 16  
Golden Treasury, The, 57, 277  
Golo und Genoveva, 399  
Goldsmith, Oliver, 76, 91, 112, 113, 162, 177, 186, 207-11, 287, 354  
Gondibert, 137  
Gorthmund, 329  
Gosse, Edmund, 39, 53, 60, 84, 103, 106, 163, 169, 192, 272, 362  
Gottfried of Strassburg, 3, 64  
Gottsched, J. C., 374, 383  
Gower, Jno., 266, 272  
Grainger, James, 124, 287  
Granville, Geo., 47  
Grave, The, 104, 163, 164, 175  
Grave of King Arthur, The, 199-201, 424  
Graves, Richard, 130-33, 137  
Gray, Thos., 25, 32, 52, 53, 75, 89, 103, 117-19, 123, 136, 137, 139, 145, 151, 155, 157-60, 163, 164, 166-69, 172-85, 190-206, 199, 201, 204, 206, 209, 211, 215, 216, 218, 220, 221, 229, 235, 238, 251, 276, 286, 302, 306-08, 336, 352, 356, 362, 377, 384, 387, 422, 423  
Green, Matthew, 136  
Grene Knight, The, 293  
Grim White Woman, The, 407  
Grongar Hill, 104, 119, 142, 143, 145  
Grose, Francis, 187  
Grounds of Criticism in Tragedy, The, 71  
Grundtvig, Svend, 266  
Guardian, The, 120, 126, 413  
Guest, Lady Charlotte, 189  
Gulliver's Travels, 26  
Gummere, F. B., 276





Gwin, King of Norway, 367

Hagley, 108, 109, 122, 127, 131, 133, 136, 183, 303, 422

Hales, J. W., 289, 290

Hallam, Henry, 189

Hamburgische Dramaturgie, 379, 387

Hamilton, Wm., 61, 279

Hamlet, 387, 401

Hammond, Jas., 137

Hardyknut, 286

Harper's Daughters, The, 409

Hartmann von Aue, 64, 381

Harvey, Geo., 336

Hawthorne, Nathaniel, 403

Haystack in the Flood, The, 299, 363

Hayward, A., 234

Hazlitt, Wm., 161, 254

Hazlitt, W. C., 205

Hearne, Thos., 201

Hedge, F. H., 11, 14, 16

Heilas, The, 329

Heilige Vehm, Der, 418

Heine, Heinrich, 2, 24, 330, 409, 423

Heir of Lynne, The, 290

Helen of Kirkconnell, 274

Heliodorus, 244

Hellenics, 3

Henriade, The, 50, 214, 216, 217

Henry and Emma, 295, 296

Herbert, Geo., 28, 66, 228

Herd, David, 299

Herder, J. G. von, 274, 300, 301, 337, 376, 378, 380, 384,  
387, 389, 416

Hermann und Dorothea, 4, 385

Hermit of Warkworth, The, 186, 289, 294, 298

Hermit, The (Beattie), 186, 305

Hermit, The (Goldsmith), 113, 186

Hermit, The (Parnell), 186

Herrick, Robert, 66

Hervarer Saga, The, 192

Hervey, Jas., 421

Hettner, H. J. T., 378, 379, 381, 383, 387

Hicks, Geo., 192, 193

Hill, Aaron, 217

Hind and the Panther, The, 41

Histoire de Dannemarc, 190, 221, 377  
Histoire des Troubadours, 221, 222

## Page 249

Histoire du Romantisme, 372  
Historical Anecdotes of Heraldry, and Chivalry, 221  
Historic Doubts, 230  
Historic Survey of German Poetry, 397, 398, 418  
Historic of Peyncteynge in England, 351  
History of Architecture, 233  
History of Bristol, 348, 364  
History of Charoba, Queen of Egypt, 245  
History of England (Hume), 100  
History of English Literature (Taine), 316  
History of English Poetry (Warton), 36, 205, 206, 211, 245, 260, 359, 422, 423  
History of English Thought in the Eighteenth Century, 32, 41  
History of Gardening, 119, 123  
History of German Literature (Scherer), 374, 380, 382, 385, 394  
History of Opinion on the Writings of Shakspeare, 74  
History of Santon Barsisa, 413  
History of the Gothic Revival, 54, 55, 231  
Hobbes, Thos., 226  
Hoelty, L. H. C., 375  
Hole, R., 336  
Home, Jno., 132, 170, 276, 308, 309  
Homer, 3, 25, 35, 37, 50, 55, 100, 110, 215, 222-24, 271, 284, 285, 310, 313, 318, 330, 335, 376, 387-89  
Homes of the Poets, 133, 364  
Horace, 38, 47, 55, 72, 156, 223, 285, 411  
Houghton, J. Monckton Milnes, Lord, 370  
Hours in a Library, 235  
Hours of Idleness, 329  
House of Aspen, The, 418  
House of Superstition, The, 85  
"How Sleep the Brave," 168  
Howitt, Wm., 133, 134, 364  
Hugo, Victor Marie, 3, 19, 35, 36, 77, 115, 209  
Hume, Robert, 100, 303, 308  
Hunting of the Cheviot, The, 274, 278.295  
Huon of Bordeaux, 382  
Hurd, Richard, 221-26, 245, 246, 375, 387  
Hussar of Magdeburg, The, 393  
Hymn (Thomson), 106



Hymn to Adversity, 167, 173  
Hymn to Divine Love, 85  
Hymn to May, 85  
Hymn to the Supreme Being, 85  
Hypenon, 35

Idler, The, 207  
Idyls of the King, The, 146  
Il Bellicoso, 153  
Il Pacifico, 153, 154  
Il Penseroso, 104, 115, 142, 147, 149, 150, 154, 162, 170,  
175, 334  
Iliad, The, 16, 36, 56, 58, 214, 269, 313, 338, 388, 389  
Imaginary Conversations, 18, 43  
Immortality, 85  
Indian Burying Ground, The, 177  
Indian Emperor, The, 44  
Ingelow, Jean, 270  
Inscription for a Grotto, 136  
Institution of the Order of the Garter, 159, 193, 194  
Introduction to the Lusiad, 85  
Iphigenie auf Tauris, 3, 385, 397  
Ireland, Wm. H., 77, 294  
Irene, 51  
Isis, 176  
Italian, The, 250, 252, 263  
Italienische Reise, 385  
Ivanhoe, 4, 23, 188, 237, 262, 404

Jamieson, Robert, 292  
Jane Shore, 286  
January and May, 63  
Jemmy Dawson, 273  
Jephson, Robert, 240  
Jew's Daughter, The, 300  
Jock o' Hazeldean, 269, 277, 363  
Johnnie Armstrong, 274, 278, 283  
Johnnie Cock, 279, 280  
Johnson, Saml., 37, 40, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56, 59, 66, 68, 70, 71,  
89, 90, 94, 97, 99, 104, 105, 113, 131, 132, 136-39, 144, 145,  
150, 151, 172-75, 177, 179,



## Page 250

186, 196-98, 207, 224, 243, 274,  
285, 287-89, 295, 302, 303, 312, 313, 320, 328, 354, 355  
Joinville, Jean Sire de, 27, 64  
Jones, Inigo, 121, 230  
Jonson, Ben, 25, 50, 71, 79, 97, 210, 285  
Jordan, The, 85  
Journal in the Lakes, 183, 184  
Journey through Holland, 257  
Joyce, R. D., 314  
Julius Caesar, 377  
Junius, Letters of, 353

Kabale mid Liebe, 409  
Kalewala, The, 313  
Kampf mit dem Drachen, Der, 386  
Kant, Immanuel, 31, 387  
Katharine Janfarie, 277  
Kavanagh, Julia, 249, 262  
Keate, Geo., 182  
Keats. Jno., 18, 35, 94, 107, 169, 177, 257, 263, 265, 353, 362,  
363, 370-72, 434  
Keepsake, The, 418  
Kemp Owen, 279  
Kenilworth, 94, 260  
Kenrick, 329  
Kent, Wm., 129, 135, 152  
Kersey's Dictionary, 360, 361  
King Arthur's Death, 278  
King Estmere, 279, 300  
King John and the Abbot, 301  
Kinmont Willie, 278  
Kittridge, G. L., 191, 192  
Kleist, E. C. von, 106  
Klinger, F. M., 379  
Klopstock, P. G., 338, 377  
Knight, Chas., 74  
Knight of the Burning Pestle, The, 284  
Knox, V., 211, 212, 228  
Knythinga Saga, The, 196  
Kotzebue, A. F. F. von, 400, 409, 421  
Kriegslied, 377  
Kruitner, 421, 423



La Bruyere, Jean de, 138  
La Calprenede, G. de C. Chevalier de, 6  
Lachin Y Gair, 329  
Lady Anne Bothwell's Lament, 283  
Lady of the Lake, The, 96, 299, 399  
La Fontaine, Jean de, 38  
Laing, Malcolm, 318, 320, 329  
L'Allegro, 104, 129, 142, 144, 147, 149, 150, 154, 158, 170  
Lamartine, A. M. L. de, 176  
Lamb, Chas., 28, 161, 199  
Land of Liberty, 85  
Land of the Muses, The, 85  
Landor, W. S., 3, 18, 34, 42, 136, 245, 293  
Lang, Andrew, 272  
Langbaine, Gerard, 49, 62, 69, 71  
Langley, Batty, 54, 121, 233  
Lansdowne, Geo. Granville, Earl of, 47, 74  
Laocoon, 384, 387  
Lass of Fair Wone, The, 397  
Lay of the Last Minstrel, The, 165, 191, 336, 404  
Lays of Ancient Rome, 269, 298  
Lays of the Scottish Cavaliers, 269  
Leabhar na Feinne, 314, 323  
Lear, 217  
Leasowes, The, 127, 130-37, 139, 152, 183, 213, 422  
Le Bossu, Rene, 49  
Lectures on Translating Homer, 389  
Legend of Sir Guy, 278  
Legenda Aurea, 3  
Lee, Harriet and Sophia, 421  
Le Lac, 176  
Leiand, Thos., 244, 247  
Leland's Collectanea, 260  
Lenora, 391-97, 415, 417  
Lenox, Charlotte, 70  
Lenz, J. M. R., 379, 387  
Leonidas, 337  
Lessing, G. E., 56, 300, 375, 376, 379, 380, 384, 387, 397  
Letourneur, Pierre, 337  
Letter from Italy, 57, 218  
Letter to Master Canynge, 344  
Letters on Chivalry and Romance, 221-26, 245  
Letters to Shenstone, Lady Luxborough's, 135, 229  
Lettres de Dupuis et Cottonet, 18-22  
Lewis, M. G., 249, 252, 262, 376,  
394, 396, 400, 401, 404-18, 420

## Page 251

Leyden, Jno., 417  
Library of Romance, 381  
Life of Lyttelton (Phillimore), 74, 108  
Lines on Observing a Blossom, 368  
Lines Written at Tintern Abbey, 140  
Literary Movement in France, The, 35, 44, 61  
Literatura Runica, 191  
Little Musgrave and Lady Barnard, 283  
Lives of the English Poets (Winstanley), 69  
Lives of the Novelists (Scott), 262  
Lives of the Poets (Johnson), 51, 68, 90, 97, 105, 114, 131, 139, 150, 172, 196, 286  
Lloyd, Robert, 85, 91, 98, 151, 176  
Lockhart, J. G., 298, 391, 398, 402, 403, 406  
Longfellow, H. W., 198, 199, 269  
Longinus, 38  
Longsword, Earl of Salisbury, 244, 247, 248  
Lord Lovel, 268  
Lord Randall, 275  
Lord Thomas and Fair Annet, 268  
Lotus Eaters, The, 18, 92  
Love and Madness, 368  
Love's Labour's Lost, 379  
Lowell, J. R., 27, 59, 114, 139, 144, 169, 173, 206, 209, 403  
Lowth, Robert, 85, 387  
Luerlei, Die, 402  
Lukens, Chas., 393  
Lusiad, The, 85, 94  
Lycidas, 37, 115, 145, 149, 150, 154, 192  
Lydgate, Jno., 206, 266, 344, 359  
Lyrical Ballads, 58, 109, 112, 160, 183, 218, 288, 299, 316, 422  
Lytel Geste of Robyn Hode, The, 274  
Lyttelton, Geo. Lord, 90, 91, 95, 108, 111, 121, 127, 131, 132, 135-37, 303  
  
Mabinogion, The, 189  
Macaulay, T. B., 69, 238, 269, 272, 298  
Macbeth, 223  
McClintock, W. D., 102  
Mackenzie, Henry, 252, 390



Mackenzie. Jno., 321  
McLauchlan, Thos., 314  
Macmillan's Magazine, 326  
McNeil, Archibald, 326  
MacPherson, Jas., 24, 195, 294, 302, 306-38, 377, 423  
Madden, Sir Frederick, 292  
Malherbe, Francois de, 38  
Mallet, David, 75, 105, 106, 124, 235, 283, 286  
Mallet, P. H., 190, 191, 196, 221, 374, 377  
Malone, Edmond, 32, 356, 362  
Malory, Sir Thos., 27  
Manfred, 334  
Man of Feeling, The, 352, 390  
Mansus, 146  
Manuel, 420  
Map, Walter, 27  
Marbie Faun, The, 23  
Mariner's Wife, The, 95  
Marlowe, Christopher, 66  
Marmion, 203, 234, 258, 336, 399, 404, 411  
Marriage of Frederick, 84  
Marriage of Gawaine, The, 278  
Mary Hamilton, 280  
Mason, Wm., 85, 91, 123-27, 129, 151, 153-55, 160, 165, 167,  
176, 180, 183, 190, 194-96, 211, 213, 215, 221, 251, 276, 306,  
307, 337, 352, 422, 423  
Masson, David, 148, 362  
Mather, Cotton, 408  
Mathias, Thos. J., 393  
Maturin, Chas. Robert, 249, 420  
Meditations (Harvey), 421  
Melmoth the Wanderer, 249, 420  
Memoires sur l'ancienne Chevalerie, 221, 222  
Memoirs of a Sad Dog, 353  
Mendez, Moses, 85, 91, 159  
Menschenhass und Reue, 400  
  
Merchant of Venice, The, 372  
Meyrick, Sir Saml. R., 189  
Michael, 4  
Mickle, Wm. J., 85, 94-96  
Middle Ages, The (Hallam) 189  
Midsummer Night's Dream, A, 76, 235, 382  
Miller and the King's Daughter,



## Page 252

The, 283  
Miller, Johann M., 375, 400  
Miller, Hugh, 108, 109, 130, 133, 136  
Milles, Jeremiah, 356, 361  
Milnes, R. Monckton, 370  
Milton, Jno., 16, 34, 37, 40, 52, 53, 55, 56, 63, 66, 69, 78,  
79, 94, 104, 110, 111, 115, 129, 140, 142, 144, 146-62, 170,  
  
173, 193, 199, 212, 213, 215, 216, 218, 219, 222, 225, 244,  
265, 283, 297, 318, 371, 374, 391  
Miltonic Imitations in Dodsley, List of, 159-61  
Minister, The, 409  
Minnesingers, The, 375  
Minot, Lawrence, 293  
Minstrel, The, 85, 97, 345, 302-05, 422.  
Minstrelsy, Ancient and Modern, 270  
Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border, 262, 267, 377, 299, 404.  
Mirror, The, 85  
Miscellany Poems (Dryden), 192, 283  
Miss Kitty, 393  
Modern Painters, 26, 34  
Moeser, Justus, 375, 380  
Moliere, J. B. P., 38  
Monasticon, Anglicanum, 198  
Monk, The, 249, 262, 263, 401, 404, 407-13, 420, 424  
Monody on the Death of Chatterton, 368  
Monody Written near Stratford-upon-Avon, 201  
Monologue, A, 176  
Montagu, Elizabeth R., 303, 337  
Monthly Magazine, The, 391, 392  
Monthly Review, The, 397  
Moral Essays, 220  
More, Hannah, 151  
Morning, 85  
Morris, Wm., 191, 203, 424  
Morte Artus, 64, 390  
Motherwell, Wm., 270, 299  
Mud King, The, 418  
Muetler, Friedrich, 399  
Mueller, Johannes, 376  
Mulgrave, Jno. Sheffield, Earl of, 47, 63  
Murdoch, Patrick, 105



Musaeus, 85, 153-55  
Musen Almanach, 393  
Musset, Alfred de, 18-22  
Myller, C. H., 375  
Mysterious Mother, The, 237, 238, 241, 251, 253, 401, 409  
Mysteries of Udolpho, The, 250, 252-55, 262, 263, 401, 424  
  
Nares' and Halliwell's Glossary, 189  
Nathan der Weise, 376, 397  
Nativity, The, 85  
Nature, 388  
Nature of Poetry, The, 162  
New Canto of Spenser's Fairy Queen, A, 84, 85  
Newman, F. W., 389  
Newman, J. H., 41  
New Memoirs of Milton, 149  
New Principles of Gardening, 121  
Nibelungen Lied, The, 25, 64, 313, 375, 376  
Nichols' Anecdotes, 192  
Night Piece on Death, 61, 177  
Night Thoughts, 104, 163, 175, 387, 421  
Noble Moringer, The, 418  
Nocturnal Reverie, 57, 61  
Noel, Roden. 363  
Nonne Prestes Tale, The, 28  
Northanger Abbey, 263, 264  
Northern Antiquities, 190  
Northumberland Betrayed by Douglas, 278  
Nosce Teipsum, 137  
Not-brown Maid, The, 274, 295, 296, 300, 302  
Notes and Illustrations to Ossian, 318  
Notes on the Authenticity of Ossian's Poems, 326  
Notre Dame de Paris, 3  
Nouvelle Heloise, La, 31  
Novalis, 384  
  
Oberon, 382  
Observations on English Meter, 206  
Observations on Modern Gardening (Whately), 123  
Observations on The Faery Queene, 99-101, 204, 213, 223  
Observations on The Scenery of Great Britain, 185  
Observations upon the Poems of Thomas



## Page 253

Rowley, 356

Odes, (Akenside's), 142

Odes, (Collins'), 142, 155, 156

Odes, (Gray's), 362

Odes, (J. Warton's), 142, 155, 156

Odes, For the New Year, 199. On a Distant Prospect of Eton College, 167, 173, 216. On His Majesty's Birthday, 199.

On the Approach of Summer, 158. On the Death of Thomson, 163,

165, 194. On the First of April, 158. On the Installation of

the Duke of Grafton, 159. On the Morning of Christ's Nativity,

147, 149, 150, 156. On the Passions, 166, 169, 175. On the

Spring, 167, 173. On the Superstitions of the Scottish

Highlands, 25, 114, 170-72. Sent to Mr. Upton, 201. To a

Grecian Urn, 18. To a Nightingale (Keats) 18. To an Aeolus

Harp, 165. To Curio, 85. To Evening (Collins), 156, 165, 168.

To Evening (Warton), 165. To Fear, 156. To Freedom, 363.

To Liberty, 194. To Oblivion, 176. To Obscurity, 176. To

Peace, 305. To Pyrrha, 156. To Simplicity, 156. To Solitude,

165. To the Hon. Charles Townsend, 84. To the Marquis of

Tavistock, 84. To the Nightingale (Warton), 165. To the

Queen, 84. Written at Vale Royal Abbey, 204

Odyssey, The, 16, 269

Oedipus Rex, 3, 19, 241

Of Heroic Virtue, 192, 197

Of Poetry, 192

Old English Ballads, 276

Old English Baron, The, 241-43, 249

Oldmixon, Jno., 62

Old Plays (Dodsley) 209

Olive, The, 84

On King Arthur's Round Table, 201

On Modern Gardening (Walpole), 123, 130

On Myself, 79

On Our Lady's Church, 344

On the Prevailing Taste for the Old English Poets, 211

On the River Duddon, 162

On Witches (Glanvil), 408

Opie, Amelia, 252

Orcades, 191

Origin of Romantic Fiction, The, 205

Original Canto of Spenser, An, 84



Ormond, 403  
Osorio, 420  
Ossian (MacPherson's), 25, 117, 178, 195, 235, 245, 256, 302, 306-38, 355, 356, 377, 378, 423, 424  
Ossian, Poems of, in the Original Gaelic (Clerk), 313  
Ossian, Poems of, in the Original Gaelic (In Gillie's Collection), 326  
Ossian, Poems of, in the Original Gaelic (Highland Society's Text), 321, 324, 326  
Ossian, Poems of, in the Original Gaelic (In Stewart's Collection), 326  
Othello, 372  
Otto von Wittelsbach, 398  
Otway, Thos., 74, 210  
Ovid, 25  
Oxford Sausage, The, 199  
  
Pain and Patience, 84  
Palamon and Arcite, 28, 215  
Palgrave. F. T., 57, 277  
Pamela, 252  
Paradise Lost, 50, 52, 55-57, 104, 110, 129, 145, 147, 148, 151, 217, 375  
Paradise Regained, 147, 148  
Parliament of Sprites, The, 344, 365  
Parnell, Thos., 58, 61, 177, 186, 210  
Parzival, 64  
Pastoral Ballad, A., 138  
Pastoral in the Manner of Spenser, A., 85  
Pastoral Ode, A., 133  
Pastorals (Philips'), 80

## Page 254

Pastorals (Pope's), 57, 112, 193, 215, 216  
Pater, Walter, 7, 8, 16  
Paul and Virginia, 22, 112  
Pearch's Collection, 159, 182, 185  
Peck, F., 149  
Pellissier, George, 35, 44, 61, 65  
Pepys, Saml., 283, 291  
Percy Folio MS., The, 288, 290-93  
Percy, Thos., 186, 196, 212, 235, 246, 272, 284, 306, 319, 326, 383, 387, 422. See also Reliques.  
Perigrine Pickle, 139  
Perle, The, 189  
Perry, T. S., 7, 163, 176, 211, 212, 251, 337  
Persiles and Sigismonda, 244  
Peter Bell, 299  
Petrarca, Francesco, 29  
Peveril of the Peak, 420  
Pfarrers Tochter, Des, 396  
Phelps, W. L., 84, 85, 191, 197, 283, 297, 329  
Philander, 85  
Philantheus, 85  
Philips, Ambrose, 80, 102, 284  
Philips, Edward, 67, 80  
Philips, Jno., 104, 124  
Phillimore's Life of Lyttelton, 74, 108  
Phoenix, The, 241  
Pieces of Ancient Popular Poetry, 293  
Pilgrim's Progress, The, 5  
Pindar, 35, 54, 89  
Pitt, Christopher, 85  
Pitt, Wm., 90, 132, 133  
Pizarro, 400  
Plato, 42, 47  
Pleasures of Hope, The, 142, 143  
Pleasures of Imagination, The, 124, 139-42, 157  
Pleasures of Melancholy, The, 142, 156-58, 160, 161, 194  
Pleasures of Memory, The, 142  
Poe, Edgar A., 202, 356, 390, 403  
Poem in Praise of Blank Verse, 217  
Poems after the Minnesingers, 375



Poems after Walther von der Vogelweide, 375  
Pope, Alexander, 33, 36, 39, 41, 47, 50-54, 56-59, 61, 63, 65,  
66, 69, 72, 75, 77-79, 92, 93, 99, 102, 105, 108, 111-13, 115,  
120, 121, 126, 129, 136, 149, 150, 154, 157, 159, 162, 163,  
193, 210, 212-20, 228, 235, 265, 382, 383, 388  
Popular Ballads and Songs (Jamieson), 292  
Popular Tales of the West Highlands, 322, 323, 325  
Porter, Jane, 252, 371  
Portuguese Letters, The, 22  
Praellectiones de Sacra Poesi Hebraeorum, 387  
Preface to Johnson's Shakspeare, 70  
Preface to Pope's Shakspeare, 72  
Prelude, The, 304  
Price, Richard, 205  
Prior, Matthew, 35, 57, 63, 84, 159, 291, 295, 296, 382  
Prioress Tale, The, 279, 342  
Progress of Envy, The, 85, 91  
Progress of Poesy, The, 173  
Progress of Romance, The, 243-45  
Prologue at the Opening of Drury Lane, 59, 70  
Proud Maisie, 277  
Psalm XLII., 84  
Psyche, 85  
Pugin, A. N. W., 234  
Pure, Ornate and Grotesque Art, 17  
Pursuits of Literature, 393  
Pye, H. J., 392

Quarles, Francis, 164

Racine, J. B., 38, 44, 65, 379  
Radcliffe, Anne, 232, 237, 249-64, 402, 408, 409, 411, 421, 423  
Rambler, The, 97, 287, 288, 353  
Ramsay, Allan, 61, 79, 284, 286, 297, 300  
Rape of the Lock, The, 36, 220  
Rapin, Rene, 49  
Rasselas, 186  
Raeuber, Die. See Robbers.  
Reeve, Clara, 241-45, 247, 249-64, 423  
Regnier, Mathurin, 38  
Reliques of Ancient English  
Poetry, 139, 188, 190, 206, 209, 211,



## Page 255

223, 265, 274, 278,  
287-302, 317, 346, 362, 369, 376, 423  
Remorse, 420  
Report of the Committee of the Highland Society on Ossian, 319  
Resolution and Independence, 339  
Retirement, 305  
Revenge, The, 353  
Revival of Ballad Poetry in the Eighteenth Century, 290  
Revolt of Islam, The, 5  
Reynolds, Sir Joshua, 202, 303  
Richardson, Saml., 31, 32, 40, 76, 252, 421  
Riddles Wisely Expounded, 270  
Ridley, G., 85  
Rime of Sir Thopas, The, 28  
Rising in the North, The, 278  
Ritson, Joseph, 188, 205, 246, 287, 290, 293, 294, 301, 423  
Ritter Toggenburg, 386  
Robbers, The, 385, 391, 402, 417, 418, 420  
Robin Hood and the Monk, 273, 278, 283  
Robin Hood and the Old Man, 292  
Robin Hood and the Potter, 273  
Robin Hood Ballads, The, 281-83, 301  
Robin Hood (Ritson's), 292  
Robinson Crusoe, 5, 26  
Rogers, Saml., 142, 181  
Rokeby, 277  
Rolla, 400, 409  
Rolls of St. Bartholomew's Priory, The, 358  
Roman de la Rose, The, 37, 64  
Romance, 390  
Romance of the Forest, The, 250, 253, 255, 256  
Romancero, The, 64  
Romantic and Classical in English Literature, The, 102  
Romantic Tales, 409  
Romanticism (Pater), 7  
Romantische Schule, Die, 2, 423  
Romaunt of the Rose, The, 27  
Romaunte of the Cnyghte, The, 348  
Romeo and Juliet, 377  
Ronsard, Pierre de, 22  
Roscommon, W. Dillon, Earl of, 47  
Ross, Thos., 321, 333



Rossetti, D. G., 4, 270, 272, 367, 372, 424  
Roundabout Papers, 252  
Rousseau, Jean Jacques, 31, 112, 252, 330, 381, 423  
Rovers, The, 402  
Rowe, Nicholas, 210, 219, 286  
Rowley Poems, The, 211, 339-67, 424  
Rudiments of Anglo-Saxon Grammar, 192  
Rugantino, 409  
Ruins of Netley Abbey, The, 182  
Ruins of Rome, The, 144, 145  
Ruskin, Jno., 26, 34, 102, 255  
Rymer, Thos., 49, 62, 70  
Reyse of Peyncteynge yn Englande, The, 349

Sachs, Hans, 381  
Sadduceismus Triumphatus, 408  
Sagen der Vorzeit, 418  
Saengers Fluch, Der, 275  
Saint Alban's Abbey, 262  
Sainte-Beuve, C. A., 56  
Sainte Palaye, J. B. de la C., 221, 222, 374  
St. Irvine the Rosicrucian, 403  
Saint Lambert, C. F., 106  
St. Leon, 403  
St. Pierre, J. H. B. de, 112  
Saintsbury, Geo., 111, 131  
Saisons, Les, 106  
Sally in our Alley, 57  
Salvator Rosa, 255  
Sammlung Deutscher Volkslieder, 418  
Samson Agonistes, 148, 184  
"Saturday Papers," Addison's, 148  
Schelling, F. W. J. von, 387  
Scherer, Wilhelm, 300, 374, 376, 380, 382, 394  
Schiller, J. C. F. von, 11, 76, 379, 384-87, 391, 401, 409, 419, 420  
Schlegel, A. W. von, 14, 73, 301, 377, 384, 392  
Schmidt, Erich, 382, 392  
Schoene Helena, Die, 385  
Scholar Gypsy, The, 408  
Schoolmistress, The, 84, 91, 92, 97, 104, 130, 136, 138, 362  
Schopenhauer, Arthur, 119



## Page 256

Scott, Sir Walter, 3, 16, 24, 26, 27, 42, 94, 96, 139, 187-89, 191, 200, 203, 223, 232, 234, 238, 248, 249, 258, 260, 262, 267, 269, 277, 298-301, 333, 334, 344, 350, 358, 359, 376, 389-96, 398-400, 402, 404-06, 410, 411, 416-18, 420, 424

Scottish Songs (Ritson's), 293

Scribleriad, The, 228, 229

Scudery, Madeleine de, 6

Sean Dana, 326

Seasons, The (Mendez), 85

Seasons, The (Thomson), 52, 75, 79, 103, 105-20, 124, 170, 152, 305, 374

Selden, John, 283

Selections from Gray (Phelps), 191

Selections from Newman (Gates), 41, 44

Seven Champions of Christendom, The, 37

Shadwell, Thos., 74

Shaftesbury, Anthony Ashley Cooper, Earl of, 41, 62, 226, 382

Shairp, J. C., 315

Shakspere Alterations, List of, 74

Shakspere Editions, List of, 74

Shakspere Illustrated, 70

Shakspere, Wm., 18, 25, 40, 50, 51, 63, 68-78, 89, 111, 117, 140, 170, 171, 198, 208-10, 213, 216-19, 225, 237, 298, 362, 375, 377-80, 383, 391

Shelley, Mary, 403, 406

Shelley, P. B., 5, 43, 107, 241, 362, 370, 372, 403, 406

Shenstone, Wm., 75, 84, 91, 97, 98, 102, 103, 110, 127, 130-39, 151, 152, 159, 162, 168, 184, 186, 215, 229, 273, 287, 422, 423

Shepherd's Calendar, The, 154

Sheridan, R. B., 76, 162, 400, 413, 420

Sheridan, Thos., 74

Sheringham, Robert, 192

Sicilian Romance, The, 250, 253

Sidney, Sir Philip, 25, 71, 72, 239, 274

Siegwart, 400

Sigurd the Volsung, 191

Sim, Jno., 94

Sinclair, Archibald, 325

Sinclair. Sir Jno., 321



Sir Cauline, 289, 200, 298  
Sir Charles Grandison, 388  
Sir Hugh, 279  
Sir Lancelot du Lake, 278  
Sir Patrick Spens, 300  
Sister Helen, 363  
Sisters, The, 270  
Six Bards of Ossian Versified, The, 336  
Skeat, W. W., 340, 355, 358-61, 364  
Skene, W. F., 314, 323  
Sketches of Eminent Statesmen, 234  
Smart, Christopher, 85  
Smith, Adam, 105  
Smollett, Tobias, 76, 139  
Solitary Reaper, The, 115  
Somerville, Wm., 106, 124, 135  
Song of Harold the Valiant, 196  
Song of Ragner Lodbrog, 197  
Song to Aella, 355  
Songs of Selma, The, 331  
Sonnet to Chatterton, 370  
Sonnet to Mr. Gray, 201  
Sonnet to Schiller, 419  
Sonnet to the River Lodon, 161  
Sophocles, 3, 19, 241, 379  
Sophonisba, 75  
Sorrows of Werther, The, 31, 330-32, 399, 423  
Sotheby, Wm., 382  
Southey, Robert, 206, 299, 350, 355, 358, 368, 398, 419  
Southwell, Robert, 41  
Spaniards in Peru, The, 400, 409  
Specimens of Ancient Sculpture, 189  
Specimens of Early English Poets, 301  
Specimens of the Welsh Bards, 195  
Spectator, The, 35, 37, 42, 49, 51, 55, 62, 120, 126, 139, 141, 148, 178, 227, 284, 353, 377  
Speght's Chaucer, 360  
Spence, Joseph, 132  
Spencer, W. R., 392, 394

## Page 257

Spenser, Edmund, 16, 25, 33, 37, 63, 68, 69, 77-101, 129, 151, 154, 157, 159, 163, 170, 198, 199, 212, 213, 216, 219, 222, 224-26, 235, 244, 265, 279, 304, 359, 371

Spleen, The, 104, 136

Splendid Shilling, The, 104

Squire of Dames, The, 85, 91

Stanley, J. T., 392

State of German Literature, The, 401

Stedman, E. C., 162

Steevens, Geo., 32

Stello, 372

Stephen, Leslie, 32-34, 40, 102, 234, 237, 327

Sterne, Lawrence, 31, 32, 252

Stevenson, R. L., 258

Stillingfleet, Benjamin, 53, 161

Stimmen der Voelker, 300, 337, 416

Stolberg, Friedrich Leopold, Count, 376, 377

Storie of William Canynge, The, 355

Stranger, The, 400

Stratton Water, 299

Strawberry Hill, 173, 179, 229, 230, 232, 234, 236, 340

Sturm von Borberg, 399

Suckling, Sir Jno., 57

Sugar Cane, The, 124

Sullivan, Wm. R., 314, 325

Sweet William's Ghost, 279, 280, 295, 300, 394

Swift, Jonathan, 40, 42, 162, 382

Swinburne, A. C., 35, 168

Syr Gawaine, 293

Syr Martyn, 95, 96

System of Runic Mythology, 191

Taine, H. A., 302, 316

Tale of a Tub, 42

Tales of Terror, 409, 417

Tales of Wonder, 404, 409, 416-18

Talisman, The, 188

Tam Lin, 268, 279, 295, 417

Tam o'Shanter, 187, 360

Tannhaeuser, 268



Tasso, Torquato, 25, 49, 50, 170, 319, 222-26  
Tate, Nahum, 74  
Tatler, The, 62  
Taylor, Jeremy, 40  
Taylor, Wm., 376, 391-98, 417-18  
Tea Table Miscellany, The, 284, 297  
Temora, 309, 313, 314, 316, 321, 323, 338  
Tempest, The, 70, 76, 171, 215  
Temple, Sir Wm., 69, 120, 192, 197  
Tennyson, Alfred, 18, 27, 35, 92, 93, 146, 200, 270, 281  
Thackeray, W. M., 56, 80, 252, 254  
Thaddeus of Warsaw, 243, 252  
Thales, 85  
Theagenes and Chariclea, 244  
Theatrum Poetarum, 67, 81  
Theocritus, 36  
Thesaurus (Hicks'), 192, 193  
Thomas a Kempis, 64  
Thomas Rymer, 268  
Thompson, Wm., 84  
Thomson, Jas., 52, 74, 75, 79, 84, 85, 92-95, 97, 98, 102-19,  
124, 133-36, 142, 151, 157, 159, 168, 184, 198, 215, 235, 251,  
302, 303, 305, 374, 384, 422  
Thomson, Jas. (2d), 162  
Thoreau, H. D., 107  
Tieck, Ludwig, 22, 377, 384  
To Country Gentlemen of England, 85  
Todtentanz, Der, 386  
To Helen, 202  
To Melancholy, 251  
Tom Jones, 186, 263  
Tom Thumb, 285  
"Too Late I Stayed," 392  
Torfaeus Thormodus, 191  
To the Nightingale (Lady Winchelsea), 61  
To the Nightingale (Mrs. Radcliffe), 251  
To the Nightingale. See Odes.  
To the River Otter, 161  
Tournament, The, 348, 365  
Town and Country Magazine, The, 346, 352  
Tragedies of the Last Age Considered, The, 70  
Tressan, L. E. de L., Comte de, 381  
Triumph of Isis, The, 199  
Triumph of Melancholy, The, 305  
Triumphs of Owen, The, 195



## Page 258

Tristan und Isolde, 3, 64  
Trivia, 35  
Troilus and Cresseide, 28  
True Principles of Gothic Architecture, 234  
Turk and Gawin, The, 293  
Twa Corbies, The, 275  
Two Sisters, The, 270, 279  
Tyrwhitt, Thos., 63, 188, 211, 213, 246, 301, 355-57, 359, 423  
Tytler, Sir A. F., 391, 419

Ueber naive und sentimentalische Dichtung, 11, 387  
Ueber Ossian und die Lieder alter Voelker, 338  
Uhland, Ludwig, 384  
Ulysses, 18, 35  
Unconnected Thoughts on Gardening, 127, 132  
Universal Prayer, The, 41  
Unnatural Flights in Poetry, 47  
Upton, John, 85  
Uz, J. P., 106

Vanity of Dogmatizing, The, 408  
Vathek, 403, 405  
Vergil, 25, 37, 49, 50, 55, 110, 223, 285, 335  
Verses to Sir Joshua Reynolds, 202  
Verses Written in 1748, 133  
Vicar of Wakefield, The, 209  
Vigny, Alfred Victor, Comte de, 372, 373  
Villehardouin, Geoffrey de, 27, 64  
Villon, Francois, 64, 216  
Vindication (Tyrwhitt's), 359  
Virtuoso, The, 84, 91, 141, 228  
Vision, The (Burns), 334  
Vision, The (Croxall), 84  
Vision of Patience, The, 84  
Vision of Solomon, The, 84  
Voltaire, F. M. A. de, 214, 216, 237, 379, 381, 382  
Von Arnim, Achim (L. J.), 384  
Voragine, Jacobus de, 3  
Vorlesungen ueber dramatische Kunst und Literatur, 14  
Voss, J. H., 375



Wackenroder, W. H., 384  
Wagner, H. L., 379  
Waking of Angantyr, The, 192  
Wallenstein, 385, 419  
Waller, Edmund, 38, 39, 52, 53, 80, 216  
Walpole, Horace, 32, 89, 120, 122, 129, 130, 135, 145, 159,  
166, 173, 178, 179, 181, 229-43, 249-55, 258, 286, 306, 336,  
337, 349-52, 354, 383, 401, 408, 417, 422  
Walsh, Wm., 50, 53  
Walther von der Vogelweide, 64  
"Waly, Waly," 374, 300  
Wanton Wife of Bath, The, 301  
Warburton, Wm., 237  
Wardlaw, Lady, 286  
Ward's English Poets, 53, 111, 131, 169, 364  
Warton, Joseph, 32, 75, 118, 142, 149, 151-53, 155, 156, 160,  
163, 168, 171, 185, 193, 197-99, 206, 207, 212-20, 223, 226,  
262, 302, 355, 375, 383, 387, 422, 423  
Warton, Thos., Jr., 32, 36, 53, 75, 84, 85, 99-101, 150, 151,  
156-58, 161, 163, 168, 171, 194, 197-207, 211, 213, 221,  
224, 226, 245, 251, 260, 291, 293, 294, 302, 356, 359, 375,  
387, 403, 422, 423  
Warton, Thos., Sr., 85, 197  
Waverley Novels, The, 188, 258, 262, 400, 422  
Way, G. L., 301  
Weber's Metrical Romances, 188  
Weber, Veit, 400, 418  
Webster, Jno., 66  
Werner, 421  
Wesley, Jno., 31  
West, Gilbert, 84, 85, 89-91, 98, 126, 133, 151, 160, 193, 194  
Whately, Thos., 122  
Whistle, The, 334  
White Doe of Rylstone, The, 184  
Whitefield, Geo., 31  
Whitehead, Wm., 84, 197  
Whittington and his Cat, 273  
Wieland, 403  
Wieland, C. M., 106, 377, 378, 381, 397  
Wife of Usher's Well, The, 269,

## Page 259

279

Wilde Jaeger, Der, 391  
Wild Huntsman, The, 404, 416  
Wilkie, Wm., 85  
Wilhelm Meister, 384, 387  
Wilhelm Tell, 385  
William and Helen, 391, 398, 404  
Willie Drowned in Yarrow, 170  
Willie's Lady, 279  
Wilson's Life of Chatterton, 368  
Winchelsea, Anne Finch, Countess of, 57, 61  
Winckelmann, J. J., 384, 385  
Windsor Forest, 57, 58, 215, 220  
Winstanley, William, 62, 69  
Winter, 103-106, 142, 422  
Wither, Geo., 57  
Wodrow, Jno., 334, 335  
Wolfram von Eschenbach, 64  
Wolfred von Dromberg, 398  
Wonders of the Invisible World, 408  
Wood, Anthony, 291  
Wood, Robert, 387-89  
Worde, Wynkyn de, 274  
Wordsworth, Wm., 4, 5, 43, 58, 103, 107, 109, 112, 115, 135,  
143-45, 160, 162, 183, 184, 218, 220, 288-90, 298, 299, 304,  
316, 326, 328, 339, 344  
Worm, Ole, 191, 193  
Wreck of the Hesperus, The, 269  
Wren, Sir Christopher, 121, 230  
Written at an Inn at Henley, 138  
Written at Stonhenge, 201  
Written in Dugdale's Monasticon, 198  
  
Yarrow Revisited, 344  
Yarrow Unvisited, 298  
Young, Edward, 56, 149, 163, 213, 387, 388, 421  
Young Hunting, 279  
Young Lochinvar, 277  
Young Waters, 300  
  
Zapolya, 420  
Zastrozzi, 403

Zauberlehrling, Der, 386  
Zauberring, Der, 4